Towards understanding winter road maintenance

Martin Loebl, Charles University, Prague

joint work with Jirka Fink and Petra Pelikanova Supported by the Marie Skodowska-Curie grant CoSP (MSCA RISE), agreement No 823748. Let  $G^s$  denote the symmetric orientation of graph G, i.e., each edge of G appears twice oppositely oriented in  $G^s$ . The solution has the following features.

- We construct a partition P = {P<sub>1</sub>,..., P<sub>r</sub>} of the set of arcs of G<sup>s</sup> into sets P<sub>1</sub>,..., P<sub>r</sub> and for each *i* we assign vertex (depot) d<sub>i</sub> ∈ D. We assume the type of maintenance *m* constant in P<sub>i</sub>. We also assume that the oppositely oriented edges belong to the same P<sub>i</sub>.
- We construct, for each *i*, set  $R_i$  so that  $P_i \subset R_i$  and each arc of  $P_i$  may be reached from  $d_i$  by a directed closed walk of  $R_i$ .
- For each *i*, we design a schedule of maintenance of the edges of *P<sub>i</sub>* by a single vehicle starting and terminating at *d<sub>i</sub>* and using only arcs of *R<sub>i</sub>*, with a fixed time period.

 Length of working shift: 8 hours in the Czech Republic, plus multiple safety breaks.
 For simplicity, we will assume in our model that the working shift lasts a

For simplicity, we will assume in our model that the working shift lasts a fixed amount of time, e.g., six hours, uninterrapted.

• The road network has a service hierarchy which partitions the roads into classes.

In the Czech Republic, there are three such classes: Arterial roads priority (1). Priority (2) is assigned to bus routes and other important routs. Each class is associated with maximum time of service completion.

- The capacity  $c_m$  describing the maximum length of a route which can be maintained with only one loading of the material  $m \in M$  (Then back to the depot).
- It turns out that it is more convenient to define  $c_m$  as a fraction of the maximum route-length of one vehicle rather than as a 'number'.

## Case of one route: Maintaining plan

- Maintaining plan is a tuple  $(G, P, d, \alpha, z, p)$ . G = (V, E) a graph representing a *road network*. Vertices represent crossroads (and dead ends) and edges represent roads among them.
- $\alpha: E \to R^+$  gives to every edge a non-negative length,
- Let  $z \ge 1$  denote the number of priority classes of roads
- $p: E \to \{1, \ldots, z\}$  priority level,
- $P \subset E$  is the set of maintained edges. We will assume P = E (It is practical)
- $d \in V$  is called the *depot*

- A vehicle route is sequence of arcs of E<sup>S</sup>, w = (e<sub>1</sub>,..., e<sub>ℓ</sub>), which starts and ends at d,
- Given numbers L,c and functions  $t \colon \{1, \ldots, z\} \times Z^+ \to R$  and  $f \colon E \to Z^+$
- Each arc of  $E^{S}$  is traversed at least once by w and at most f(e) times,

• Total length limit L: 
$$\sum_{q \leq \ell} \alpha(e_q) \leq L$$
,

- For all pairs i < j (taken cyclically) and y such that</li>
  [i] e<sub>i</sub> appears exactly (y − 1) times among e<sub>1</sub>,..., e<sub>i−1</sub>,
  [ii] e<sub>i</sub> = e<sub>j</sub> and
  [iii] e<sub>i</sub> ≠ e<sub>k</sub> for i < k < j,</li>
  we have
  ∑<sup>j-1</sup><sub>q≥i</sub> α(e<sub>q</sub>) ≤ Lt(p(e<sub>i</sub>), y)),
- A trip is a segment  $T_j$  of route w which starts and ends by depot d and the interior of  $T_j$  (ending vertices are excluded) does not contain the depot. A vehicle route is thus a sequence of trips.

• capacity c: for all trips 
$$T_j, \sum_{e \in T_i \cap P^S} \alpha(e) \leq cL$$
.

Deciding existence of a vehicle route is hard even for stars and all associated parameters and functions except  $\alpha$  trivial (reduction to number partitioning problem).

< ∃ →

3

- Why the above hardness proof is relevant? Lengths are bounded, degrees are bounded...
- Weighted versus unweighted version: The function α on edges should be interpreted as cost of traversing the road segment rather than the length of the segment.
- For some roads, the cost is not linear in length (mountain roads ...)
- Public satisfaction/complaints are important
- Routes are very expensive (vehicles, gas, drivers)

- Existence of a vehicle route visiting each arc at most *F* times is **efficient** for unweighted trees of bounded degree (and for bounded tree-width graphs)
- This is the basis of our algorithm for the winter road maintenance
- Both restrictions (F and bounded degree) are necessary
- A simplification leads to an interesting graph cutting problem
- A (first as far as we know) attempt to formalise public satisfaction

#### Theorem

Fixed integers  $F, \Delta$ . There exists a polynomial time algorithm which for a tree T = (V, E) rooted in d with maximal degree at most  $\Delta$ , function  $f : E \to N$  such that  $f(e) \leq F$  for all  $e \in E$  and function  $g : E \times \{1, \ldots, F\} \to N$  decides whether there exists a closed walk w starting at r satisfying

- Every edge e of  $T^s$  is traversed f(e)-times in both directions.
- For every edge e of T<sup>s</sup> and y ≤ f(e), there are at most g(e, y) steps between y−th and (y + 1)−st traverses of e, taken cyclically.

#### Theorem

Fixed integers  $F, \Delta, k$ . There exists a polynomial time algorithm which for a graph G = (V, E) rooted in d and with maximal degree at most  $\Delta$ , given along with its canonical tree decomposition (W, b) of width k - 1 and functions  $f : E^s \to N$  such that  $f(e) \leq F$  for all  $e \in E^s$  and  $g : E^s \times \{1, \ldots, F\} \to N$  decides whether there exists a closed walk w starting at d satisfying

- Every edge e is traversed f(e)-times in both directions.
- For every edge e and y ≤ f(e), there are at most g(e, y) steps between the y−th and (y + 1)−th traverses of e, taken cyclically.

#### Theorem

It is NP-complete to decide whether a given binary tree T = (V, E) rooted in d and function  $f, g : E \to N$  there exists a closed walk w starting at r satisfying

- Every edge e is traversed f(e)-times in both directions.
- For every edge e, there are at most g(e) steps between two consecutive traverses of e in both direction, taken cyclically.

The problem is NP-complete even if we restrict f to be non-increasing on all paths from the depot.

#### Theorem

Fixed integer F. It is NP-complete to decide whether a given tree T = (V, E) rooted in d, function  $f : E \to N$  such that  $f(e) \leq F$  for every edge e and function  $g : E \times \{1, \ldots, F\} \to N$  there exists a closed walk w starting at d satisfying

- Every edge e is traversed f(e)-times in both directions.
- For every edge e and  $y \le f(e)$ , there are at most g(e) steps between two y-the and (y + 1)-st traverses of e in both direction, taken cyclically.

- Graph Cutting Problem is to find, for a given graph *G* with root *d* and set of numbers  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$ , a cover of the edge-set of *G* by connected subgraphs  $G_1, \ldots, G_k$  rooted in *d* of sizes  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$ .
- Let *k* be any fixed number. There is a polynomial algorithm to solve the Tree Cutting Problem. This solves polynomially vehicle routing for trees and constant priority.
- There is always a solution for k = 2 and G 2-connected.
- NP-complete in general for k = 2.

- Services of winter road maintenance are mostly of higher quality than what legislation constraints require.
- The complaints from public against the winter road maintenance is focused on in media or in election campaigns.
- The experience is that residents make complaints about the winter road maintenance plans if they think that they are **treated in an unfair manner**, i.e., if their neighbourhood is 'skipped' in the service.
- We will formalise public complaints in graphs.
- We assume a fixed cyclic order O(v) of neighbours of each vertex v. Such orders of incident roads are historically acknowledged in the communities.

We make a rational assumption that the number of public complaints can be deduced from the structure of a vehicle route and in particular from its perceived unfairness.

Let *d* be the depot of degree 1. Let  $w = (e_1, ..., e_l)$  be a vehicle route. For  $e_i = (s_i, t_i)$ 

- let (w, i) + denote the edge incident with  $t_i$  which follows  $(s_i, t_i)$  in  $O(t_i)$
- let (w, i) denote the edge incident with  $s_i$  which precedes  $(s_i, t_i)$  in  $O(s_i)$ .
- If no orientation of (w, i)+ belongs to (e<sub>1</sub>,..., e<sub>i+1</sub>) then we say that edge (w, i)+ has a forward complaint.
- If no orientation of (w, i + 1)- belongs to  $(e_1, ..., e_{i+1})$  then we say that edge (w, i + 1)- has a backward complaint.
- The unfairness index of the route w, denoted by Uf(w), is the sum of the number of edges which have a forward complaint and the number of edges which have a backward complaint.

通 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

### Theorem (Noga Alon)

Every necklace with ka<sub>i</sub> beads of color i,  $1 \le i \le s$ , has a k-splitting of size at most  $(k-1) \cdot s$ .

- Finding smallest number of cuts is NP-complete even for k = 2 and each  $a_i = 1$  (Bonsman, Eppig and Hochstttler; Meunier)
- Can one find efficiently the guaranteed splitting ?
- This was finally answered negatively in 2019 by Filos-Ratsikas and Goldberg:

finding necklace splitting guaranteed by Alon's theorem is PPA-complete even for k = 2.

We say that problem is *PPA-complete* if it is polynomial time equivalent to the LEAF problem.

• An instance of the problem called *LEAF* consists of a graph *G* of maximum degree 2, whose 2<sup>n</sup> vertices are represented by 0, 1 sequences of length *n*; *G* is given by an algorithm that takes as input a vertex and outputs its neighbours. Moreover, the vertex 0 has degree 1. The goal is to output another vertex of degree 1.

A (10) + (10)

#### Theorem

There exists a polynomial reduction of the necklace splitting problem to the unfairness minimisation problem where the network is a star rooted in its leaf, with edge-weights, each edge maintained at least once and c = 1/k.

**Proof.** Let  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{s} ka_i$  be the length of the necklace. Define numbers  $M_1, \ldots, M_s$  so that  $M_i > n \sum_{j < i} M_j$ Let T be a star with n + 1 leaves  $v_0, \ldots, v_n$  and center x. Let  $d = v_0$  and let each edge  $xv_i$  has weight  $M_j$  if the bead in position i has color j. The weight of  $xv_0$  is zero. Finally let L be twice the sum of all the edge-weights and let c = 1/k.

Weighted tree cutting with a guaranteed Unfairness is PPA-complete.

# Unfairness in unweighted networks:

- Min necklace splitting hard for k = 2, and each  $a_i = 1$ . Relating this special case to the Unfairness:
- Is there a set of natural numbers  $M_1, \ldots, M_s$  such that
- for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ ,  $M_i$  bounded by a fixed power of s and
- all partial sums of M<sub>i</sub>'s are pairwise distinct.
- Let  $f(n) = \min\{\max S : |S| = n \text{ and } S \text{ has distinct subset sums}\}$
- Paul Erdös conjectured in 1931 that for some constant c

$$f(n) \geq c2^n$$
.

- Conway and Guy found a construction of sets with distinct subset sum, now called the *Conway-Guy sequence*. This was later improved by Lunnan and then by Bohman to  $f(n) \leq 0.22002 \cdot 2^n$  (for *n* sufficiently large).
- The best known lower bound, up to the constant, has been proved by Erdös and Moser in 1955,  $f(n) \ge 2^n/(10\sqrt{n}).$

- Unfairness index polynomial for tree cutting, fixed k
- Is there Alon's theorem for trees, planar graphs? Trees,  $k = a_1 = a_2 = 2$ : might be also *s*.

米 医 ト 二 臣