

Combinatorial Counting 2025: the Ramsey theorem for pairs and the Catalan numbers

Martin Klazar

March 6, 2025

(lecture notes for the course taught in summer term 2025)

Contents

Introduction	ii
1 The Ramsey theorem for pairs: simple bounds	1
1.1 Finite case	2
1.2 The canonical Ramsey theorem	3
1.3 Infinite case	5
2 The Ramsey theorem for pairs: the Balister – Bollobás – Campos – Griffiths – Hurley – Morris – Sahasrabudhe – Tiba bound	7
3 The Catalan numbers	8
References	9

Introduction

These lecture notes

Notation. We use \equiv as definitional equality; in $x \equiv y$ the new symbol x is being defined by the already known expression y . Sometimes x and y exchange their roles. Recall that $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are sets, means that f is a map (function) from X to Y . So f is a set such that $f \subset X \times Y$ and for every $x \in X$ there is a unique $y \in Y$ for which $(x, y) \in f$, which is standardly written as $f(x) = y$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and Z be any set. The *restriction* of f to Z is the map $f|Z : X \cap Z \rightarrow Y$ with values $(f|Z)(x) \equiv f(x)$, $x \in X \cap Z$. Often we write instead of $f|Z$ just f . The *image* and the *preimage* of Z by f is the respective set

$$f[Z] \equiv \{f(x) : x \in X \cap Z\} \quad (\subset Y) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1}[Z] \equiv \{x \in X : f(x) \in Z\} \quad (\subset X).$$

If $Z = \{z\}$ is a singleton, we usually write (in analogy with $f(x)$) instead of $f^{-1}[\{z\}]$ just $f^{-1}[z]$.

We denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ the (infinite) set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ are nonnegative integers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $[n] \equiv \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; we set $[0] \equiv \emptyset$. For any finite set X we denote by $|X|$ ($\in \mathbb{N}_0$) the number of its elements. For any set X and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\binom{X}{k} \equiv \{Y : Y \subset X, Y \text{ is finite and } |Y| = k\}.$$

Thus $\binom{X}{0} = \{\emptyset\}$ and $\binom{X}{1} = \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ ($\neq X$). We have $\binom{X}{k} = \emptyset$ whenever X is finite and $|X| < k$. Also, for finite X the set $\binom{X}{k}$ is finite too and for $0 \leq k \leq |X|$ we have equalities

$$|\binom{X}{k}| = \binom{|X|}{k} = \frac{|X|(|X|-1)\dots(|X|-k+1)}{k!}.$$

Mostly we work with the sets $\binom{X}{2}$ and call their elements *edges*.

Chapter 1

The Ramsey theorem for pairs: simple bounds

Theorem 1.1 (Ramsey, 1930) *Let $r, p, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every map $\chi : \binom{[n]}{p} \rightarrow [r]$ there is a k -element set $Y \subset [n]$ for which the restriction $\chi|_{\binom{Y}{p}}$ is constant.*

In Chapters 1 and 2 we deal with the function $R_r(k) : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ corresponding to the pairs case $p = 2$ of the theorem. Its values are called *Ramsey numbers (for pairs)* and it is defined as follows.

Definition 1.2 *Let $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $R_r(k)$ to be the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every union $\binom{[n]}{2} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i$ there exists an index $i \in [r]$ and a k -element set $Y \subset [n]$ such that $\binom{Y}{2} \subset X_i$.*

In Proposition 1.5 we prove that $R_r(k)$ is defined for every r and k . Without loss of generality the sets X_i may be assumed to be pairwise disjoint and to form a partition (of $\binom{[n]}{2}$). This follows from the next proposition.

Proposition 1.3 *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, X be a set and $\{Y_i : i \in [r]\}$ be a set system such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Y_i$. Then there is a set system $\{Z_i : i \in [r]\}$ with the following properties.*

1. $Z_i \subset Y_i$ for every $i \in [r]$.
2. $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$.
3. $Z_i \cap Z_j = \emptyset$ for every $i, j \in [r]$ with $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let $Y_0 \equiv \emptyset$ and $Z_i \equiv Y_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} Y_j$, $i \in [r]$. It is not hard to see that this set system $\{Z_i : i \in [r]\}$ has the three stated properties. \square

An exercise for the reader is to extend this proposition to infinite set systems.

So in other words, $R_r(k)$ is the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every coloring (map) $\chi : \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ there is a set $Y \subset [n]$ with $|Y| = k$ for which the restriction $\chi|_{\binom{Y}{2}}$ is a constant map. We say that the set Y is χ -homogeneous. Yet another equivalent definition of $R_r(k)$ is that it is the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every r -coloring of edges in the complete graph $K_n = (n, \binom{[n]}{2})$ there is a monochromatic k -clique.

The following *pigeonhole principles*, a finite and an infinite one, are Ramsey theorems for *singletons* (1-element sets). They were known, of course, long time before Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 1.4 (pigeonhole principles) *Let $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$. The following holds.*

1. *If $n \equiv r(k-1) + 1$, X is any finite set with $|X| = n$ and $\chi : X \rightarrow [r]$ is any map, then there is an $i \in [r]$ such that $|\chi^{-1}(i)| \geq k$.*
2. *If X is any infinite set and $\chi : X \rightarrow [r]$ is any map, then there is an $i \in [r]$ such that the set $\chi^{-1}(i)$ is infinite.*

Proof. 1. Since $X = \bigcup_{i \in [r]} \chi^{-1}(i)$ is a partition, if there were no such i then we would have the contradiction

$$n = |X| = \sum_{i \in [r]} |\chi^{-1}(i)| \leq \sum_{i \in [r]} (k-1) = r(k-1).$$

2. If there were no such i , we would have the contradiction that the set $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \chi^{-1}(i)$ is finite as it is a finite (disjoint) union of finite sets. \square

1.1 Finite case

In this section we obtain several elementary upper and lower bounds on the Ramsey numbers $R_r(k)$ which were introduced in Definition 1.2. First we show that $R_r(k)$ is defined for every $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\chi : \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ and $Y \subset [n]$, we say that the set Y is χ -min-homogeneous if for every $e, f \in \binom{Y}{2}$ it holds that $\chi(e) = \chi(f)$ iff $\min e = \min f$. In the next Section 1.2 we consider a generalization of this kind of colorings.

Proposition 1.5 *Let $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $R_1(k) = k$, $R_r(1) = 1$ and for $r, k \geq 2$ we have the bound $R_r(k) \leq r^{rk-2}$.*

Proof. The cases when $r = 1$ or $k = 1$ are clear. Let $r, k \geq 2$. We show, for the coloring form of Definition 1.2, that $n \equiv r^{rk-2}$ works. Let $\chi : \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ be any map. We set $l \equiv r(k-1) + 1$ and define sets $A_0 \equiv [n]$, A_1, \dots, A_{l-1} such that $A_0 \supset A_1 \supset \dots \supset A_{l-1} \neq \emptyset$, $\min A_0 = 1 < \min A_1 < \dots < \min A_{l-1}$, that for every $i \in [l-1]$ the edges $\{\min A_{i-1}, x\}$, $x \in A_i$, have in χ the same color, and that $|A_i| = r^{rk-2-i}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$. Suppose that $i \in [l-1]$ and that the sets A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{i-1} with the stated properties are already

defined. By 1 of Proposition 1.4, at least $\lceil \frac{|A_{i-1}|-1}{r} \rceil = \lceil \frac{r^{rk-2-i+1}-1}{r} \rceil = r^{rk-2-i}$ edges $\{\min A_{i-1}, x\}$ with $x \in A_{i-1} \setminus \{\min A_{i-1}\}$ have in χ the same color; we define A_i to be some r^{rk-2-i} endpoints x of such edges. Thus we have sets A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{l-1} with the stated properties; note that $A_{l-1} \neq \emptyset$ because $rk - 2 - (l - 1) = r - 2 \geq 0$. We consider the l -element set

$$X \equiv \{\min A_{i-1} : i \in [l]\}.$$

It follows that X is χ -min-homogeneous and we can define the map $\psi : X \rightarrow [r]$ by setting $\psi(x) \equiv \chi(e)$ for any $e \in \binom{X}{2}$ with $\min e = x$; for $x = \max X$ when there is no such e we define $\psi(x)$ arbitrarily. By 1 of Proposition 1.4 there is a set $Y \subset X$ such that $|Y| = k$ and $\psi|_Y$ is constant. It follows that Y is the sought for k -element χ -homogeneous set. \square

In the next chapter we use this bound in the slightly weaker but simpler form $R_r(k) \leq r^{rk}$. Thus in the simplest nontrivial case $r = p = 2$ of Theorem 1.1 we have the following upper bound.

Corollary 1.6 *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$R_2(k) \leq 4^{k-1}.$$

1.2 The canonical Ramsey theorem

If $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $e = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}_<$ in $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{k}$ is a k -element set of natural numbers with the elements e_i listed increasingly and if $I \subset [k]$, we define

$$e : I \equiv \{e_i : i \in I\}.$$

If $X \subset \binom{\mathbb{N}}{k}$ and $\chi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is any coloring of X (by infinitely many colors), then we call χ *canonical*, or more precisely *I-canonical*, if there is a set $I \subset [k]$ such that for every $e, f \in X$,

$$\chi(e) = \chi(f) \iff e : I = f : I.$$

This section is devoted to the function $\text{ER}(k; l) : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, especially for $k = 2$, defined as follows.

Definition 1.7 *Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{ER}(k; l)$ is the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every coloring $\chi : \binom{[n]}{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists an l -element set $Y \subset [n]$ such that the restriction $\chi|_{\binom{Y}{k}}$ is canonical. We set $\text{ER}(l) \equiv \text{ER}(2; l)$.*

In 1950 P. Erdős and R. Rado proved in [3] that the numbers $\text{ER}(k; l)$ exist for every $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k = 1$ these numbers are easily determined exactly.

Proposition 1.8 $\text{ER}(1; l) = (l - 1)^2 + 1$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \equiv (l-1)^2 + 1$ and $\chi: [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Since $n = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\chi^{-1}(i)|$, we see that there is a set $X \subset [n]$ such that $|X| = l$ and $\chi|_X$ is constant or 1-1 (injective). Thus

$$\text{ER}(1; l) \leq n = (l-1)^2 + 1.$$

On the other hand, if we set $n \equiv (l-1)^2$ and, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l-1$ and $(i-1)(l-1) < j \leq i(l-1)$, define the coloring $\chi: [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $\chi(j) \equiv i$, we get the bound $\text{ER}(1; l) > n = (l-1)^2$; for this χ there is no l -element canonical set (for $k = 1$). Thus we get the stated equality. \square

In 1996 S. Shelah proved in [10] for any $k \geq 2$ a strong general upper bound on $\text{ER}(k; l)$ in the form of an iterated $(k-1)$ -fold exponential. For $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $\text{tow}(1; l) \equiv 2^l$ and $\text{tow}(k; l) \equiv 2^{\text{tow}(k-1; l)}$ for $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.9 (Shelah, 1996) *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$,*

$$\text{ER}(k; l) \leq \text{tow}(k-1; cl^{8(2k-1)}).$$

In the rest of this section we prove two theorems on $\text{ER}(l) = \text{ER}(2; l)$. We begin with a theorem due to H. Lefmann and V. Rödl. They obtained the easy lower bound in [6], and the harder to prove upper bound in [7].

Theorem 1.10 (Lefmann and Rödl, 1993 and 1995) *For some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l \geq 2$,*

$$2^{c_1 l^2} \leq \text{ER}(l) \leq 2^{c_2 l^2 \log l}.$$

We begin with the lower bound.

$$\text{The lower bound } 2^{c_1 l^2} \leq \text{ER}(l)$$

We prove the lower bound of Lefmann and Rödl and begin with a lemma.

Lemma 1.11 *Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq l$ and $I \subset [k]$ with $I \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $l-k+1$ sets $X_i \in \binom{[l]}{k}$, $i \in [l-k+1]$, such that the $l-k+1$ sets*

$$X_i : I, i \in [l-k+1],$$

are mutually distinct

Proof. For $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-k$ set $X_i \equiv \{i+1, i+2, \dots, i+k\}$. \square

Recall that for $t, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ the (classical) Ramsey number $R_t(k; l)$ is the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\chi: \binom{[n]}{k} \rightarrow [t]$ there is an l -element set $X \subset [n]$ such that the restriction $\chi|_X$ is constant.

Proposition 1.12 *For every $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k < l$,*

$$\text{ER}(k; l) \geq R_{l-k}(k; l).$$

Proof. Let k and l be as stated, $n \equiv R_{l-k}(k; l) - 1$ and let $\chi: \binom{[n]}{k} \rightarrow [l-k]$ be such that there is no l -element χ -monochromatic set. But then there is also no $I \subset [k]$ and no l -element set $X \subset [n]$ such that $\chi| \binom{X}{k}$ is I -canonical. For $I = \emptyset$ it follows from the non-existence of monochromatic set, and for $I \neq \emptyset$ it follows from Lemma 1.11 which shows that then at least $l-k+1$ distinct colors would be needed. Hence $ER(k; l) > n$ and we get the stated inequality. \square

This concludes the proof of the lower bound.

$$\text{The upper bound } 2^{c_2 l^2 \log l} \geq ER(l)$$

We prove the upper bound of Lefmann and Rödl.

1.3 Infinite case

Theorem 1.13 (infinite Ramsey for pairs) *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every map $\chi: \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ there is an infinite χ -homogeneous set, an infinite set $Y \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\chi| \binom{Y}{2}$ is constant.*

Proof. Let r and χ be as stated. We define a sequence of infinite sets $A_0 \equiv \mathbb{N}$, A_1, \dots such that $A_0 \supset A_1 \supset \dots$, $\min A_0 = 1 < \min A_1 < \dots$ and that for every n the pairs $\{\min A_{n-1}, x\}$, $x \in A_n$, have in χ the same color. Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that the sets A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} with the stated properties are already defined. By 2 of Proposition 1.4, for infinitely many $x \in A_{n-1} \setminus \{\min A_{n-1}\}$ the edges $\{\min A_{n-1}, x\}$ have in χ the same color; we define A_n as the set of these numbers x . Thus we get a sequence of sets $(A_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with the stated properties. We define the infinite set

$$X \equiv \{\min A_{n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It follows that X is χ -min-homogeneous. As before we can define the map $\psi: X \rightarrow [r]$ by setting $\psi(x) \equiv \chi(e)$ for any $e \in \binom{X}{2}$ with $\min e = x$. By 2 of Proposition 1.4 there is an infinite set $Y \subset X$ such that $\psi|Y$ is constant. It follows that Y is an infinite χ -homogeneous set. \square

Theorem 1.14 (compactness) *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. For every sequence (χ_n) of colorings $\chi_n: \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ there exists a coloring $\chi: \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ with the following property. For every k there is an n , $n \geq k$, with*

$$\chi_n| \binom{[k]}{2} = \chi| \binom{[k]}{2}.$$

Proof. Let r and χ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be as stated. Let $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$ be a bijection, thus the sequence $F(1), F(2), \dots$ enumerates the edges of the countable complete graph $K_{\mathbb{N}}$. We define by induction on $j = 0, 1, \dots$ infinite sets A_j such that $A_0 = \mathbb{N}$, $A_0 \supset A_1 \supset \dots$ and that if $A_j = \{a_{1,j} < a_{2,j} < \dots\}$, then for

every $i \in [j]$ the values $\chi_{a_{1,j}}(F(i)), \chi_{a_{2,j}}(F(i)), \dots$ are all defined (that is, $a_{1,j} \geq \max F(i)$) and are all equal. In other words, for every $i \in [j]$ we have $|\{\chi_a(F(i)) : a \in A_j\}| = 1$. Suppose that $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and that the sets A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{j-1} (with the stated properties) are already defined. We define A_j as any infinite subset of A_{j-1} for which every value $\chi_a(F(j)), a \in A_j$, is defined and $|\{\chi_a(F(j)) : a \in A_j\}| = 1$. Such a subset exists by 2 of Proposition 1.4. Thus we get the sequence of sets $(A_j)_{j \geq 0}$ with the stated properties. We define the map $\chi : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ for $e \in \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$ by setting, with $j \equiv F^{-1}(e)$,

$$\chi(e) \equiv \chi_a(e) \text{ for any } a \in A_j.$$

It is clear that this definition is correct — the color $\chi_a(e)$ does not depend on the element $a \in A_j$ — and we show that χ has the stated property. So let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We take a $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F[[j]] \supset \binom{[k]}{2}$ and take any $n \in A_j$. Let $e \in \binom{[k]}{2}$. Then by the definition of A_j and χ we have with $i \equiv F^{-1}(e)$ that $n \geq k, i \in [j], n \in A_i$ and thus $\chi(e) = \chi_n(e)$, as required. \square

We say that a finite set $X \subset \mathbb{N}$ is *big* if $|X| \geq \min X$.

Theorem 1.15 (big Ramsey for pairs) *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every k there is an n such that for every coloring $\chi : \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ there exists a big and at least k -element χ -homogeneous set $Y \subset [n]$.*

Proof. Let $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose for the contrary that for every n there is a coloring $\chi_n : \binom{[n]}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ that has no big and at least k -element χ_n -homogeneous set. It follows that the same holds for the coloring $\chi : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ obtained from the sequence (χ_n) in Theorem 1.14. But this is a contradiction because it is easy to deduce from Theorem 1.13 that every r -coloring of $\binom{\mathbb{N}}{2}$ has a big and at least k -element homogeneous set. Indeed, if $\psi : \binom{\mathbb{N}}{2} \rightarrow [r]$ is any coloring and $\{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is the infinite ψ -homogeneous set provided by Theorem 1.13, then

$$\{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{k+a_1}\}$$

is a big and at least k -element ψ -homogeneous set. \square

Theorem 1.16 (Erdős–Dushnik–Miller) *Suppose that κ is an infinite cardinal. Then for every partition $\binom{\kappa}{2} = A \cup B$ there exists a set $C \subset \kappa$ such that $|C| = \omega$ and $\binom{C}{2} \subset A$, or $|C| = \kappa$ and $\binom{C}{2} \subset B$.*

Proof.

\square

Chapter 2

The Ramsey theorem for pairs: the BBCGHMST bound

Theorem 2.1 (BBCGHMST, 2024) *Let $r \geq 2$ and $\delta \equiv \frac{1}{2^{160}r^{12}}$. Then for every $k \geq 2^{160}r^{16}$,*

$$R_r(k) \leq \frac{r^{rk}}{e^{\delta k}}.$$

Chapter 3

The Catalan numbers

Bibliography

- [1] P. Balister, B. Bollobás, M. Campos, S. Griffiths, E. Hurley, R. Morris, J. Sahasrabudhe and M. Tiba, Upper bounds for multicolour Ramsey numbers, arXiv:2410.17197v1, 2024, 17 pp.
- [2] M. Campos, S. Griffiths, R. Morris and J. Sahasrabudhe, An exponential improvement for diagonal Ramsey, arXiv:2303.09521v1, 2023, 57 pp.
- [3] P. Erdős and R. Rado, A combinatorial theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1950), 249–255
- [4] T. Jech, *Set Theory. The Third Millennium Edition, revised and expanded*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2003
- [5] M. Klazar, On a proof of Ramsey theorem and of Erdős-Rado theorem for pairs, preprint KAM Series, 93–256, 1993, 5 pp.
- [6] H. Lefmann and V. Rödl, On canonical Ramsey numbers for complete graphs versus paths, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **58** (1993), 1–13
- [7] H. Lefmann and V. Rödl, On Erdős-Rado numbers, *Combinatorica* **15** (1995), 85–104
- [8] Ch. Misak, *Frank Ramsey. A Sheer Excess of Powers*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2020
- [9] L. Paulson, Formalising new mathematics in Isabelle: diagonal Ramsey, arXiv:2501.10852v1, 2025, 22 pp.
- [10] S. Shelah, Finite canonization, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **37** (1996), 445–456.