On the Tolerance Approach to Possibilistic Nonlinear Regression over Interval Data

Milan Hladík^{1,2} Michal Černý²

- ¹ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic
- ² Faculty of Computer Science and Statistics, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic

REC 2012, Brno, Czech Republic June 13 – 15

Traditional linear regression model

$$y = X\theta + \varepsilon$$

where

- *y* ... vector of output data;
- X ... matrix of input data;
- θ ... vector of regression parameters;
- ε ... vector of disturbances.

Crisp input - interval output model

$$\mathbf{\mathbf{}}^{"}\mathbf{y} = X\theta + \varepsilon\mathbf{}$$

Interval input - interval output model

$$``\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}"$$

Possibilistic solution concept

Determine an interval vector heta such that each observation is "covered"

$$\mathbf{y}_i \subseteq \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\theta}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

• We want θ as narrow as possible.

• This is a multi-criteria optimization problem!

Other solution concepts

- necessity solution $\ldots \forall i = 1, \ldots, n : \mathbf{y}_i \supseteq X_i \boldsymbol{\theta}.$
- weak possibilistic solution $\ldots \forall i = 1, \ldots, n : \mathbf{y}_i \cap \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\theta} \neq \emptyset$.
- strong possibilistic solution $\ldots \forall i = 1, \ldots, n : \forall X'_i \in \mathbf{X}_i : \mathbf{y}_i \subseteq X'_i \boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Interval Linear Regression

The tolerance approach

Consider $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ in the form of $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta^c - \delta^* \cdot \boldsymbol{c}, \theta^c + \delta^* \cdot \boldsymbol{c}]$, where

- θ^c is determined from (y^c, X^c) using e.g. least squares;
- *c* is given by a user, e.g.
 - $c = |\theta^c|$. . . relative tolerance,
 - $c = (1, \ldots, 1)^T$... absolute tolerance,
 - if $c_i = 0$ then θ_i is forced to be crisp
- δ^* is defined as

$$\delta^* = \inf\{\delta \ge 0; \ \mathbf{y}_i \subseteq \mathbf{X}_i[\theta^c - \delta \cdot c, \theta^c + \delta \cdot c], \ i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Properties of δ^*

• it is easy to calculte, e.g. for the crisp input – ouput model $\delta^* = \max_{i:|X|_i c > 0} |y_i - X_i \theta^c| / (|X|_i c)$

- its interpretation: For relative tolerances, it suffices to perturb θ^c by no more than 100 $\cdot\,\delta^*\%$ to cover all data
- it can serve as a goodness-of-fit measure of the model

Interval Nonlinear Regression

From now on, we restrict ourselves to *crisp input – interval output models*. That is, X is crisp, **y** is interval. (The ideas can be extended further.)

Model

Consider a (nonlinear) regression function

$$f(x; \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_p),$$

where

• x is a *data variable* (possibly a vector of variables),

• $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_p)^T$ are regression parameters.

Possibilistic solution

In the crisp input - interval output regression model

$$\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{''}y}}_i = f(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{\mathbf{''}}$$

we seek for a narrow interval vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ such that

$$\mathbf{y}_i \subseteq f(x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The tolerance approach: analogy of the linear case

Method

- Step 1. Determine θ^c using traditional nonlinear regression methods for data (X, y^c) .
- Step 2. Choose c. [For example: choose $c = |\theta^c|$ for relative tolerances.]
- Step 3. Find the minimal tolerance quotient δ^* such that

$$\mathbf{y}_i \subseteq f(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
 (1)

where

$$oldsymbol{ heta}^* = [heta^{oldsymbol{c}} - \delta^* \cdot oldsymbol{c}, \hspace{0.2cm} heta^{oldsymbol{c}} + \delta^* \cdot oldsymbol{c}].$$

Now, θ^* is the resulting interval vector of regression parameters.

Observation

If the inclusion (1) can be tested efficiently, then δ^* can be found using binary search (under certain assumptions).

We need to determine $f(x, \theta)$...

Theorem (Gaganov, 1981)

In general, computing $f(x, \theta)$ is NP-hard (even its ε -approximation).

Definition (A-type nonlinear regression models)

The function $f(x, \theta)$ can be expressed as a formula

- containing $+, -, \times, \div$;
- elementary functions which are easy-to-evaluate over intervals (e.g. exp, log, etc.);
- is monotone with respect to each parameter θ₁,..., θ_p which appears more than once in the formula.
- For A-type model, interval arithmetic calculates exactly $f(x, \theta)$.
- Otherwise, it may overestimate. In general, checking exactness of interval arithmetic is NP-hard (Kreinovich, Longpré, Buckley, 2003), but we may sometimes utilize endpoint analysis.

Examples of \mathcal{A} -type models

• The logistic growth model

$$f(x; heta_1, heta_2, heta_3) = rac{ heta_1}{1 + e^{- heta_2(x- heta_3)}};$$

• Gompertz growth model

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = \theta_1 \cdot e^{-e^{-\theta_2(x-\theta_3)}};$$

• estimation of the degree of a polynomial in the form

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 x + \theta_3 x^{\theta_4};$$

• Berry's model (used in agriculture for modeling the crop yield as a function of the density of planting)

$$f(x_1, x_2; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) = \left(\theta_1 + \theta_2 \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2}\right) + \frac{\theta_3}{x_1 x_2}\right)^{-\theta_4};$$

oscillation model

$$f(x;\theta_1,\theta_2,\theta_3)=\theta_1e^{-\theta_2x}\cos(\theta_3x).$$

Example 1: degradation of material

- We measure the degree of degradation of a material (y) as a function of time (x) for which the material is exposed to unfavorable conditions (such as temperature or pressure).
- The degree of disruption is measured on a discrete scale 0,...,10, where 0 means "no damage", 1 means "very mild damage", ..., and 10 means "totally damaged".
- The values of y are determined by experts (say, by visual inspection of constructions where the material has been used).

Due to a certain subjectivity of experts, it is appropriate to consider the grade $y \in \{1, \ldots, 9\}$ as an interval, say of the form

$$[\underline{y}, \overline{y}] = [y - 0.5, y + 0.5].$$

We model the dependence of y on x using the Gompertz curve

$$y = 10e^{-e^{-\theta_1(x-\theta_2)}}$$

This is an A-class model.

First, we fit the centers of data using nonlinear least squares, resulting in the estimated parameters

$$\widehat{ heta}_1 = 0.795, \quad \widehat{ heta}_2 = 4.887$$



- Now we would like to extend the estimated crisp values $\theta_1^c := \hat{\theta}_1$ and $\theta_2^c := \hat{\theta}_2$ to interval values covering all observations.
- We observe that the points y ∈ {0, 10} can never be covered with the Gompertz curve. From now on, we restrict ourselves to the data in the B-phase only.
- Choice 1. We set $c = \begin{pmatrix} 0.795 \\ 4.887 \end{pmatrix}$ (i.e., relative tolerances). We get the value $\delta^* = 0.183$. The data are covered by the intervals $[(1 0.183) \cdot 0.795, (1 + 0.183) \cdot 0.795]$ and $[(1 0.183) \cdot 4.887, (1 + 0.183) \cdot 4.887]$ for θ_1 and θ_2 , respectively. We conclude that it suffices to perturb the values $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2$ by no more than 18.3% in order all intervals be covered.
- Choice 2. We set $c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (i.e., absolute tolerances). We get the value $\delta^* = 0.360$. The data are covered by the intervals [0.795 0.36, 0.795 + 0.36] and [4.887 0.36, 4.887 + 0.36] for θ_1 and θ_2 , respectively. We conclude that it suffices to perturb the values $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2$ by no more than 0.36 in order all intervals be covered.

• Choice 3. We set $c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4.887 \end{pmatrix}$. This models the situation that the dynamics of degradation is kept constant and we can perturb only the shift θ_2 of the Gompertz curve to cover the data. We get the value $\delta^* = 0.254$. The data are covered by the interval $[(1 - 0.254) \cdot 4.887, (1 + 0.254) \cdot 4.887]$ for θ_2 .



Example 2 — change-in-phase regression

- In this example we consider a *non-A-type model*.
- We will evaluate the non-*A*-type regression function f(x; θ) using interval arithmetic. Then, we can face *redundancy*.

Assume that the dependent variable y depends on the explanatory variable x according to the simple linear relationship

$$y=\theta_1+\theta_2x.$$

We know that *the relationship changes in an unknown point*. Assume that the point of change is continuous and smooth. It is suitable to use a model of the form

$$y = (1 - S(x))(\theta_1 + \theta_2 x) + S(x)(\theta_3 + \theta_4 x),$$

where S is a suitable nondecreasing function with $S(\mathbb{R}) = (0, 1)$.

S(x) is called a "switching function". We will use the logistic function

$$L(x;\theta_5,\theta_6)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-\theta_5(x-\theta_6)}}.$$

In the model

$$y = (1 - S(x))(\theta_1 + \theta_2 x) + S(x)(\theta_3 + \theta_4 x),$$

the switching function $S(x) = L(x; \theta_5, \theta_6)$ plays the following role:

- when $S(x) \approx 0$, then the data follow the model $\theta_1 + \theta_2 x$ ("Phase 1");
- when $S(x) \approx 1$, then the data follow the model $\theta_3 + \theta_4 x$ ("Phase 2");
- when 0 ≪ S(x) ≪ 1, then the data are in a "transition phase" between Phase 1 and Phase 2.



Using nonlinear least squares for centers of data we get

$$\widehat{\theta}_1 = 3.47, \quad \widehat{\theta}_2 = 0.12, \quad \widehat{\theta}_3 = -2.73, \quad \widehat{\theta}_4 = 0.86, \quad \widehat{\theta}_5 = 1.60, \quad \widehat{\theta}_6 = 5.50.$$



We apply the tolerance approach for calculation of the interval regression parameters.

We set $\theta^{c} = (3.47, 0.12, -2.73, 0.86, 1.60, 5.50)^{T}$ and we consider three choices of c:

- Choice 1: c = |θ^c| = (3.47, 0.12, 2.73, 0.86, 1.60, 5.50)^T (relative tolerances), with the resulting value δ* = 0.186. It suffices to perturb the regression coefficients θ^c by no more that 18.6% in order all data be covered.
- Choice 2: $c = (3.47, 0.12, 2.73, 0.86, 0, 5.50)^T$ (relative tolerances assuming that the dynamics of the transition phase is fixed), with the resulting value $\delta^* = 0.190$;
- Choice 3: c = (3.47, 0.12, 2.73, 0.86, 0, 0)^T (relative tolerances assuming that the dynamics and location of the transition phase is fixed), with the resulting value δ* = 0.273.

We plot the enclosures as a function of x, where the expression $f(x; [\theta^c - \delta^* \cdot c, \theta^c + \delta^* \cdot c])$ was evaluated using interval arithmetic. (Observe that it can be redundant since this is not an \mathcal{A} -type model.)



M. Hladík and M. Černý (CUNI, VŠE)

June 13-15, 2012 17 / 18

Conclusion and future work

 $\bullet\,$ For a non- $\mathcal{A}\text{-type}$ model, the computed δ^* is a lower bound on the optimum.

An upper bound can be computed by the overestimation formula for the mean value or slope enclosures.

• For interval input – interval/crisp output model, it is also natural to consider the solution concept

$$\mathbf{y}_i \subseteq \Big[\max_{X_i \in \mathbf{X}_i} \underline{f}(X_i, \theta), \min_{X_i \in \mathbf{X}_i} \overline{f}(X_i, \theta)\Big], \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

instead of

$$\mathbf{y}_i \subseteq f(\mathbf{X}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It leads to (Kaucher) extended interval arithmetic.