**Problem 1.** For each natural number t, let  $X_t = (-t,t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -t < x < t\}$  and  $Y_t = [-t,t] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -t \le x \le t\}$ . Describe the following sets:

- 1.  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i$  and  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i$
- 2.  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i$  and  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i$

Solution.

- 1. We claim that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i = \mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i$ . It is obvious that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . For the other direction let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Take the smallest natural number t such that t > |x|. Then  $x \in X_t$  so  $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i$ , finishing the proof.  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i = \mathbb{R}$  can be proved similarly.
- 2. We claim that  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i = \emptyset$ . This is because  $X_0 = \emptyset$  and intersection of any set with the empty set is still empty. Similarly, since  $Y_0 = \{0\}$  and  $\forall t \ge 1, 0 \in Y_t$  we can see that  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} Y_i = \{0\}$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $A = \{2, 7, 15\}$  and B be a set such that |B| = 5 answer the following:

- 1. What is the smallest and largest cardinality (size) of  $A \cap B$ .
- 2. What is the smallest and largest cardinality (size) of  $A \cup B$ .
- 3. What is the smallest and largest cardinality (size) of  $A \times B$ .

Solution.

- 1. Smallest size of  $A \cap B$  is 0, this happens when  $B = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  for example. Largest size is 3, occurring when  $A \subseteq B$ .
- 2. Smallest size of  $A \cup B$  is 5, occurring whenever  $A \subseteq B$ . Biggest possible size is 8, occurring whenever  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .
- 3. Smallest and largest size are the same in this case, since  $|A \times B| = |A||B|$  for any two sets A and B.

**Problem 3.** Find an example of a set A of cardinality 5 whose elements are sets and if  $B \in A$  then  $B \subseteq A$ . (These sets are called transitive)

Solution. One can construct transitive sets of arbitrary size using the following trick. In the process we also define the natural numbers using sets! Start by setting  $0 := \emptyset$ . Then let  $1 := \{0\}, 2 := \{0, 1\}, 3 := \{0, 1, 2\}, 4 := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $5 := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Obviously 5 has 5 elements and checking that each element is a subset is easy.  $\Box$ 



**Problem 4.** Describe the following Venn diagrams in set notation:

Solution. For the left diagram the simplest description is  $(A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$ . For the right diagram the following description works:  $(C \setminus (A \cup B)) \cup ((A \cap B) \setminus C) \square$ 

**Problem 5.** (*HW*) Let A, B, C be sets and prove the following:

- 1.  $(A, B \subseteq C) \implies (A \cup B \subseteq C)$
- 2.  $(A = B) \iff (A \cup B = A \cap B)$
- 3.  $(A \subseteq B) \implies ((A \cup C) \subseteq (B \cup C))$

Solution. Note that some authors use " $A \subset B$ " to mean "A is a subset of B" while some other authors use it to mean "A is a porper subset of B", that is, A is a subset of B but not equal to B. The statements above are incorrect if " $\subset$ " symbol is used with the latter interpretation (proper subset). For example,  $A = \{1\}, B = \{2\}, C = \{1, 2\}$  contradicts the first claim. Here to avoid any ambiguity we will use " $\subseteq$ ".

- 1. Suppose  $A, B \subseteq C$ . Now, let  $x \in A \cup B$ . Then either  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ . Either way, since  $A \subseteq C$  and  $B \subseteq C$ , we can conclude that  $x \in C$  so  $A \cup B \subseteq C$ .
- 2. For the " $\implies$ " direction, assume A = B. Then  $A \cap B = A \cap A = A = A \cup A = A \cup B$ . For the " $\Leftarrow$ " direction, assume  $A \neq B$  for contraction. Without loss of generality<sup>1</sup> we can then assume that there is an  $x \in A \setminus B$ . Then clearly  $x \in A \cup B$  but  $x \notin A \cap B$ . Hence  $A \cup B \neq A \cap B$ , so we reached a contradiction.
- 3. Let  $x \in A \cup C$ . If  $x \in A \setminus C$  then  $x \in B$  since  $A \subseteq B$  and hence we conclude that  $x \in B \cup C$ . If  $x \in C$  then obviously  $x \in B \cup C$  by definition.

## **Problem 6.** Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$ be sets of natural numbers and prove the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If there is no such x then there must be  $x \in B \setminus A$  otherwise A = B contrary to our assumption. We can switch the names of the sets and proceed with the (counter)example where  $x \in A \setminus B$ .

1.  $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$ 

2.  $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$ 

Solution.

1.  $x \in (A \cup B)^c \iff x \notin A \text{ and } x \notin B \iff x \in A^c \text{ and } x \in B^c \iff x \in A^c \cap B^c.$ 

2. 
$$x \in (A \cap B)^c \iff x \notin (A \cap B) \iff x \notin A \text{ or } x \notin B \iff x \in A^c \cup B^c$$

**Problem 7.** Let A be a set and for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the collection of subsets of A denoted by  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ . Then prove the following:

- 1.  $A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (A \setminus X_i)$
- 2.  $A \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A \setminus X_i)$

How does this exercise relate to the previous one?

Solution. The argument for proving this is essentially the same as the previous one. Simply replace n with 2 and A with  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then repeat the same proof as above :).  $\Box$ 

**Problem 8.** (*HW*) Prove or Disprove: Let X, Y, Z be sets, if  $X \times Y = Z \times Y$  then X = Z.

Solution. Based on interpretation of the problem there are two acceptable solutions:

- 1. Without additional assumption statement is false if  $Y = \emptyset$ . In this case  $X \times Y = Z \times Y = \emptyset$  for any choice of X, Z so they can be different.
- 2. Assume  $Y \neq \emptyset$ . In this case one can argue by contradiction. Assume  $X \neq Z$ . Then, without loss of generality we can assume that there exists  $x \in X \setminus Z$ . Then  $\forall y \in Y$ ,  $(x, y) \in X \times Y \setminus Z \times Y$  so we reach a contradiction (Notice that if  $Y = \emptyset$  then this last argument is not justified because there are no elements  $y \in Y$  that would provide an ordered pair (x, y) to be used for contradiction).