

(L5) (March 28, 2020)

Proof 4.

We assume

①

for contradiction that

By algebra again

$c_n = a_1 c_{n-1} + a_2 c_{n-2} + \dots + a_r c_{n-r}$  holds for  $\forall n > n_0$  and

some constants  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . We know that  $c_n = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$

and we substitute in  $\square$  the expressions

$c_{n-i} = \frac{1}{n-i} \binom{2n-2i-2}{n-i-1} = \frac{1}{n-i} \cdot \frac{(2n-2i-2)!}{(n-i-1)!^2}$ . Then we

multiply the result by  $\frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-r)(n-1)!^2}{(2n-2r-2)!}$  to

remove common factors and denominators and rearrange what we get. We use notation

$(x)_r = x(x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-r+1)$  where  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$

we set  $(x)_0 := 1$ . This is so called Pochhammer symbol.

We also set  $a_0 = -1$  (as before). We

get the equation  $\sum_{i=0}^r a_i \binom{n}{r+1} \cdot (2n-2-2i) \cdot (n-1)_i = 0$

in which  $\dots$  means omission of the fac-  
tor  $n-i$ . We regard  $n$  as a formal variable  
and set  $n=0$ . Then all summands in  $\square$  va-

-ish except the one for  $i=0$  that is  
 $-(-1)_{2q} (-2)_{2q} \neq 0$ . Hence  $\dots$  is a  $\neq 0$  poly-  
 nomial in  $w$  with degree at most  $3q$ . It vanishes  
 for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > n_0$ , which is not possible

In L3 I proved the equivalence: A sequence  
 $(a_n) = (a_0, a_1, \dots) \subset \mathbb{C}$  is holonomic  $\iff$  the OGF  $A(x) =$

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  is holonomic, but I did not quite justify  
 in  $\implies$  that a nontrivial recurrence (with not all co-  
 eff-s 0) yields a nontriv. lin. DE (with not all po-  
 ly nomial coeff-s 0), and vice versa  $\impliedby$ . Let's

do it now. Proof  $\impliedby$  (bottom of p. 2 in L3)

We know that  $\sum_{i=0}^r q_i(x) A^{(i)}(x) = 0$  where not all  $q_i$   
 $q_i \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  are 0. We denote  $(\dots)_i$  (and  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ )

with  $\#$  finite  $J_i \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ , at least one  $J_i \neq \emptyset$ , and all  
 $c_{ij} \neq 0$ . Since  $\sum_{i=0}^r q_i(x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+i} (n+i)_i x^n$

where  $(\dots)_i$  is as above (the Pochhammer symbol),  
 we get that the coeff. of  $x^n$  is, for every  $n > n_0$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j \in J_i} a_{n-j+i} \underbrace{c_{ij}}_{\text{polynomial in } u \text{ with degree } i} (n-j+i)_i \quad (\text{we set } n := n-j) \quad (3)$$

Let  $i_0$  be the maximum  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$  s.t.  $J_i \neq \emptyset$ . We take some  $j_0 \in J_{i_0}$  and set  $m = n - j_0 + i_0$ . Then the coefficient of  $a_m$  is a sum of several (at least one) elements from  $\mathbb{C}[u]$  but among these there is exactly one with the maximum degree  $i_0$  and therefore this sum is a  $\neq 0$  polynomial from  $\mathbb{C}[u]$ . Thus we got a nontrivial l.d.o.n. recurrence for the sequence  $(a_n)$ , because

where  $q$  is a  $\neq 0$  poly. from  $\mathbb{C}[u]$ .

$$m + (m) a_{m-1} + \dots + q a_m = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  (p. 3 of L3)

Now we know, assume that for every  $n > n_0$ ,  $P_0(n) a_n + P_1(n) a_{n-1} + \dots + P_r(n) a_{n-r} = 0$  where  $P_j \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  and not all of them are 0. ~~Wlog~~

~~$P_0 \neq 0$~~  On bottom of p. 3 in L3 we found that if we write  $P_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_j} b_{ji} (x-j)_i$  where  $d_j$  is  $\deg P_j$  if

$p_j \neq 0$  and  $-1$  if  $p_j = 0$  (the  $\Sigma$  is then 0) and  $b_{j,i} \in \mathbb{C}$  (we use the basis  $\{(x-j)_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  instead of  $\{x^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ ) then for  $j=0, 1, \dots$  & the ~~term~~ term  $p_j(u) a_{u,j}$  in  $\dots$  is the coefficient of  $x^u$  in  $\sum_{i=0}^{d_j} b_{j,i} x^{i+j} A^{(j)}(x)$ . The sum  $\dots$  is 0, which means that

$$\left[ x^u \right] \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d_j} b_{j,i} x^{i+j} A^{(j)}(x) = 0 \text{ for }$$

every  $u > u_0$ , where the notation  $[x^u] F(x)$  (in) denotes ~~the~~ the coefficient of  $x^u$  in  $F(x)$ . To make the equality hold for  $\forall u \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (and ~~remove~~ remove the finitely many possible exceptions), we add above an appropriate polynomial  $q(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  and get that, in the ring of formal power series,

$$\boxed{q(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{d_j} b_{j,i} x^{i+j} A^{(j)}(x) = 0}$$

Now we need to show that for some  $i$  the

<sup>cc</sup>  
 a ~~un~~unulated polynomial coeff. of  $A^{(i)}(x)$  in  $\dots$  is  $\textcircled{5}$   
 $\neq 0$ . Let ~~be such that~~  $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$  ~~be such that~~  
 $p_{i_0}(x) \neq 0$  and let  $i_0$  be s.t.  $b_{j_0 i_0} \neq 0$ . Then the po-  
 lyomial that is the coeff. of ~~the~~  $A^{(i_0)}(x)$  in  $\dots$   
 is  $\neq 0$  because it has at least ~~the~~  
 monomial  $b_{j_0 i_0} x^{i_0 + j_0}$ . Thus we indeed get a linear  
 diff. eq. for  $A(x)$

with polynomial coefficients  $q(x), q_0(x),$   
 $q_1(x), \dots, q_e(x)$ , as at the top of p. 4 of L3, not  
 all of which are 0 polynomials.  $\square$

Exercise: what is the order  $l$  of this equation,  
 in terms of the original holonomic recurrence  
 $\dots$  on p. 3 (of this lecture)?

Uff... this was longer than I expected, and  
 so I conclude ~~my~~ lecture here, with the men-  
 tion of the book ~~the~~ Enumerative Combinato-  
rics, Volume 2 by R.P. Stanley. In Chapter 6  
 it ~~the~~ treats D-finite (i.e. holonomic) power series.

