

# Algorithms and datastructures II

## Lecture 1: text searching (1/2)

Jan Hubička

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Charles University  
Prague

Oct 5 2020

# Algorithms and data-structures II

**Lecturer:** Jan Hubička, `hubicka@kam.mff.cuni.cz`.

- Consultations by appointment (email or zoom).
- Do we want a discussion forum, like discord or moodle?

# Algorithms and data-structures II

**Lecturer:** Jan Hubička, `hubicka@kam.mff.cuni.cz`.

- Consultations by appointment (email or zoom).
- Do we want a discussion forum, like discord or moodle?

**Lecture:** Monday 9am over zoom.

- Lectures will be live: please come and do ask questions, tell me how you like the lecture!
- Recordings will be available on `stream.cuni.cz` (linked from webpage).
- Recordings will include all questions and communication. If you ask me after the lecture ends, I will edit out your question.
- I will try to keep lecture synchronized with Czech lecture by Jan Hric.
- If you spot mistake, please let me know. The slides are brand new.

**Webpage:** <https://iuuk.mff.cuni.cz/~hubicka/2020/adsII.html>

# Syllabus

## ① String-searching

# Syllabus

- ① String-searching
- ② Network flows

# Syllabus

- ① String-searching
- ② Network flows
- ③ Algebraic algorithms (Fourier transformation)

# Syllabus

- ① String-searching
- ② Network flows
- ③ Algebraic algorithms (Fourier transformation)
- ④ Parallel algorithms

# Syllabus

- ① String-searching
- ② Network flows
- ③ Algebraic algorithms (Fourier transformation)
- ④ Parallel algorithms
- ⑤ Geometric algorithms

# Syllabus

- ① String-searching
- ② Network flows
- ③ Algebraic algorithms (Fourier transformation)
- ④ Parallel algorithms
- ⑤ Geometric algorithms
- ⑥ Introduction to complexity

# Syllabus

- ① String-searching
- ② Network flows
- ③ Algebraic algorithms (Fourier transformation)
- ④ Parallel algorithms
- ⑤ Geometric algorithms
- ⑥ Introduction to complexity
- ⑦ Approximation algorithm

# Syllabus

- ① String-searching
- ② Network flows
- ③ Algebraic algorithms (Fourier transformation)
- ④ Parallel algorithms
- ⑤ Geometric algorithms
- ⑥ Introduction to complexity
- ⑦ Approximation algorithm
- ⑧ Probabilistic algorithms and cryptography



# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .
- ⑤  $\epsilon$ : empty word (the only word of length 0)

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .
- ⑤  $\epsilon$ : empty word (the only word of length 0)
- ⑥  $\alpha\beta$ : concatenation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .
- ⑤  $\epsilon$ : empty word (the only word of length 0)
- ⑥  $\alpha\beta$ : concatenation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$
- ⑦  $\alpha[i]$ :  $i$ -th character of  $\alpha$  (starting from 0)

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .
- ⑤  $\epsilon$ : empty word (the only word of length 0)
- ⑥  $\alpha\beta$ : concatenation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$
- ⑦  $\alpha[i]$ :  $i$ -th character of  $\alpha$  (starting from 0)
- ⑧  $\alpha[i : j]$ : subword  $\alpha[i]\alpha[i + 1] \cdots \alpha[j - 1]$

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .
- ⑤  $\epsilon$ : empty word (the only word of length 0)
- ⑥  $\alpha\beta$ : concatenation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$
- ⑦  $\alpha[i]$ :  $i$ -th character of  $\alpha$  (starting from 0)
- ⑧  $\alpha[i : j]$ : subword  $\alpha[i]\alpha[i+1] \cdots \alpha[j-1]$
- ⑨  $\alpha[:j]$ : prefix of  $\alpha$  of length  $j$

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .
- ⑤  $\epsilon$ : empty word (the only word of length 0)
- ⑥  $\alpha\beta$ : concatenation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$
- ⑦  $\alpha[i]$ :  $i$ -th character of  $\alpha$  (starting from 0)
- ⑧  $\alpha[i : j]$ : subword  $\alpha[i]\alpha[i+1] \cdots \alpha[j-1]$
- ⑨  $\alpha[:j]$ : prefix of  $\alpha$  of length  $j$
- ⑩  $\alpha[i:]$ : a suffix of  $\alpha$

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .
- ⑤  $\epsilon$ : empty word (the only word of length 0)
- ⑥  $\alpha\beta$ : concatenation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$
- ⑦  $\alpha[i]$ :  $i$ -th character of  $\alpha$  (starting from 0)
- ⑧  $\alpha[i : j]$ : subword  $\alpha[i]\alpha[i+1] \cdots \alpha[j-1]$
- ⑨  $\alpha[:j]$ : prefix of  $\alpha$  of length  $j$
- ⑩  $\alpha[i:]$ : a suffix of  $\alpha$
- ⑪  $\alpha[:]$ : whole word  $\alpha$

# Today: string-searching (“searching needle in a haystack”)

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

Some notation:

- ①  $\Sigma$ : an alphabet (finite set of characters)
- ②  $\Sigma^*$ : the set all finite words in alphabet  $\Sigma$
- ③  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ : words
- ④  $|\alpha|$ : length of the word  $\alpha$ .
- ⑤  $\epsilon$ : empty word (the only word of length 0)
- ⑥  $\alpha\beta$ : concatenation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$
- ⑦  $\alpha[i]$ :  $i$ -th character of  $\alpha$  (starting from 0)
- ⑧  $\alpha[i : j]$ : subword  $\alpha[i]\alpha[i+1] \cdots \alpha[j-1]$
- ⑨  $\alpha[:j]$ : prefix of  $\alpha$  of length  $j$
- ⑩  $\alpha[i:]$ : a suffix of  $\alpha$
- ⑪  $\alpha[:]$ : whole word  $\alpha$

**Occurrence** of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$  is any index  $i$  such that  $\eta[i : i + |\nu|] = \nu$

# Naive approach

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

## Search $(\nu, \eta)$ :

- ① For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - |\nu| - 1$ :
- ② If  $\eta[i : i + |\nu|] = \nu$ : output  $i$

$\nu = \textit{coconut}$

$\eta$  is some very long text about coconuts

# Naive approach

## string-searching

Given a string  $\nu$  (“a needle”) and  $\eta$  (“a haystack”) find all occurrences of  $\nu$  in  $\eta$ .

## Search $(\nu, \eta)$ :

- ① For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - |\nu| - 1$ :
- ② If  $\eta[i : i + |\nu|] = \nu$ : output  $i$

$\nu = \text{coconut}$

$\eta$  is some very long text about coconuts

Time complexity:  $\Theta(|\nu| \cdot |\eta|)$ .

# Incremental algorithm

An incremental algorithm receives characters of  $\eta$  one by one and after receiving a new character it immediately outputs possible new occurrences of  $\nu$ .

# Incremental algorithm

An incremental algorithm receives characters of  $\eta$  one by one and after receiving a new character it immediately outputs possible new occurrences of  $\nu$ .

## Basic idea

We would like to remember an **state**. This is longest prefix of  $\nu$  which is a suffix of  $\eta$ .

**Observation:** Whenever algorithm enters state  $\nu$  it finds a new occurrence of  $\nu$  in the input.

Assume that algorithm seen string  $\eta$ , is in state  $\alpha$  and receives a new character  $c$ . How to update the state?

# Incremental algorithm

An incremental algorithm receives characters of  $\eta$  one by one and after receiving a new character it immediately outputs possible new occurrences of  $\nu$ .

## Basic idea

We would like to remember an **state**. This is longest prefix of  $\nu$  which is a suffix of  $\eta$ .

**Observation:** Whenever algorithm enters state  $\nu$  it finds a new occurrence of  $\nu$  in the input.

Assume that algorithm seen string  $\eta$ , is in state  $\alpha$  and receives a new character  $c$ . How to update the state?

$$\text{State } (\alpha, c) = \begin{cases} \alpha c & \text{If } \alpha c \text{ is a prefix of } \nu \end{cases}$$

# Incremental algorithm

An incremental algorithm receives characters of  $\eta$  one by one and after receiving a new character it immediately outputs possible new occurrences of  $\nu$ .

## Basic idea

We would like to remember an **state**. This is longest prefix of  $\nu$  which is a suffix of  $\eta$ .

**Observation:** Whenever algorithm enters state  $\nu$  it finds a new occurrence of  $\nu$  in the input.

Assume that algorithm seen string  $\eta$ , is in state  $\alpha$  and receives a new character  $c$ . How to update the state?

$$\text{State } (\alpha, c) = \begin{cases} \alpha c & \text{If } \alpha c \text{ is a prefix of } \nu \\ \epsilon & \end{cases}$$

# Incremental algorithm

An incremental algorithm receives characters of  $\eta$  one by one and after receiving a new character it immediately outputs possible new occurrences of  $\nu$ .

## Basic idea

We would like to remember an **state**. This is longest prefix of  $\nu$  which is a suffix of  $\eta$ .

**Observation:** Whenever algorithm enters state  $\nu$  it finds a new occurrence of  $\nu$  in the input.

Assume that algorithm seen string  $\eta$ , is in state  $\alpha$  and receives a new character  $c$ . How to update the state?

$$\text{State } (\alpha, c) = \begin{cases} \alpha c & \text{If } \alpha c \text{ is a prefix of } \nu \\ \epsilon & \\ \alpha' c & \alpha' c \text{ is a prefix of } \nu \text{ and } \alpha' \text{ is a suffix of } \alpha \end{cases}$$

We want to compute **backward function**  $b$  which tells for every prefix  $\alpha$  of  $\nu$  the longest proper suffix  $\alpha'$  (of  $\alpha$ ) that is also a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)



# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

We want to compute **backward function**  $b$  which tells for every prefix  $\alpha$  of  $\nu$  the longest proper suffix  $\alpha'$  (of  $\alpha$ ) that is also a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

## Searching automaton

- 1 **State**  $0, \dots, |\nu|$   
(state  $s$  corresponds to prefix  $\nu[:s]$ )
- 2 **Forward edges**:  $s \rightarrow s + 1$
- 3 **Backward edges**: pointing from  $s > 0$  to  $j$  such that  $\nu[:j]$  is a proper suffix of  $\nu[:s]$

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

## Searching automaton

- ① **State**  $0, \dots, |\nu|$   
(state  $s$  corresponds to prefix  $\nu[:s]$ )
- ② **Forward edges**:  $s \rightarrow s + 1$
- ③ **Backward edges**: pointing from  $s > 0$  to  $j$  such that  $\nu[:j]$  is a proper suffix of  $\nu[:s]$

## Step ( $s, c$ ):

- ① While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- ②  $s \leftarrow b[s]$ .
- ③ If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ .
- ④ Return  $s$

## Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for $\nu$ ):

- ①  $s \leftarrow 0$ .
- ② For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- ③  $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i])$ .
- ④ If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1$ .

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[:i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

## Lemma

Search will run in time  $O(|\eta|)$

## Proof.

- 1 Search itself is clearly  $O(|\eta|)$

□

## Step ( $s, c$ ):

- 1 While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- 2    $s \leftarrow b[s]$ .
- 3 If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ .
- 4 Return  $s$

## Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for $\nu$ )

- 1  $s \leftarrow 0$ .
- 2 For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- 3    $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i])$ .
- 4 If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1$ .

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

## Lemma

Search will run in time  $O(|\eta|)$

## Proof.

- 1 Search itself is clearly  $O(|\eta|)$
- 2 Number of forward transitions in Step is  $O(|\eta|)$

□

## Step ( $s, c$ ):

- 1 While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- 2    $s \leftarrow b[s]$ .
- 3 If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ .
- 4 Return  $s$

## Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for $\nu$ )

- 1  $s \leftarrow 0$ .
- 2 For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- 3    $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i])$ .
- 4   If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1$ .

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

## Lemma

Search will run in time  $O(|\eta|)$

## Proof.

- 1 Search itself is clearly  $O(|\eta|)$
- 2 Number of forward transitions in Step is  $O(|\eta|)$
- 3 Number of backward transitions in Step is  $O(|\eta|)$

□

## Step ( $s, c$ ):

- 1 While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- 2     $s \leftarrow b[s]$ .
- 3 If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ .
- 4 Return  $s$

## Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for $\nu$ )

- 1  $s \leftarrow 0$ .
- 2 For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- 3     $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i])$ .
- 4    If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1$ .

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

## Lemma

Search will run in time  $O(|\eta|)$

## Proof.

- ① Search itself is clearly  $O(|\eta|)$
- ② Number of forward transitions in Step is  $O(|\eta|)$
- ③ Number of backward transitions in Step is  $O(|\eta|)$

□

Overall runtime  $\Theta(|\eta|)$ .

## Step ( $s, c$ ):

- ① While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- ②    $s \leftarrow b[s]$ .
- ③ If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ .
- ④ Return  $s$

## Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for $\nu$ )

- ①  $s \leftarrow 0$ .
- ② For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- ③    $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i])$ .
- ④   If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1$ .

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

How to obtain the automaton?

Step ( $s, c$ ):

- 1 While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- 2    $s \leftarrow b[s]$ .
- 3 If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ .
- 4 Return  $s$

Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for  $\nu$ ):

- 1  $s \leftarrow 0$ .
- 2 For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- 3    $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i])$ .
- 4 If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1$ .

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

How to obtain the automaton? We will steal it!

Imagine that someone has the automaton and we want to figure all backward edges

Step ( $s, c$ ):

- 1 While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- 2    $s \leftarrow b[s]$ .
- 3 If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ .
- 4 Return  $s$

Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for  $\nu$ ):

- 1  $s \leftarrow 0$ .
- 2 For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- 3    $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i])$ .
- 4 If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1$ .

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

How to obtain the automaton? We will steal it!

Imagine that someone has the automaton and we want to figure all backward edges

To determine  $b(s)$  we need to search  $\nu[1 : s]$

**Recall:**  $b(s)$  is  $j$  such that  $\nu[: j]$  is the longest proper suffix of  $\nu[: s]$

Step ( $s, c$ ):

- 1 While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- 2    $s \leftarrow b[s]$ .
- 3 If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1$ .
- 4 Return  $s$

Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for  $\nu$ ):

- 1  $s \leftarrow 0$ .
- 2 For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- 3    $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i])$ .
- 4 If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1$ .

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

How to obtain the automaton? We will steal it!

Imagine that someone has the automaton and we want to figure all backward edges

To determine  $b(s)$  we need to search  $\nu[1 : s]$

**Recall:**  $b(s)$  is  $j$  such that  $\nu[: j]$  is the longest proper suffix of  $\nu[: s]$

## KMPConstruction ( $\nu$ ):

- ①  $b[0] \leftarrow \text{undefined}, b[1] \leftarrow 0, s \leftarrow 0.$

## Step ( $s, c$ ):

- ① While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- ②  $s \leftarrow b[s].$
- ③ If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1.$
- ④ Return  $s$

## Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for $\nu$ ):

- ①  $s \leftarrow 0.$
- ② For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- ③  $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i]).$
- ④ If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1.$

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

How to obtain the automaton? We will steal it!

Imagine that someone has the automaton and we want to figure all backward edges

To determine  $b(s)$  we need to search  $\nu[1 : s]$

**Recall:**  $b(s)$  is  $j$  such that  $\nu[: j]$  is the longest proper suffix of  $\nu[: s]$

## KMPConstruction ( $\nu$ ):

- ①  $b[0] \leftarrow \text{undefined}, b[1] \leftarrow 0, s \leftarrow 0.$
- ② For  $i = 2, \dots, |\nu|$ :
- ③  $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \nu[i - 1]).$
- ④  $b[i] \leftarrow s.$

## Step ( $s, c$ ):

- ① While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- ②  $s \leftarrow b[s].$
- ③ If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1.$
- ④ Return  $s$

## Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for $\nu$ ):

- ①  $s \leftarrow 0.$
- ② For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- ③  $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i]).$
- ④ If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1.$

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .

# Knuth–Morris–Pratt (KMP) algorithm (1974)

How to obtain the automaton? We will steal it!

Imagine that someone has the automaton and we want to figure all backward edges

To determine  $b(s)$  we need to search  $\nu[1 : s]$

**Recall:**  $b(s)$  is  $j$  such that  $\nu[: j]$  is the longest proper suffix of  $\nu[: s]$

## KMPConstruction ( $\nu$ ):

- ①  $b[0] \leftarrow \text{undefined}, b[1] \leftarrow 0, s \leftarrow 0.$
- ② For  $i = 2, \dots, |\nu|$ :
- ③    $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \nu[i - 1]).$
- ④    $b[i] \leftarrow s.$

## Step ( $s, c$ ):

- ① While  $s \neq 0$  and  $\nu[s] \neq c$ :
- ②    $s \leftarrow b[s].$
- ③ If  $\nu[s] = c$ :  $s \leftarrow s + 1.$
- ④ Return  $s$

## Search ( $\eta$ , automaton for $\nu$ ):

- ①  $s \leftarrow 0.$
- ② For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - 1$ :
- ③    $s \leftarrow \text{Step}(s, \eta[i]).$
- ④ If  $s = |\nu|$ : report  $i - |\nu| + 1.$

## Theorem

*Algorithm KMP will finish in time  $\Theta(|\eta| + |\nu|)$ .*

**Invariant:** The state  $s$  corresponds to the longest suffix of  $\eta[: i]$  that is a prefix of  $\nu$ .



# Rabin–Karp algorithm (1987)

Recall the rotating/sliding hash function

$$H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = (x_1 P^{K-1} + x_2 P^{K-2} + \dots + x_{K-1} P^1 + x_K P^0) \mod N$$

For  $K = |\nu|$ , prime number  $P$  and  $N > 0$ .

# Rabin–Karp algorithm (1987)

Recall the rotating/sliding hash function

$$H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = (x_1 P^{K-1} + x_2 P^{K-2} + \dots + x_{K-1} P^1 + x_K P^0) \mod N$$

For  $K = |\nu|$ , prime number  $P$  and  $N > 0$ . Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} H(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{K+1}) &= (x_2 P^{K-1} + x_3 P^{K-2} + \dots + x_K P^1 + x_{K+1} P^0) \mod N \\ &= (P \cdot H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) - x_1 P^K + x_{K+1}) \mod N \end{aligned}$$

# Rabin–Karp algorithm (1987)

Recall the rotating/sliding hash function

$$H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = (x_1 P^{K-1} + x_2 P^{K-2} + \dots + x_{K-1} P^1 + x_K P^0) \mod N$$

For  $K = |\nu|$ , prime number  $P$  and  $N > 0$ . Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} H(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{K+1}) &= (x_2 P^{K-1} + x_3 P^{K-2} + \dots + x_K P^1 + x_{K+1} P^0) \mod N \\ &= (P \cdot H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) - x_1 P^K + x_{K+1}) \mod N \end{aligned}$$

RabinKarpSearch ( $\nu, \eta$ ):

- ① Choose prime  $P$  and  $N > 1$ .
- ② Precompute  $P^{|\nu|} \mod N$ .
- ③  $n \leftarrow H(\nu)$ .
- ④  $h \leftarrow H(\eta[1: |\nu|])$ .
- ⑤ For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - |\nu| - 1$ :
- ⑥ if  $h = n$  and  $\eta[i : i + |\nu|] = \nu$ : report  $i$ .
- ⑦  $h \leftarrow (P \cdot h - \eta[i] \cdot P^{|\nu|} + \eta[i + K]) \mod N$ .

# Rabin–Karp algorithm (1987)

Recall the rotating/sliding hash function

$$H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) = (x_1 P^{K-1} + x_2 P^{K-2} + \dots + x_{K-1} P^1 + x_K P^0) \mod N$$

For  $K = |\nu|$ , prime number  $P$  and  $N > 0$ . Observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} H(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{K+1}) &= (x_2 P^{K-1} + x_3 P^{K-2} + \dots + x_K P^1 + x_{K+1} P^0) \mod N \\ &= (P \cdot H(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K) - x_1 P^K + x_{K+1}) \mod N \end{aligned}$$

RabinKarpSearch ( $\nu, \eta$ ):

- ① Choose prime  $P$  and  $N > 1$ .
- ② Precompute  $P^{|\nu|} \mod N$ .
- ③  $n \leftarrow H(\nu)$ .
- ④  $h \leftarrow H(\eta[1: |\nu|])$ .
- ⑤ For  $i = 0, \dots, |\eta| - |\nu| - 1$ :
- ⑥ if  $h = n$  and  $\eta[i : i + |\nu|] = \nu$ : report  $i$ .
- ⑦  $h \leftarrow (P \cdot h - \eta[i] \cdot P^{|\nu|} + \eta[i + K]) \mod N$ .

Expected time complexity:  $O(|\nu| + |\eta| + C|\nu| + |\eta|/N \cdot |\nu|)$  where  $C$  is number of occurrences reported.