## On the possibility of faster SAT algorithms

Mihai Pătrașcu

Ryan Williams

presented by Tomáš Gavenčiak

## Problems

The examined problems:

**CNF-SAT:** Given a CNF fromula F (in form  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} (\bigvee_{j}(x_{i,j}))$ ) with n variables and m caluses, decide if F is satisfiable.

Best known algorithm: CNF-SAT in time  $2^{n(1-1/O(\log(m/n)))}$  poly(m).

**k-SAT:** CNF-SAT with each clause of size at most k.

Best known algorithm: k-SAT in time  $2^{n(1-1/\Theta(k))}$  poly(m).

Reduced to problems:

*k*-DomSet: Given a graph G, is there  $D \subset V_G$ ,  $|D| \leq k$  such that D dominates G? That is  $\forall v \in V_G \exists d \in D : v \in N[d]$ 

Best known algorithm: k-DomSet in time  $O(n^{k+o(1)})$ .

**2-SAT+2Clauses:** Given a formula F with 2 arbitrary clauses  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  such that  $F - C_1 - C_2$  is 2-SAT, is F satisfiable?

Best known algorithm: 2-SAT+2Clauses in time  $O(mn + n^2)$ .

**HornSAT**+k**Clauses:** Given a formula F with k arbitrary clauses  $C_i$  such that  $F - \bigcup C_i$  is HornSAT, is F satisfiable? In HornSAT, each clause contains at most one positive literal.

Best known algorithm: HornSAT+kClauses in time  $O(n^k(n+m))$ .

**3-party disjointness:** For  $S_1, S_2, S_3 \subset [m]$ , three parties are given  $(S_1, S_2)$ ,  $(S_1, S_3)$  and  $(S_2, S_3)$  respectively. Using a deterministic protocol, decide if  $S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3 = \emptyset$ .

Best known algorithm: 3-party disjointness communicating O(m) bits,  $O(km/2^k)$  bits for k-party disjointness.

*d*-Sum: Given a set of *n* integers, decide if there are *d* integers that sum to zero. Best known algorithm: *d*-Sum in time  $O(n^{\lceil d/2 \rceil} \operatorname{poly}(\log n))$ .

## Solutions

**Exponential time hypothesis:** There is no algorithm for CNF-SAT running in time  $O^*(2^{o(n)})$ .

The **current goal** is an  $O^*(2^{\delta n})$ -time algorithm for CNF-SAT for some  $\delta < 1$  (*improved algorithm*).

**Hypothesis 1:** There are  $k \leq 3, \epsilon > 0$  such that k-DomSet is solvable in time  $O(n^{k-\epsilon})$ .

Theorem 1: Hypothesis 1 implies an improved algorithm for CNF-SAT.

**Lemma 1:** If there are  $k \leq 3$  and function f such that k-DomSet is decidable in time  $O(n^{f(k)})$ , then CNF-SAT can be decided in time  $O((m + k2^{n/k})^{f(k)})$ .

**Hypothesis 1':** There are  $k \leq 2, \epsilon > 0$  such that k-SetCover with n sets over ground set of size poly(log(n)) is solvable in time  $O(n^{k-\epsilon})$ .

Theorem 1': Hypothesis 1' implies an improved algorithm for CNF-SAT.

**Hypothesis 2:** For some  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $m = n^{1+o(1)}$ , 2-SAT+2Clauses is solvable in time  $O(n^{2-\epsilon})$ .

Theorem 2: Hypothesis 2 implies an improved algorithm for CNF-SAT.

**Hypothesis 2':** There are  $k \le 2, \epsilon > 0$  such that HornSAT+kClauses is decidable in time  $O((n+m)^{k-\epsilon})$ .

Theorem 2': Hypothesis 2' implies an improved algorithm for CNF-SAT.

**Hypothesis 4:** There is a  $d < N^{0.99}$  such that *d*-Sum on *N* numbers of  $O(d \log N)$  bits can be decided in time  $N^{o(d)}$ .

**Theorem 4:** Hypothesis 4 implies an algorithm for 3-SAT running in time  $2^{o(n)}$ .

**Hypothesis 5:** There is a deterministic protocol for 3-party set disjointness communicating o(m) bits and running in time  $2^{o(m)}$ .

**Theorem 5:** For every k, hypothesis 5 implies an algorithm for k-SAT running in time  $O(1.74^n)$ .