Positive Semidefiniteness and Positive Definiteness of a Linear Parametric Interval Matrix

Milan Hladík

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic http://kam.mff.cuni.cz/~hladik/

CoProD, Würzburg, Germany September 21, 2014

Why positive (semi)definiteness of interval matrices?

In global optimization, for convexity checking:

- If a function is convex on a box, then a stationary point is a minimum.
- If a function is convex nowhere on a box, and the box is inside the feasible set, then there is no minimum inside.

Also:

- Hurwitz stability of dynamical systems.
- Schur stability of dynamical systems.

Notation

Interval Matrix

An interval matrix

$$\mathbf{A} := [\underline{A}, \overline{A}] = \{ A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \mid \underline{A} \le A \le \overline{A} \}.$$

The center and radius matrices

$$A^{c} := rac{1}{2}(\overline{A} + \underline{A}), \quad A^{\Delta} := rac{1}{2}(\overline{A} - \underline{A}).$$

The set of all $m \times n$ interval matrices: $\mathbb{IR}^{m \times n}$.

A Symmetric Interval Matrix

$$\mathbf{A}^{S} := \{A \in \mathbf{A} : A = A^{T}\}.$$

Without loss of generality assume that $\underline{A} = \underline{A}^{T}$, $\overline{A} = \overline{A}^{T}$, and $\mathbf{A}^{S} \neq \emptyset$.

Positive Semidefiniteness and Positive Definiteness

 \mathbf{A}^{S} is *positive (semi)definite* if every $A \in \mathbf{A}^{S}$ is positive (semi)definite.

Theorem (Rohn, 1994)

The following are equivalent

- **A**^S is positive semidefinite,
- 2 $A^{c} \operatorname{diag}(z)A^{\Delta}\operatorname{diag}(z)$ is positive semidefinite $\forall z \in \{\pm 1\}^{n}$,
- $x^{T}A^{c}x |x|^{T}A^{\Delta}|x| \geq 0 \ \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$

Theorem (Rohn, 1994)

The following are equivalent

- **A**^S is positive definite,
- 2 $A^c \operatorname{diag}(z)A^{\Delta}\operatorname{diag}(z)$ is positive definite for each $z \in \{\pm 1\}^n$,
- $x^T A^c x |x|^T A^{\Delta} |x| > 0$ for each $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
- A^c is positive definite and A is regular.

Theorem (Nemirovskii, 1993)

Checking positive semidefiniteness of **A**^S is co-NP-hard.

Theorem (Rohn, 1994)

Checking positive definiteness of **A**^S is co-NP-hard.

Theorem (Jaulin and Henrion, 2005)

Checking whether there is a positive semidefinite matrix in \mathbf{A}^{S} is a polynomial time problem.

Proof.

By reduction to semidefinite programming.

Sufficient Conditions

Theorem

- A^S is positive semidefinite if $\lambda_{\min}(A^c) \ge \rho(A^{\Delta})$.
- **2** \mathbf{A}^{S} is positive definite if $\lambda_{\min}(A^{c}) > \rho(A^{\Delta})$.
- **3** A^S is positive definite if A^c is positive definite and $\rho(|(A^c)^{-1}|A^{\Delta}) < 1.$

Proof.

A^S is positive semidefinite iff λ_{min}(A) ≥ 0 ∀A ∈ A^S.
 Now, employ the smallest eigenvalue set enclosure

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) \in [\lambda_{\min}(A^c) -
ho(A^{\Delta}), \lambda_{\min}(A^c) +
ho(A^{\Delta})] \quad orall A \in \mathbf{A}^{\mathcal{S}}.$$

2 Analogous.

Use Beeck's sufficient condition for regularity of A.

Theorem

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is convex on $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{IR}^n$ iff its Hessian $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is positive semidefinite $\forall x \in int \mathbf{x}$.

Corollary

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is convex on $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{IR}^n$ if $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ is positive semidefinite.

Application: Convexity Testing

Example

Let

$$f(x, y, z) = x^{3} + 2x^{2}y - xyz + 3yz^{2} + 8y^{2},$$

on $x \in \mathbf{x} = [2,3]$, $y \in \mathbf{y} = [1,2]$ and $z \in \mathbf{z} = [0,1]$. The Hessian of f reads

$$\nabla^{2} f(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 6x + 4y & 4x - z & -y \\ 4x - z & 16 & -x + 6z \\ -y & -x + 6z & 6y \end{pmatrix}$$

Evaluation the Hessian matrix by interval arithmetic results in

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} [16, 26] & [7, 12] & -[1, 2] \\ [7, 12] & 16 & [-3, 4] \\ -[1, 2] & [-3, 4] & [6, 12] \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, both sufficient conditions for positive definiteness succeed.

Thus, we can conclude that f si convex on the interval domain.

Parametric Interval Matrix

Consider

$$A(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A^{(k)} p_k,$$

where $A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(K)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are fixed symmetric matrices and p_1, \ldots, p_K are parameters varying respectively in $\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_K \in \mathbb{IR}$.

Definition

- A(p), p ∈ p, is strongly positive (semi)definite if A(p) is positive (semi)definite for each p ∈ p.
- It is *weakly positive (semi)definite* if A(p) is positive (semi)definite for at least one p ∈ p.

Parametric Interval Matrices

Relaxation

Evaluation $A(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A^{(k)} \mathbf{p}_k$ by interval arithmetic

- encloses the set of matrices A(p), $p \in \mathbf{p}$,
- may lead to loss of strong positive (semi-)definiteness.

Example

Let

$$A(p)=egin{pmatrix} 1&1\1&1 \end{pmatrix} p, \quad p\in \mathbf{p}=[0,1].$$

This parametric matrix is strongly positive semidefinite, but its relaxation

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) = egin{pmatrix} [0,1] & [0,1] \ [0,1] & [0,1] \end{pmatrix}$$

is not as it contains, e.g., the indefinite matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Strong Positive Semidefiniteness

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (1) A(p) is positive semidefinite for each $p \in \mathbf{p}$,
- (2) A(p) is positive semidefinite for each p such that $p_k \in \{\underline{p}_k, \overline{p}_k\} \forall k$,
- (3) $x^T A(p^c)x \sum_{k=1}^K |x^T A^{(k)}x| p_k^{\Delta} \ge 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 - It reduces the problem to checking positive semidefiniteness of 2^K real matrices.
 - The number can be further decreased in some cases.

Theorem

- (1) If $A^{(i)}$ is positive semidefinite for some *i*, then we can fix $p_i := \underline{p}_i$ for checking strong positive semidefiniteness.
- (2) If $A^{(i)}$ is negative semidefinite for some *i*, then we can fix $p_i := \overline{p}_i$ for checking strong positive semidefiniteness.

Strong Positive Semidefiniteness – Sufficient Condition

Theorem

For each k, split $A^{(k)} = A_1^{(k)} - A_2^{(k)}$ such that both $A_1^{(k)}, A_2^{(k)}$ are positive semidefinite. Then $A(p), p \in \mathbf{p}$, is strongly positive semidefinite if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(A_1^{(k)} \underline{p}_k - A_2^{(k)} \overline{p}_k \right)$$

is positive semidefinite.

How to Do the Splitting

• Let $A^{(k)} = Q \Lambda Q^T$ be a spectral decomposition of $A^{(k)}$.

2 Let Λ^+ be the positive part of Λ .

Solution
$$\mathbf{\delta}$$
 be the negative part of Λ.

• Then
$$A^{(k)} = Q\Lambda Q^T = Q\Lambda^+ Q^T - Q\Lambda^- Q^T$$
 and both $Q\Lambda^+ Q^T$, $Q\Lambda^- Q^T$ are positive semidefinite.

Overall cost: K + 1 spectral decompositions.

Theorem

Checking weak positive semidefiniteness is a polynomial problem.

Proof.

By reduction to semidefinite programming. Let M(p) be the block diagonal matrix with blocks

$$A(p), p_1 - \underline{p}_1, \ldots, p_K - \underline{p}_K, \overline{p}_1 - p_1, \ldots, \overline{p}_K - p_K.$$

- All entries of M(p) depends affinely on variables p.
- Positive definiteness of M(p) is equivalent to positive definiteness of A(p) and feasibility of variables $p \in \mathbf{p}$.

Therefore, by solving this semidefinite program we check whether A(p), $p \in \mathbf{p}$, is weakly positive semidefinite.

Strong Positive Definiteness

Theorem (The following are equivalent)

- (1) A(p), $p \in \mathbf{p}$, is strongly positive definite,
- (2) A(p) is positive definite for each p such that $p_k \in \{\underline{p}_k, \overline{p}_k\} \forall k$,
- (3) $x^T A(p^c)x \sum_{k=1}^K |x^T A^{(k)}x| p_k^{\Delta} > 0$ for each $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem

- (1) If $A^{(i)}$ is positive semidefinite for some *i*, then we can fix $p_i := \underline{p}_i$ for checking strong positive definiteness.
- (2) If $A^{(i)}$ is negative semidefinite for some *i*, then we can fix $p_i := \overline{p}_i$ for checking strong positive definiteness.

Theorem (Sufficient Condition)

For each k = 1, ..., K, split $A^{(k)} = A_1^{(k)} - A_2^{(k)}$ such that both $A_1^{(k)}, A_2^{(k)}$ are positive semidefinite. Then A(p), $p \in \mathbf{p}$, is strongly positive definite if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(A_1^{(k)} \underline{p}_k - A_2^{(k)} \overline{p}_k \right)$$
 is positive definite.

Strong Positive Definiteness and Regularity

Definition

A(p), $p \in \mathbf{p}$, is called *regular* if A(p) is nonsingular for each $p \in \mathbf{p}$.

Theorem

The parametric matrix A(p), $p \in \mathbf{p}$, is strongly positive definite if and only if A(p) is positive definite for some $p \in \mathbf{p}$ and A(p), $p \in \mathbf{p}$, is regular.

Beeck sufficient regularity criterion

A(p), $p \in \mathbf{p}$, is regular if

$$\rho(M^{\Delta}) < 1,$$

where

$$\mathbf{M} := \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(C A^{(k)} \right) \mathbf{p}_k,$$

and $C = A(p^c)^{-1}$ is the preconditioner.

Both sufficient conditions for strong positive definiteness are incomparable.

Application in Convexity Testing

Consider a class of functions

$$F(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} c_{\ell} x_{i_{\ell}} x_{j_{\ell}} x_{k_{\ell}},$$

where $i_{\ell}, j_{\ell}, k_{\ell} \in \{0, ..., n\}$ are not necessarily mutually different, and $x_0 = 1$.

Problem

Check for convexity of f(x) on $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{IR}^n$.

- The Hessian matrix has directly a linear parametric form.
- Each entry of the Hessian of f(x) is a linear function with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- The variables x play the role of the parameters p, and their domain x works as **p**.

Application in Convexity Testing - Example

Example

Check convexity of

$$f(x, y, z) = x^3 + 2x^2y - xyz + 3yz^2 + 5y^2,$$

on $x \in \mathbf{x} = [2,3]$, $y \in \mathbf{y} = [1,2]$ and $z \in \mathbf{z} = [0,1]$. The Hessian of f reads

$$abla^2 f(x,y,z) = egin{pmatrix} 6x + 4y & 4x - z & -y \ 4x - z & 10 & -x + 6z \ -y & -x + 6z & 6y \end{pmatrix}$$

Relaxation leads to

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} [16, 26] & [7, 12] & -[1, 2] \\ [7, 12] & 10 & [-3, 4] \\ -[1, 2] & [-3, 4] & [6, 12] \end{pmatrix},$$

which is not strongly positive semidefinite.

Nevertheless, the sufficient conditions for the parametric Hessian enclosure succeed in proving convexity!

- Extension of characterization of positive (semi)definiteness of interval matrices to parametric forms.
- Surprisingly, finite reduction is possible.
- Even more surprisingly, complexity needn't be worse (from 2^n to 2^K).

References

- M. Hladík, D. Daney, and E. Tsigaridas.
 Bounds on real eigenvalues and singular values of interval matrices. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 31(4):2116–2129, 2010.
- M. Hladík, D. Daney, and E. P. Tsigaridas.

Characterizing and approximating eigenvalue sets of symmetric interval matrices.

Comput. Math. Appl., 62(8):3152-3163, 2011.

L. Jaulin and D. Henrion.

Contracting optimally an interval matrix without loosing any positive semi-definite matrix is a tractable problem.

Reliab. Comput., 11(1):1–17, 2005.

J. Rohn.

Positive definiteness and stability of interval matrices. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 15(1):175–184, 1994.

J. Rohn.

A handbook of results on interval linear problems. Tech. Rep. 1163, Acad. of Sci. of the Czech Republic, Prague, 2012.