

NMAI059 – Probability and Statistics 1

Mykhaylo Tyomkyn

Lecture 8 - Random variables: odds and ends.

Definition 1 (Covariance) Let $X, Y: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be either both discrete, or both (jointly) continuous. The covariance of X and Y , denoted $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$ is defined (subject to convergence) as

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}((X - \mathbb{E}(X))(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y))).$$

Note that $\text{Cov}(X, X) = \text{Var}(X)$.

Lemma 1 $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}(XY) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$.

Proof Using linearity of expectation,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(X, Y) &= \mathbb{E}((X - \mathbb{E}(X))(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y))) = \mathbb{E}(XY - \mathbb{E}(X)Y - \mathbb{E}(Y)X + \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(XY) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y) + \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(XY) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y).\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that the covariance operator is symmetric, i.e., $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(Y, X)$ and using Lemma 1 one can see that it is also bilinear: $\text{Cov}(X, Y + Z) = \text{Cov}(X, Y) + \text{Cov}(X, Z)$.

If $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$, that is when $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$, we say that X and Y are *uncorrelated*. This is the case when X and Y are independent, but in general uncorrelated random variables need not be independent¹, as we saw in Lecture 7. We say that X and Y are *positively/negatively correlated* if $\text{Cov}(X, Y) > 0$ and if $\text{Cov}(X, Y) < 0$, respectively.

Theorem 1 (Probabilistic Cauchy-Schwarz inequality) Let $X, Y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Then $XY \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and

$$\mathbb{E}(XY)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}(X^2)\mathbb{E}(Y^2).$$

Proof Given X and Y , let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the real function defined as

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}((tX + Y)^2).$$

By linearity of expectation we can write it as

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E}(t^2X^2 + 2tXY + Y^2) = t^2\mathbb{E}(X^2) + 2t\mathbb{E}(XY) + \mathbb{E}(Y^2) =: at^2 + bt + c,$$

¹Intuitively, the covariance indicates how likely both variables will be simultaneously above or below their respective expectations.

where we set $a = \mathbb{E}(X^2)$, $b = 2\mathbb{E}(XY)$ and $c = \mathbb{E}(Y^2)$. Observe now that $(tX + Y)^2 \geq 0$ always, and therefore,

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}((tX + Y)^2) = f(t),$$

for all values of t . So, the quadratic function $at^2 + bt + c$, takes only non-negative values, meaning its discriminant must be non-positive: $b^2 \leq 4ac$. This translates to

$$4\mathbb{E}(XY)^2 \leq 4\mathbb{E}(X^2)\mathbb{E}(Y^2).$$

□

Definition 2 (Correlation) Let $X, Y \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with $\text{Var}(X), \text{Var}(Y) \neq 0$. The (Pearson) correlation of X and Y is defined as

$$\rho(X, Y) := \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(Y)}} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma(X)\sigma(Y)}.$$

Theorem 2

$$-1 \leq \rho(X, Y) \leq 1.$$

Equivalently,

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y)^2 \leq \text{Var}(X)\text{Var}(Y).$$

Proof Let $X' = X - \mathbb{E}(X)$ and $Y' = Y - \mathbb{E}(Y)$. Then by the properties of $\mathbb{E}$, Var and Cov we have $\mathbb{E}(X') = \mathbb{E}(Y') = 0$, $\text{Var}(X') = \text{Var}(X)$, $\text{Var}(Y') = \text{Var}(Y)$ and $\text{Cov}(X', Y') = \text{Cov}(X, Y)$. So, to prove the statement, it suffices to show that

$$\text{Cov}(X', Y')^2 \leq \text{Var}(X')\text{Var}(Y').$$

Now observe that $\text{Cov}(X', Y') = \mathbb{E}(X'Y')$, $\text{Var}(X') = \mathbb{E}(X'^2)$ and $\text{Var}(Y') = \mathbb{E}(Y'^2)$. Hence, $\text{Cov}(X', Y')^2 \leq \text{Var}(X')\text{Var}(Y')$ is a direct consequence of Theorem 1. □

Exercise 1 Examine the above proofs to determine the relationship between X and Y , given $\rho(X, Y) = 1$ or $\rho(X, Y) = -1$.

Theorem 3 (Variance of a sum) Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be all discrete or (mutually) jointly continuous, and let $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Then $X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j).$$

Proof By bilinearity and symmetry of the covariance,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X) &= \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \text{Cov}(X_1 + \dots + X_n, X_1 + \dots + X_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_i, X_i) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4 (Convolution formula for continuous rv's) Let $X, Y \in (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be jointly continuous. Then $Z = X + Y$ is continuous, with the probability density function

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, z-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(z-y, y) dy.$$

In particular, if X and Y are independent we have

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(z-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(z-y) f_Y(y) dy.$$

In that case we write $f_Z = f_X * f_Y (= f_Y * f_X)$ and call f_Z the (continuous) convolution of f_X and f_Y .

We skip the proof, but notice that the above is analogous to the discrete convolution formula.

Example 1 (Convolution for the normal distribution) Let $X, Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ be independent. Then, for $Z = X + Y$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_Z(z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(z-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(z-x)^2}{2}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2 + zx - \frac{z^2}{4}} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\frac{z}{2})^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}} \cdot \sqrt{\pi} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2)$. This holds for the normal distribution more generally:²

$$\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \nu_1) * \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \nu_2) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \nu_1 + \nu_2).$$

Exercise 2 (Convolution for the Gamma distribution) Recall that the $\text{Gamma}(r, \alpha)$ distribution is defined on $[0, \infty)$ via the pdf

$$\gamma_{r,\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \alpha^r x^{r-1} e^{-\alpha x}, \quad \text{where } \Gamma(r) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{r-1} e^{-x} dx.$$

Prove that

$$\Gamma(r, \alpha) * \Gamma(s, \alpha) = \Gamma(r+s, \alpha).$$

Recall also that $\text{Gamma}(1, \alpha)$ is the exponential distribution $\text{Exp}(\alpha)$. Thus, for a positive integer r , the sum of r independent $\text{Exp}(\alpha)$ -variables is $\text{Gamma}(r, \alpha)$ -distributed.³

Lastly, let us introduce two fundamental probabilistic inequalities, which apply to discrete and continuous random variables alike.

²The behaviour of the parameters should not come as a surprise, given that μ and ν are the mean and variance of $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \nu)$, respectively.

³An interpretation: when the time until the next meteorite impact is $\text{Exp}(\alpha)$ -distributed, the time for the r -th meteorite to arrive is distributed with $\text{Gamma}(r, \alpha)$, while the number of meteorites in a time interval is Poisson-distributed.

Theorem 5 (Markov's inequality) *Let $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfy $X \geq 0$ a.s. Then for every $t > 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(X)}{t}.$$

This has a very simple interpretation: "if the average age in a room is 20, at most 50% of the people in it can be above 40."

Proof Let $Y = t \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{X \geq t\}}$. Then $X \geq Y$, so $Y \in L^1$ and

$$\mathbb{E}(X) \geq \mathbb{E}(Y) = t \cdot \mathbb{P}(X \geq t).$$

□

Theorem 6 (Chebyshev's inequality) *Let $X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $c > 0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \geq c) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{c^2}.$$

Proof Applying Markov's inequality (Theorem 5) with $Z = (X - \mathbb{E}(X))^2$ and $t = c^2$ gives

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \geq c) = \mathbb{P}(Z \geq c^2) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(Z)}{c^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{c^2}.$$

□

Example 2 *Consider 100 independent (fair) coin tosses. How likely are we to see at least 60 'heads'? That is, for $X \sim \text{Bin}(100, 1/2)$ estimate $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 60)$. By symmetry and Chebyshev's inequality (as $\mathbb{E}(X) = 50$ and $\text{Var}(X) = 25$) we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq 60) = \mathbb{P}(X - 50 \geq 10) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(|X - 50| \geq 10) \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{10^2} = \frac{1}{8} = 12.5\%.$$

This is not bad for a first estimate, however, we will shortly see that the actual probability to get 60 or more heads is much smaller, namely about 2.5%.