

COOPERATIVE GAME THEORY

MARTIN ČERNÝ

KAM.MFF.CUNI.CZ/~CERNY
CERNY@KAM.MFF.CUNI.CZ

MARCH 15, 2023

THE BARGAINING SET AND THE KERNEL

- What if we desire the *stability* the core offers, but $\mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$?

- What if we desire the *stability* the core offers, but $\mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$?
 1. we have the nucleolus $\eta(v)$

- What if we desire the *stability* the core offers, but $C(v) = \emptyset$?
 1. we have the nucleolus $\eta(v)$
 2. What if we want more options?

- What if we desire the *stability* the core offers, but $\mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$?
 1. we have the nucleolus $\eta(v)$
 2. What if we want more options?
 - ▶ We need to *relax* the stability requirements

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

- An argument of player i against payoff x

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

- An argument of player i against payoff x
 - ▶ *I get too little in the imputation x , and agent j gets too much! I can form a coalition that excludes j in which some members benefit and all members are at least as well-off as in x .*

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

- An argument of player i against payoff x
 - ▶ *I get too little in the imputation x , and agent j gets too much! I can form a coalition that excludes j in which some members benefit and all members are at least as well-off as in x .*

Objection

An objection of i against j to x is a pair (S, y) where

- $S \subseteq N, i \in S, j \notin S,$
- $y \in \mathbb{R}^S, y(S) \leq v(S)$ (y is feasible for S)
- $\forall k \in S, y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ (nobody is worse off and i gains)

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

- An argument of player i against payoff x
 - ▶ *I get too little in the imputation x , and agent j gets too much! I can form a coalition that excludes j in which some members benefit and all members are at least as well-off as in x .*

Objection

An objection of i against j to x is a pair (S, y) where

- $S \subseteq N, i \in S, j \notin S,$
 - $y \in \mathbb{R}^S, y(S) \leq v(S)$ (y is feasible for S)
 - $\forall k \in S, y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ (nobody is worse off and i gains)
-
- **Goal:** To obtain a side payment from j to i

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

- Answer to an argument

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

- Answer to an argument

- ▶ *I can form a coalition that excludes agent i in which all agents are at least as well off as in x , and as well off as in the payoff proposed by i for those who were offered to join i in the argument.*

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

- Answer to an argument

- ▶ *I can form a coalition that excludes agent i in which all agents are at least as well off as in x , and as well off as in the payoff proposed by i for those who were offered to join i in the argument.*

Counter-objection

A counter-objection to (S, y) is a pair (T, z) where

- $T \subseteq N, j \in T, i \notin T,$
- $z \in \mathbb{R}^S, z(T) \leq v(T)$ (z is feasible for T)
- $\forall k \in T, z_k \geq x_k$ (nobody is worse off)
- $\forall k \in T \cap S, z_k \geq y_k$ ($k \in Q \cap P$ get at least as much as in (S, y))

THE BARGAINING PROCESS

■ Answer to an argument

- ▶ *I can form a coalition that excludes agent i in which all agents are at least as well off as in x , and as well off as in the payoff proposed by i for those who were offered to join i in the argument.*

Counter-objection

A counter-objection to (S, y) is a pair (T, z) where

- $T \subseteq N, j \in T, i \notin T,$
 - $z \in \mathbb{R}^S, z(T) \leq v(T)$ (z is feasible for T)
 - $\forall k \in T, z_k \geq x_k$ (nobody is worse off)
 - $\forall k \in T \cap S, z_k \geq y_k$ ($k \in Q \cap P$ get at least as much as in (S, y))
-
- **Goal:** To show j can protect x_j from the objection of i

Stability

For a cooperative game (N, v) , vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is stable if for each objection at x there is a counter-objection.

Stability

For a cooperative game (N, v) , vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is stable if for each objection at x there is a counter-objection.

The bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the bargaining set $BS(v)$ is defined as

$$BS(v) := \{x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid x \text{ is stable}\}.$$

RELATION BETWEEN THE STABILITY AND THE CORE STABILITY

Core is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds

$$\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v).$$

RELATION BETWEEN THE STABILITY AND THE CORE STABILITY

Core is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds

$$\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v).$$

Proof: There are no objections for $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$!

RELATION BETWEEN THE STABILITY AND THE CORE STABILITY

Core is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds

$$\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v).$$

Proof: There are no objections for $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$!

- $x(S) \geq v(S)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE STABILITY AND THE CORE STABILITY

Core is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds

$$\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v).$$

Proof: There are no objections for $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$!

- $x(S) \geq v(S)$
- objection (S, y) satisfies $y(S) > x(S)$ and $y(S) \leq v(S)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE STABILITY AND THE CORE STABILITY

Core is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds

$$\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v).$$

Proof: There are no objections for $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$!

- $x(S) \geq v(S)$
- objection (S, y) satisfies $y(S) > x(S)$ and $y(S) \leq v(S)$
- $v(S) \geq y(S) > x(S) \geq v(S)$

OBJECTIONS HIDDEN BEHIND COALITIONS

OBJECTIONS HIDDEN BEHIND COALITIONS

Idea: Players pretend to care about the welfare of coalitions.

OBJECTIONS HIDDEN BEHIND COALITIONS

Idea: Players pretend to care about the welfare of coalitions.

- *S is a coalition that contains i , excludes j and which sacrifices too much (or gains too little).*

OBJECTIONS HIDDEN BEHIND COALITIONS

Idea: Players pretend to care about the welfare of coalitions.

- *S is a coalition that contains i, excludes j and which sacrifices too much (or gains too little).*

Objection

A coalition $S \subseteq N$ is an objection of i against j to x if $i \in S, j \notin S$ and $x_j > v(j)$.

OBJECTIONS HIDDEN BEHIND COALITIONS

Idea: Players pretend to care about the welfare of coalitions.

- *S is a coalition that contains i, excludes j and which sacrifices too much (or gains too little).*

Objection

A coalition $S \subseteq N$ is an objection of i against j to x if $i \in S, j \notin S$ and $x_j > v(j)$.

- *Player i 's demand is not justified: T is a coalition that contains j and excludes i and that sacrifices even more (or gains even less)*

OBJECTIONS HIDDEN BEHIND COALITIONS

Idea: Players pretend to care about the welfare of coalitions.

- *S is a coalition that contains i, excludes j and which sacrifices too much (or gains too little).*

Objection

A coalition $S \subseteq N$ is an objection of i against j to x if $i \in S, j \notin S$ and $x_j > v(j)$.

- *Player i 's demand is not justified: T is a coalition that contains j and excludes i and that sacrifices even more (or gains even less)*

Counter-Objection

A coalition $T \subseteq N$ is a counter-objection to the objection P of i against j if $j \in T, i \notin T$ and $e(T, x) \geq e(S, x)$.

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S. \end{array} \right\}$$

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE KERNEL

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S \end{array} \right\}.$$

- denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE KERNEL

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S \end{array} \right\}.$$

■ denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$

1. $x_j = v(j)$... i does not have an objection against j

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE KERNEL

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S \end{array} \right\}.$$

■ denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$

1. $x_j = v(j)$... i does not have an objection against j
2. $x_j > v(j)$... every $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ is an objection

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE KERNEL

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S \end{array} \right\}.$$

- denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$
 1. $x_j = v(j)$... i does not have an objection against j
 2. $x_j > v(j)$... every $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ is an objection
 - ▶ every S_{ji} satisfying $e(S_{ji}, x) \geq e(S_{ij}, x)$ is a counter-objection

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE KERNEL

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \left| \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

- denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$
 1. $x_j = v(j)$... i does not have an objection against j
 2. $x_j > v(j)$... every $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ is an objection
 - ▶ every S_{ji} satisfying $e(S_{ji}, x) \geq e(S_{ij}, x)$ is a counter-objection
- player i is *safe* against j if

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE KERNEL

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \left| \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

- denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$
 1. $x_j = v(j)$... i does not have an objection against j
 2. $x_j > v(j)$... every $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ is an objection
 - ▶ every S_{ji} satisfying $e(S_{ji}, x) \geq e(S_{ij}, x)$ is a counter-objection
- player i is *safe* against j if
 1. $x_j = v(j)$, or

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE KERNEL

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S \end{array} \right\}.$$

- denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$
 1. $x_j = v(j)$... i does not have an objection against j
 2. $x_j > v(j)$... every $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ is an objection
 - ▶ every S_{ji} satisfying $e(S_{ji}, x) \geq e(S_{ij}, x)$ is a counter-objection
- player i is *safe* against j if
 1. $x_j = v(j)$, or
 2. $x_j > v(j)$ and $\max_{S_{ji} \subseteq N} e(S_{ji}, x) \geq \max_{S_{ij} \subseteq N} e(S, x)$

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION OF THE KERNEL

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall S \text{ objection of } i \text{ over } j \text{ to } x, \\ \exists T \text{ a counter-objection of } j \text{ to } S \end{array} \right\}.$$

■ denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$

1. $x_j = v(j)$... i does not have an objection against j

2. $x_j > v(j)$... every $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ is an objection

▶ every S_{ji} satisfying $e(S_{ji}, x) \geq e(S_{ij}, x)$ is a counter-objection

■ player i is *safe* against j if

1. $x_j = v(j)$, or

2. $x_j > v(j)$ and $\max_{S_{ji} \subseteq N} e(S_{ji}, x) \geq \max_{S_{ij} \subseteq N} e(S_{ij}, x)$

■ $s_{ij}(x) := \max_{S_{ij} \subseteq N} e(S_{ij}, x)$

The kernel

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the kernel $\mathcal{K}(v)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{K}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid \forall i \neq j : s_{ij}(x) \geq s_{ji}(x) \text{ or } x_j = v(j)\}.$$

■ denote $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ such that $i \in S_{ij}$ and $j \notin S_{ij}$

1. $x_j = v(j)$... i does not have an objection against j
2. $x_j > v(j)$... every $S_{ij} \subseteq N$ is an objection
 - ▶ every S_{ji} satisfying $e(S_{ji}, x) \geq e(S_{ij}, x)$ is a counter-objection

■ player i is safe against j if

1. $x_j = v(j)$, or
2. $x_j > v(j)$ and $\max_{S_{ji} \subseteq N} e(S_{ji}, x) \geq \max_{S_{ij} \subseteq N} e(S_{ij}, x)$

■ $s_{ij}(x) := \max_{S_{ij} \subseteq N} e(S_{ij}, x)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

- ▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)
- ▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

- (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x
 - ▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)
 - ▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$
- we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

- ▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)
- ▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

- ▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$
 - $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$
 - $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

- ▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)
- ▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

- ▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$
 - $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$
 - $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

1. $x_j = v(j)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

- ▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)
- ▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

- ▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji})$,
 - $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$
 - $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

1. $x_j = v(j)$

- ▶ choose $S_{ji} = \{j\}$ and $y_j = v(j)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$

■ $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$

■ $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ choose $S_{ji}^* \subseteq N$ s.t.

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ji}^*) = s_{ji}(x)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$

■ $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ choose $S_{ji}^* \subseteq N$ s.t.

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ji}^*) = s_{ji}(x) \geq s_{ij}(x)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$

■ $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ choose $S_{ji}^* \subseteq N$ s.t.

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ji}^*) = s_{ji}(x) \geq v(S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij})$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

▶ we choose $y(S_{ij}) = v(S_{ij})$

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$

■ $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ choose $S_{ji}^* \subseteq N$ s.t.

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ji}^*) = s_{ji}(x) \geq s_{ji}(x) \geq v(S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij}) = y(S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij})$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k, \forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ji}^*) \geq y(S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij})$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k, \forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ji}^*) \geq y(S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij})$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) \geq y(S_{ij}) + x(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ij})$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k, \forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ji}^*) \geq y(S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij})$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) \geq y(S_{ij}) + x(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ij})$

▶ $= y(S_{ij} \cap S_{ji}^*) + y(S_{ij} \setminus S_{ji}^*) + x(S_{ji}^* \setminus S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij} \setminus S_{ji}^*)$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

▶ $y(S_{ij}) \leq v(S_{ij}), \forall k : y_k \geq x_k$ and $y_i > x_i$ ($y(S_{ij}) > x(S_{ij})$)

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji}),$

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k, \forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ji}^*) \geq y(S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij})$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) \geq y(S_{ij}) + x(S_{ji}^*) - x(S_{ij})$

▶ $= y(S_{ij} \cap S_{ji}^*) + y(S_{ij} \setminus S_{ji}^*) + x(S_{ji}^* \setminus S_{ij}) - x(S_{ij} \setminus S_{ji}^*)$

▶ $> y(S_{ij} \cap S_{ji}^*) + x(S_{ji}^* \setminus S_{ij})$

■ $y(S_{ij} \setminus S_{ji}^*) > x(S_{ij} \setminus S_{ji}^*)$ since $i \in S_{ij} \setminus S_{ji}^*$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

- (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x
- we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.
 - ▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji})$,
 - $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$,
 - $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

- ▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) > y(S_{ji}^* \cap S_{ij}) + x(S_{ji}^* \setminus S_{ij})$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji})$,

■ $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$,

■ $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) > y(S_{ji}^* \cap S_{ij}) + x(S_{ji}^* \setminus S_{ij}) = z(S_{ji}^*)$

▶ $z_k := \begin{cases} x_k & \text{if } k \in S_{ji}^* \setminus S_{ij}, \\ y_k & \text{if } k \in S_{ji}^* \cap S_{ij} \end{cases}$

RELATION BETWEEN THE KERNEL AND THE BARGAINING SET

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v)$.

Proof: $x \in \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \in \mathcal{BS}(v)$

■ (S_{ij}, y) ... objection of i against j to x

■ we need (S_{ji}, z) s.t.

- ▶ $z(S_{ji}) \leq v(S_{ji})$,
 - $\forall k \in Q : z_k \geq x_k$,
 - $\forall k \in Q \cap P : z_k \geq y_k$

2. $x_j > v(j)$

▶ $v(S_{ji}^*) > y(S_{ji}^* \cap S_{ij}) + x(S_{ji}^* \setminus S_{ij}) = z(S_{ji}^*)$

▶ $z_k := \begin{cases} x_k & \text{if } k \in S_{ji}^* \setminus S_{ij}, \\ y_k & \text{if } k \in S_{ji}^* \cap S_{ij} \end{cases}$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof:

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof:

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof:

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof:

■ $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$

$$\blacksquare y_k = \begin{cases} x_k & k \neq i, k \neq j, \\ x_k - \varepsilon & k = i, \\ x_k + \varepsilon & k = j. \end{cases}$$

► y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof:

■ $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$

$$\blacksquare y_k = \begin{cases} x_k & k \neq i, k \neq j, \\ x_k - \varepsilon & k = i, \\ x_k + \varepsilon & k = j. \end{cases}$$

▶ y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

▶ choose ε :

1. $x_i - \varepsilon = y_i > v(i)$

2. $s_{ji}(y) > s_{ij}(y)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof:

■ $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$

$$\blacksquare y_k = \begin{cases} x_k & k \neq i, k \neq j, \\ x_k - \varepsilon & k = i, \\ x_k + \varepsilon & k = j. \end{cases}$$

▶ y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

▶ choose ε :

1. $x_i - \varepsilon = y_i > v(i)$

2. $s_{ji}(y) > s_{ij}(y)$

■ Goal: Show $\theta(y) \prec_{lex} \theta(x)$

▶ $\implies x \notin \eta(v)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ \mid \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \mid \dots \mid \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ \mid \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \mid \dots \mid \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ij}, x)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ \mid \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \mid \dots \mid \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ji}, x)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ \mid \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \mid \dots \mid \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ji}, x)$
 - ▶ $\circ \dots e(S, x), i, j \in S$ or $i, j \notin S$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ji}, x)$
 - ▶ $\circ \dots e(S, x), i, j \in S$ or $i, j \notin S$
 - ▶ $| \dots$ divides entries with different values

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $\bullet \dots e(S_{ji}, x)$
 - ▶ $\circ \dots e(S, x)$, $i, j \in S$ or $i, j \notin S$
 - ▶ $| \dots$ divides entries with different values
 - $\circ | \bullet, \bullet \dots e(S, x) > e(S_{ji}, x) = e(S_{ij}, x)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
- $\theta(y) = ?$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
- $\theta(y) = ?$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ij}, y) = v(S) - x(S) + \varepsilon > e(S_{ij}, x)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
- $\theta(y) = ?$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ij}, y) = v(S) - x(S) + \varepsilon > e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ji}, y) = v(S) - x(S) - \varepsilon < e(S_{ji}, x)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
- $\theta(y) = ?$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ij}, y) = v(S) - x(S) + \varepsilon > e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ji}, y) = v(S) - x(S) - \varepsilon < e(S_{ji}, x)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
- $\theta(y) = ?$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ij}, y) = v(S) - x(S) + \varepsilon > e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ji}, y) = v(S) - x(S) - \varepsilon < e(S_{ji}, x)$
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \bullet, \circ, \circ, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ rearranging inside $|\dots|$ does not change anything

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
- $\theta(y) = ?$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ij}, y) = v(S) - x(S) + \varepsilon > e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ji}, y) = v(S) - x(S) - \varepsilon < e(S_{ji}, x)$
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \bullet, \circ, \circ, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ rearranging inside $|\dots|$ does not change anything

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- y ... reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \circ, \bullet, \bullet, \circ | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
- $\theta(y) = ?$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ij}, y) = v(S) - x(S) + \varepsilon > e(S_{ij}, x)$
 - ▶ $e(S_{ji}, y) = v(S) - x(S) - \varepsilon < e(S_{ji}, x)$
- $\theta(x) = (\bullet, \bullet, \circ, \circ, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ rearranging inside $| \dots |$ does not change anything
- $\theta(x) = (\circ, \circ, \circ, \circ, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$
 - ▶ $s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x) \iff \max_{S_{ji} \subseteq N} e(S_{ji}, x) > \max_{S_{ij} \subseteq N} e(S_{ij}, x)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j
- $\theta(x) = (0, 0, 0, 0, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, 0, \bullet)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

- $\theta(x) = (\circ, \circ, \circ, \circ, \bullet \mid \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \dots \mid \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$

- $\theta(y) =$
 $(\circ, \circ, \circ, \circ, \bullet \mid \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \dots \mid \bullet, \circ, \bullet)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

- $\theta(x) = (o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, o, \bullet)$

- $\theta(y) =$
 $(o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, o, \bullet)$

► **key:** we set $\varepsilon : s_{ji}(y) > s_{ij}(y) \iff \max e(S_{ji}, y) > \max e(S_{ij}, y)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

- $\theta(x) = (o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, o, \bullet)$

- $\theta(y) =$
 $(o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet | \dots | \bullet, o, \bullet)$

- ▶ **key:** we set $\varepsilon : s_{ji}(y) > s_{ij}(y) \iff \max e(S_{ji}, y) > \max e(S_{ij}, y)$
- ▶ \implies the order of first \bullet, \bullet does not change

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

- $\theta(x) = (o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \dots | \bullet, o, \bullet)$

- $\theta(y) =$

$$(o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \dots | \bullet, o, \bullet)$$

- ▶ **key:** we set $\varepsilon : s_{ji}(y) > s_{ij}(y) \iff \max e(S_{ji}, y) > \max e(S_{ij}, y)$
- ▶ \implies the order of first \bullet, \bullet does not change

- $\theta(x) = (o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \dots)$

- $\theta(y) = (o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \dots)$

RELATION BETWEEN $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\eta(v)$

The kernel is a subset of the bargaining set

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds $\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v)$.

Proof: $x \notin \mathcal{K}(v) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

- $\exists i, j \in N: s_{ji}(x) > s_{ij}(x)$ and $x_i > v(i)$
- $y \dots$ reflects transfer of $\varepsilon > 0$ from i to j

- $\theta(x) = (o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \dots | \bullet, o, \bullet)$

- $\theta(y) = (o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \bullet, \bullet \dots | \bullet, o, \bullet)$
Diagram showing transfers: $+\varepsilon$ from the 5th position to the 10th position, and $-\varepsilon$ from the 6th, 7th, and 8th positions to the 10th position.

- ▶ **key:** we set $\varepsilon : s_{ji}(y) > s_{ij}(y) \iff \max e(S_{ji}, y) > \max e(S_{ij}, y)$
- ▶ \implies the order of first \bullet, \bullet does not change

- $\theta(x) = (o, o, o, o, \bullet | \bullet, \dots)$
- $\theta(y) = (o, o, o, o, | \bullet | \bullet, \dots)$
- $\theta(y) \prec_{lex} \theta(x) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

NON-EMPTYNESS OF $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\mathcal{BS}(v)$

Relation of solution concepts

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds

$$\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v).$$

NON-EMPTYNESS OF $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\mathcal{BS}(v)$

Relation of solution concepts

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds

$$\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v).$$

Following theorem is immediate.

NON-EMPTYNESS OF $\mathcal{K}(v)$ AND $\mathcal{BS}(v)$

Relation of solution concepts

For a cooperative game (N, v) , it holds

$$\eta(v) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{BS}(v).$$

Following theorem is immediate.

Non-emptiness of $\mathcal{K}(v)$ and $\mathcal{BS}(v)$

For a cooperative game (N, v) , if it holds $\mathcal{I}(v) \neq \emptyset$, then we have $\mathcal{K}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{BS}(v) \neq \emptyset$.

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Idea: Objections made by coalitions instead of players.

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Idea: Objections made by coalitions instead of players.

- *Our excess for coalition P is too large at x , payoff y reduces it.*

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Idea: Objections made by coalitions instead of players.

- *Our excess for coalition P is too large at x , payoff y reduces it.*

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Idea: Objections made by coalitions instead of players.

- *Our excess for coalition P is too large at x , payoff y reduces it.*

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

- *Our excess under y is larger than it was under x for coalition Q ! Furthermore, our excess at y is larger than what your excess was at x !*

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Idea: Objections made by coalitions instead of players.

- *Our excess for coalition P is too large at x , payoff y reduces it.*

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

- *Our excess under y is larger than it was under x for coalition Q ! Furthermore, our excess at y is larger than what your excess was at x !*

Counter-objection

A coalition (Q, y) is a counter-objection to the objection (P, y) when $e(Q, y) > e(Q, x)$ and $e(Q, y) > e(P, x)$.

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

Counter-objection

A coalition (Q, y) is a counter-objection to the objection (P, y) when $e(Q, y) > e(Q, x)$ and $e(Q, y) > e(P, x)$.

- $x \in \eta(v)$ is not stable

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

Counter-objection

A coalition (Q, y) is a counter-objection to the objection (P, y) when $e(Q, y) > e(Q, x)$ and $e(Q, y) > e(P, x)$.

- $x \in \eta(v)$ is not stable
 - ▶ there is $y: e(P, x) > e(P, y)$

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

Counter-objection

A coalition (Q, y) is a counter-objection to the objection (P, y) when $e(Q, y) > e(Q, x)$ and $e(Q, y) > e(P, x)$.

- $x \in \eta(v)$ is not stable
 - ▶ there is $y: e(P, x) > e(P, y)$
 - ▶ and for every $S \subseteq N: e(Q, y) \leq e(Q, x)$ or $e(Q, y) \leq e(P, x)$

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

Counter-objection

A coalition (Q, y) is a counter-objection to the objection (P, y) when $e(Q, y) > e(Q, x)$ and $e(Q, y) > e(P, x)$.

- $x \in \eta(v)$ is not stable
 - ▶ there is $y: e(P, x) > e(P, y)$
 - ▶ and for every $S \subseteq N: e(Q, y) \leq e(Q, x)$ or $e(Q, y) \leq e(P, x)$
 - ▶ $\implies \theta(y) \preceq_{lex} \theta(x) \implies x \notin \eta(v)$

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

Counter-objection

A coalition (Q, y) is a counter-objection to the objection (P, y) when $e(Q, y) > e(Q, x)$ and $e(Q, y) > e(P, x)$.

NUCLEOLUS IN TERMS OF (COUNTER-)OBJECTIONS

Objection

A pair (P, y) , in which $P \subseteq N$ and $y \in \mathcal{I}(v)$ is an objection to x if $e(P, x) > e(P, y)$.

Counter-objection

A coalition (Q, y) is a counter-objection to the objection (P, y) when $e(Q, y) > e(Q, x)$ and $e(Q, y) > e(P, x)$.

Nucleolus

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the nucleolus $\eta(v)$ is defined as

$$\eta(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}(v) \mid x \text{ is stable.}\}.$$

The bargaining set and the kernel

The bargaining set and the kernel are solution concepts, which relax the notion of **core stability**. The stability for both of these sets is defined through a bargaining process consisting of objections and counter-objections to payoff vectors. If each objection is covered by a counter-objection, the payoff vector is proclaimed **stable**. Both sets are generalisations of the nucleolus and when the core is non-empty, all the mentioned solution concepts together with the core form a hierarchy of stable solutions.