

## The matroid of a graphing an article by Laszlo Lovasz

---

**Definition 1** (Local convergence).

$\mathcal{G}_D^\bullet := \{\text{The space of all rooted connected degree } D\text{-bounded graphs}\}$  ,  $(\mathcal{G}_D^\bullet)_r := \{g \in \mathcal{G}_D^\bullet : \forall x \in V(g), d(x, \text{root}) \leq r\}$ .

A sequence of graphs  $(G_1, G_2, \dots)$  is locally convergent, if for all  $r$  and  $g_r \in (\mathcal{G}_D^\bullet)_r$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_x[B_r^{G_i}(x) \cong g_r]$  converges.

**Definition 2** (Graphing). A **graphing** is a quadruple  $\mathbf{G} = (J, \mathcal{B}, E, \lambda)$ , where  $(J, \mathcal{B})$  is a standard **Borel sigma-algebra**,  $E$  is a **Borel subset** of  $J \times J$  that is symmetric (invariant under interchanging the coordinates),  $\lambda$  is a probability measure on  $(J, \mathcal{B})$ , and a “**measure-preservation**” condition holds:

$$\int_A \deg_B(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_B \deg_A(x) d\lambda(x) \quad (A, B \in \mathcal{B}).$$

We also have this measure that can extend to any Borel set of  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ :

$$\text{Edge measure: } \eta(A \times B) = \int_A \deg_B(x) d\lambda(x)$$

A **subgraphing** ( $\mathbf{G}^F$ ) of a graphing  $\mathbf{G} = (J, \mathcal{B}, E, \lambda)$  is a 4-tuple  $\mathbf{H} = (J, \mathcal{B}, F, \lambda)$ , where  $F \subseteq E$  is a Borel set, we can prove this subgraphing is a graphing. and we have,

$$\eta_{\mathbf{H}}(X) = \eta(X) \quad (X \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}, X \subseteq F).$$

**Lemma 3.** For  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , the neighborhood  $N_{\mathbf{G}}(B)$  is Borel.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a graphing, and let  $f : V(\mathbf{G}) \times V(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally finite Borel function. Assume that  $f(x, y) = 0$  unless  $y \in V(\mathbf{G}_x)$ . Then

$$\int_{V(\mathbf{G})} \sum_y f(x, y) dx = \int_{V(\mathbf{G})} \sum_x f(x, y) dy.$$

**Definition 5** (Matroid). A **matroid**  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  consists of a finite set  $E$  (the ground set) and a collection of subsets  $\mathcal{I}$  (the independent sets), satisfying the following axioms:

- 1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$  2)  $A \in \mathcal{I}, B \subseteq A \implies B \in \mathcal{I}$  3)  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}, |A| > |B| \implies \exists x \in A \setminus B : B \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$   
Non-emptiness Hereditary Exchange property

or equivalently  $M = (E, r)$  consists of a finite set  $E$  (the ground set) and a rank function  $r : 2^E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , satisfying the following axioms:

- 1)  $r(\emptyset) = 0$  2)  $A \subseteq B \subseteq E : r(B) - |B \setminus A| \leq r(A) \leq r(B)$  3)  $A, B \subseteq E : r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B) \leq r(A) + r(B)$   
Normalization Monotonicity Submodularity

**Definition 6** (Cycle matroid of a graph).

$$G = (V, E) \rightarrow M(G) = (E, \mathcal{I} = \{\text{All the cycle-free subsets of edges}\})$$

or equivalently

$$(E, r); r(X) := |V| - c; c : \text{is the number of connected components}$$

**Definition 7** ( $\mathcal{B}$ -partition). Let  $(J, \mathcal{B})$  be a standard Borel space, let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a partition of  $J$ , and for  $X \subseteq J$ , define

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \cup \{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \cap X \neq \emptyset\}.$$

$\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition, if  $A \in \mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{P}(A) \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Definition 8** (Re-randomization). Let  $\pi$  be a probability measure on  $(J, \mathcal{B})$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a random point from  $\pi$ . If  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}$  is finite, then let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a uniform random point of  $\mathcal{P}$ ; else, let  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{P}$  has the re-randomizing property if  $\mathbf{v}$  that is obtained by re-randomizing  $\mathbf{u}$  along  $\mathcal{P}$  distributed according to  $\pi$ .

We will prove that the following rank normalization can be introduced as a cycle matroid for graphing.  $X_u$  is connected competent that includes  $u$ .

$$\rho(X) := \frac{r(X)}{|V|} = 1 - E_u \left( \frac{1}{|X_u|} \right), \psi(X) := E_u \left( \frac{1}{|X_u|} \right) \implies \rho_{\mathbf{G}}(X) := 1 - E_u \left( \frac{1}{|\mathbf{G}_u^X|} \right) = 1 - \psi(\mathcal{P}^X).$$

**Lemma 9.** Let  $\mathbf{G} = (J, \mathcal{B}, E, \lambda)$  be a graphing, and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the partition of  $J$  into the connected components of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition with the re-randomizing property.

**Lemma 10.** (a) If  $P$  and  $Q$  are  $\mathcal{B}$ -partitions, then so is  $P \vee Q$ .  
(b) If  $Q$  has a countable number of classes, then  $P \wedge Q$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition.

**Lemma 11.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition,  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , and let  $A_k = \cup\{P \in \mathcal{P} : |P \cap A| = k\}$ . Then  $A_k \in \mathcal{B}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$ .

**Definition 12** (finite-class representative). Call a set  $S$  a finite-class representative of a  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , if it is a Borel set,  $|S \cap P| = 1$  for every finite  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , and  $|S \cap P| = 0$  for every infinite  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Lemma 13.** Every  $\mathcal{B}$ -partition has a finite-class representative.

**Lemma 14.** If  $\mathcal{P}'$  is obtained by splitting some finite classes of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  has the re-randomizing property, then so does  $\mathcal{P}'$ .

**Lemma 15.** If  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are  $\mathcal{B}$ -partitions with the re-randomizing property, then so does  $\mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}$ .

**Lemma 16** (Main lemma). For  $\mathcal{B}$ -partitions  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and  $\mathcal{R} \leq \mathcal{P} \wedge \mathcal{Q}$  with the re-randomizing property,

$$\psi(\mathcal{R}) + \psi(\mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{Q}) \geq \psi(\mathcal{P}) + \psi(\mathcal{Q}),$$

**Theorem 17** (Submodularity of the normalized rank function of a graphing). The set function  $\rho$ , defined on the Borel subsets of the edge set of a graphing, is increasing and submodular. Additionally, it satisfies the inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{1+D} \eta(X) \leq \rho(X) \leq \eta(X),$$

where  $D$  is the maximum degree of the graphing.

**Definition 18.** *matroid polytope*, is the convex hull of indicator vectors of subforests. The points of this polytope are called **fractional independent sets** for the cycle matroid of a graphing those are **minorizing measures**  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \varphi$ . It is also a **maximal minorizing measure** if  $\alpha(E) = \varphi(E)$ . A minorizing measure is **extremal**, if it cannot be written as the average of two different minorizing measures.

**Definition 19** (Hyperfinite graphing). We say that a graphing  $\mathbf{G} = (J, \mathcal{B}, E, \lambda)$  is hyperfinite, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a Borel set  $X \subseteq E$  with  $\eta(X) \leq \varepsilon$  such that all connected components of  $\mathbf{G} \setminus X$  are finite.

**Lemma 20.** Let  $\mathbf{F} = (J, \mathcal{B}, E, \lambda)$  be a hyperfinite acyclic graphing. Then for all Borel sets  $U \subseteq E$ , we have  $\rho(U) = \eta(U)/2$ .

In particular,  $\rho$  is not only submodular, but modular, and hence, a measure.

**Theorem 21.** Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a hyperfinite graphing and  $\mathbf{H} = (J, F)$ , a Borel measurable spanning subforest of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then

$$\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mathbf{G}}(X \cap F)$$

defines an extremal maximal minorizing measure on the Borel subsets of  $E$ .

**Theorem 22.** If  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  is a sequence of finite graphs with all degrees bounded by  $D \geq 0$  locally converging to a graphing  $\mathbf{G} = (J, \mathcal{B}, E, \lambda)$ , then  $\bar{\rho}(G_n) \rightarrow \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{G})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Which  $\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{G}) := \rho_{\mathbf{G}}(E(\mathbf{G}))$ , is total rank.