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#### Abstract

The $n$-dimensional hypercube $Q_{n}$ is a graph with vertex set $\{0,1\}^{n}$ and there is an edge between two vertices if they differ in exactly one coordinate. For any graph $H$, define $\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, H\right)$ to be the maximum number of edges of a subgraph of $Q_{n}$ without a copy of $H$. In this short note, we prove that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $$
\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, C_{10}\right)>0.024 \cdot e\left(Q_{n}\right)
$$

Our construction is strongly inspired by the recent breakthrough work of Ellis, Ivan, and Leader [12], who showed that "daisy" hypergraphs have positive Turán density with an extremely clever and simple linear-algebraic argument.


## 1. Introduction

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the $n$-dimensional hypercube $Q_{n}$ to be the graph with vertex set $\{0,1\}^{n}$, and with an edge between two vertices if they differ in exactly one coordinate. Erdős [13] initiated the study of $\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, H\right)$, the maximum number of edges in an $H$-free subgraph of $Q_{n}$, in the special case where $H$ is an even cycle. We say that $H$ has positive Turán density in the hypercube if there is some constant $\alpha>0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, H\right) \geqslant \alpha \cdot e\left(Q_{n}\right)
$$

where $e\left(Q_{n}\right)$ denotes the number of edges of $Q_{n}$.
It will be useful to identify in the usual way the vertices of $Q_{n}$ with the subsets of $[n]:=$ $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For any $r \leqslant n$, we define $L_{r}(n)$, the $r$-th (edge) layer of $Q_{n}$, to be the subgraph of $Q_{n}$ formed by the edges between $\binom{[n]}{r-1}$ and $\binom{[n]}{r}$, where

$$
\binom{[n]}{r}:=\{S \subset[n]:|S|=r\} .
$$

It is easy to see that $C_{4} \not \subset L_{r}(n)$, thus taking every second layer gives that

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, C_{4}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \cdot e\left(Q_{n}\right)
$$

Erdős [14] offered $\$ 100$ for an answer to whether this bound is optimal, and it remains open until the present date. The best known upper bound, due to Baber [4], is approximately $0.60318 \cdot e\left(Q_{n}\right)$ (see $[9,17,5]$ for previous upper bounds).

Erdős [13] suggested that longer even cycles might not have positive Turan density, but this was shown to be false for $C_{6}$ by Chung [9] and Brouwer, Dejter, and Thomassen [7]. On

[^0]the other hand, it was proved by Chung [9] for every even $t \geqslant 4$, and by Füredi and Özkahya $[15,16]$ for every odd $t \geqslant 7$, that
$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, C_{2 t}\right)=o\left(e\left(Q_{n}\right)\right)
$$
and a unified proof for all of the above cases was given by Conlon [11]. Thus, the only case for which the problem remained open was $C_{10}$. The main result of this paper completes the picture, by showing that $C_{10}$ has positive Turán density in the hypercube.

Theorem 1.1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, C_{10}\right)>0.024 \cdot e\left(Q_{n}\right)
$$

In fact, our proof gives the constant $c / 12$, where

$$
c=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)>0.288
$$

We also remark that the graph we construct to prove Theorem 1.1 is free of $C_{4}, C_{6}$ and $C_{10}$ simultaneously. The previous best known lower bound in this case was

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, C_{10}\right) \geqslant \frac{e\left(Q_{n}\right)}{(\log n)^{\alpha}}
$$

for some constant $\alpha>0$, recently shown by Axenovich, Martin, and Winter [3, Theorem 5].
The Ramsey problem for even cycles in the hypercube has also attracted a great deal of attention over the years. In particular, Chung [9] and Brouwer, Dejter, and Thomassen [7] found 4-colourings of the hypercube without a monochromatic $C_{6}$, and Conder [10] found a 3-colouring with the same property, implying the best known lower bound for this case of $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, C_{6}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{3} e\left(Q_{n}\right)$. However, it was shown by Alon, Radoičić, Sudakov, and Vondrák [1] that for any $k$-colouring of the edges of $Q_{n}$ there is a monochromatic copy of $C_{10}$. Our result therefore gives, to the best of our knowledge, the first example of a Ramsey graph which has positive Turán density in the hypercube. The best upper bound we are aware of is $\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, C_{10}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot e\left(Q_{n}\right)$ by Axenovich and Martin [2, Theorem 3.3].

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is heavily inspired by a recent breakthrough work of Ellis, Ivan, and Leader [12], who gave an extremely clever and simple linear-algebraic construction which shows that "daisies" have positive Turán density in hypergraphs, disproving a conjecture of Bollobás, Leader, and Malvenuto [6] and Bukh [8]. The argument we use is essentially a slight modification of their approach.

We will need another important idea, first observed by Alon, Radoičić, Sudakov, and Vondrák [1] and Axenovich and Martin [2]: it is possible to find a 4-colouring of $E\left(Q_{n}\right)$ without monochromatic chordless $C_{10}$. More precisely, define ex* $\left(Q_{n}, C_{6}^{-}\right)$to be the maximum number of edges of a subgraph of $Q_{n}$ such that there is no embedding of $C_{6}$ minus one edge that can be extended to a $C_{6}$ in $Q_{n}$. We will make use of the following result of Axenovich, Martin, and Winter [3], which is based on the 3-colouring given by Conder [10].

Theorem 1.2 (Lemma 19 in [3]).

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(Q_{n}, C_{10}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{3} \cdot \operatorname{ex}^{*}\left(Q_{n}, C_{6}^{-}\right)
$$

Thus, the core of the proof will be to show the following theorem.
Theorem 1.3. For any $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \leqslant n$, there exists a $C_{6}$-free induced subgraph $G_{r}$ of $L_{r}(n)$ with

$$
e\left(G_{r}\right)>\frac{c}{2} \cdot e\left(L_{r}(n)\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.3. Take $G$ to be the union of the graphs $G_{r}$ given by Theorem 1.3 for every odd $r \leqslant n$, so that $G_{r}$ is disconnected from $G_{r^{\prime}}$ for every $r \neq r^{\prime}$.

Observe that every induced subgraph of a layer containing a $C_{6}^{-}$also contains a $C_{6}$; thus, since $G_{r}$ is a $C_{6}$-free induced subgraph of $L_{r}(n)$, it is also free of $C_{6}^{-}$. This implies

$$
\operatorname{ex}^{*}\left(Q_{n}, C_{6}^{-}\right) \geqslant e(G)>\frac{c}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{\lceil n / 2\rceil} e\left(L_{2 r-1}(n)\right)=\frac{c}{4} \cdot e\left(Q_{n}\right)
$$

which, by Theorem 1.2, concludes the proof.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Similarly to the argument used in [12], we consider the vector space $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{r}$. Also we fix a nonzero vector $v_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{r}$. For each $i \in[n]$, pick a uniform random vector $v_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{r} \backslash\{0\}$. Now each vertex of our hypercube layer $L_{r}(n)$ corresponds to a certain collection of vectors in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{r}$ (of size $r$ or $r-1$ ). Define the sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{r}=\left\{\left.S \in\binom{[n]}{r} \right\rvert\,\left\{v_{i}: i \in S\right\} \text { form a basis of } \mathbb{F}_{2}^{r}\right\} \\
B_{r-1}=\left\{\left.S \in\binom{[n]}{r-1} \right\rvert\,\left\{v_{i}: i \in S\right\} \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\} \text { form a basis of } \mathbb{F}_{2}^{r}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and consider the induced subgraph $G_{r}$ on $B_{r-1} \cup B_{r}$.
We will show that this (random) graph $G_{r}$ is $C_{6}$-free (deterministically) and has a large expected number of edges, and therefore has the desired properties with positive probability.

Claim 2.1. $G_{r}$ is $C_{6}$-free.
Proof. Suppose there is a copy of $C_{6}$ in $G_{r}$. There are exactly three different coordinates flipped by its edges, so it must form the middle layer of some 3-dimensional subcube of $Q_{n}$. More precisely, by permuting the coordinates, we may assume that this copy of $C_{6}$ is exactly of the form $L_{r}(n)\left[A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right]$, where

$$
A_{1}=\{\{i\} \cup I \quad \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3\} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{2}=\{\{i, j\} \cup I \mid 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 3\}
$$

for some $I \subset[n] \backslash\{1,2,3\}$ with $|I|=r-2$. Also let $U=\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ be the collection of vectors corresponding to the coordinates in $I$.

Observe that, since $\{1,2\} \cup I \in A_{2} \subset B_{r}$, the collection of vectors $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \cup U$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{r}$, so, in particular, the vectors in $U$ are linearly independent. Therefore, after taking the quotient of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{r}$ by $\langle U\rangle$ we obtain a vector space $V$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}$.

Define $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in V$ to be the images of $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$, respectively, under the quotient map. Since $A_{2} \subset B_{r}$ we have that

$$
\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\} \cup U \text { form a basis of } \mathbb{F}_{2}^{r} \text { for any } 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 3,
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\} \text { form a basis of } V \text { for any } 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 3
$$

Similarly, from $A_{1} \subset B_{r-1}$, by the same argument as above, we obtain

$$
\left\{x_{0}, x_{i}\right\} \text { form a basis of } V \text { for any } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3
$$

In particular, this implies that $x_{i} \neq 0$ for each $i \in\{0,1,2,3\}$ and that $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ for any $i \neq j$. But $|V \backslash\{0\}|=3$, which yields a contradiction.

Claim 2.2. $\mathbb{E}\left[e\left(G_{r}\right)\right]>\frac{c}{2} \cdot e\left(L_{r}(n)\right)$.
Proof. Consider an edge of $L_{r}(n)$ connecting two sets $x=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r-1}\right\}$ and $y=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}\right\}$. Define the vector spaces

$$
V_{k}=\left\langle v_{0}, v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{k}}\right\rangle \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1, \text { and } \quad V_{r}=\left\langle v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{r}}\right\rangle .
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(x y \in G_{r}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(x \in B_{r-1} \text { and } y \in B_{r}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{r-1}=\operatorname{dim} V_{r}=r\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, if the vectors $v_{0}, v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{k-1}}$ (for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$ ) are fixed and linearly independent, then there are exactly $2^{r}-2^{k}$ admissible choices for the next vector $v_{j_{k}}$. Similarly, if the vectors $v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{r-1}}$ are fixed and linearly independent, then there are $2^{r}-2^{r-1}$ admissible choices for the last vector $v_{j_{r}}$. Therefore, by (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(x y \in G_{r}\right) & =\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r-1} \mathbb{P}\left(v_{j_{k}} \notin V_{k-1} \mid \operatorname{dim} V_{k-1}=k\right)\right) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(v_{j_{r}} \notin\left\langle v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{r-1}}\right\rangle \mid \operatorname{dim} V_{r-1}=r\right) \\
& =\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{2^{r}-2^{k}}{2^{r}-1}\right) \cdot \frac{2^{r}-2^{r-1}}{2^{r}-1}>\frac{1}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)=\frac{c}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The claim now follows from linearity of expectation.

As observed above, it follows from Claims 2.1 and 2.2 that there exists a choice of the vectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ such that

$$
e\left(G_{r}\right)>\frac{c}{2} \cdot e\left(L_{r}(n)\right)
$$

and $G_{r}$ is $C_{6}$-free, as required.
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