

COOPERATIVE GAME THEORY

MARTIN ČERNÝ

KAM.MFF.CUNI.CZ/~CERNY
CERNY@KAM.MFF.CUNI.CZ

MARCH 1, 2023

THE CORE

Cooperative game

Cooperative game

A **cooperative game** is an ordered pair (N, v) , where N is a set of players and $v: 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic function. Further, $v(\emptyset) = 0$.

- Γ^n ... set of n -person cooperative games
- $S \subseteq N$... coalition
- $v(S)$... value of coalition
 - ▶ **Payoff vector** $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 - x_i represents payoff of player i
 - $x(S) := \sum_{i \in S} x_i$

Cooperative game

A **cooperative game** is an ordered pair (N, v) , where N is a set of players and $v: 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic function. Further, $v(\emptyset) = 0$.

- Γ^n ... set of n -person cooperative games
- $S \subseteq N$... coalition
- $v(S)$... value of coalition
 - ▶ **Payoff vector** $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 - x_i represents payoff of player i
 - $x(S) := \sum_{i \in S} x_i$
 - ▶ Vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is **efficient**, if $x(N) = v(N)$
 - Usually, we distribute $v(N)$
 - ▶ Vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is **individually rational**, if $x_i \geq v(i)$
 - players prefer x_i over $v(i)$

Cooperative game

A **cooperative game** is an ordered pair (N, v) , where N is a set of players and $v: 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic function. Further, $v(\emptyset) = 0$.

- Γ^n ... set of n -person cooperative games
- $S \subseteq N$... coalition
- $v(S)$... value of coalition
 - ▶ **Payoff vector** $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 - x_i represents payoff of player i
 - $x(S) := \sum_{i \in S} x_i$
 - ▶ Vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is **efficient**, if $x(N) = v(N)$
 - Usually, we distribute $v(N)$
 - ▶ Vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is **individually rational**, if $x_i \geq v(i)$
 - players prefer x_i over $v(i)$
 - ▶ $\mathcal{I}^*(v) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x(N) = v(N)\}$... **preimputation**
 - ▶ $\mathcal{I}(v) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid \forall i \in N : x_i \geq v(i)\}$... **imputation**

GOAL OF THE DAY: THE CORE

Idea: *Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...*

GOAL OF THE DAY: THE CORE

Idea: *Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...*

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

GOAL OF THE DAY: THE CORE

Idea: *Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...*

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- $v(N)$... value, which is distributed among players

GOAL OF THE DAY: THE CORE

Idea: *Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...*

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- $v(N)$... value, which is distributed among players
- $x(S) > v(S) \implies$ coalition S does not leave N

GOAL OF THE DAY: THE CORE

Idea: *Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...*

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- $v(N)$... value, which is distributed among players
- $x(S) > v(S) \implies$ coalition S does not leave N
 - ▶ assumption: *homo economicus*

GOAL OF THE DAY: THE CORE

Idea: *Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...*

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- $v(N)$... value, which is distributed among players
- $x(S) > v(S) \implies$ coalition S does not leave N
 - ▶ assumption: *homo economicus*
 - ▶ would lead to (N, v_S)

GOAL OF THE DAY: THE CORE

Idea: *Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...*

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- $v(N)$... value, which is distributed among players
- $x(S) > v(S) \implies$ coalition S does not leave N
 - ▶ assumption: *homo economicus*
 - ▶ would lead to (N, v_S)
 - ▶ $v(S)$... distributed value

GOAL OF THE DAY: THE CORE

Idea: *Payoff distribution leads to cooperation...*

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- $v(N)$... value, which is distributed among players
- $x(S) > v(S) \implies$ coalition S does not leave N
 - ▶ assumption: *homo economicus*
 - ▶ would lead to (N, v_S)
 - ▶ $v(S)$... distributed value

Goal: *To analyse the core.*

NASH EQUILIBRIUM AND THE CORE

Idea: Deviation from the **actual** strategy to a **new** strategy does not improve the outcome.

Nash equilibrium

Strategy profile (s_1, \dots, s_n) is **Nash equilibrium**, if it holds for every player i ,

$$v_i(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n) \geq v_i(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, t_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n)$$

for every $t_i \in S_i$.

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- Nash: *My equilibrium always exists!*

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- Nash: *My equilibrium always exists!*
- Does this hold for $\mathcal{C}(v)$?

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- Nash: *My equilibrium always exists!*
- Does this hold for $\mathcal{C}(v)$?
- non-essential game (N, v)

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- Nash: *My equilibrium always exists!*
- Does this hold for $\mathcal{C}(v)$?
- non-essential game (N, v)
 - ▶ $v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- Nash: *My equilibrium always exists!*
- Does this hold for $\mathcal{C}(v)$?
- non-essential game (N, v)
 - ▶ $v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$
- $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- Nash: *My equilibrium always exists!*
- Does this hold for $\mathcal{C}(v)$?
- non-essential game (N, v)
 - ▶ $v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$
- $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$
 - ▶ $x(N) = v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i) \leq x(N)$

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- Nash: *My equilibrium always exists!*
- Does this hold for $\mathcal{C}(v)$?
- non-essential game (N, v)
 - ▶ $v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$
- $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$
 - ▶ $x(N) = v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i) \leq x(N)$
 - $v(i) \leq x_i$

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

IS THERE ALWAYS A CORE SOLUTION?

The core

For a cooperative game (N, v) , the **core** $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S), \forall S \subseteq N\}.$$

- Nash: *My equilibrium always exists!*
- Does this hold for $\mathcal{C}(v)$?
- non-essential game (N, v)
 - ▶ $v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$
- $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$
 - ▶ $x(N) = v(N) < \sum_{i \in N} v(i) \leq x(N)$
 - $v(i) \leq x_i$
- x does not exist $\implies \mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$

On emptiness of the core

There are cooperative games (N, v) with empty core.

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
 - ▶ H ... hardware
 - ▶ S ... software
 - ▶ I ... IT support

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
 - ▶ H ... hardware
 - ▶ S ... software
 - ▶ I ... IT support
- $v(\{H, S, I\})$... price of joint product

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
 - ▶ H ... hardware
 - ▶ S ... software
 - ▶ I ... IT support
- $v(\{H, S, I\})$... price of joint product
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

Payoff vectors from the core $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

Payoff vectors from the core $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
2. $x(T) \geq v(T), \forall T \subseteq N$

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

Payoff vectors from the core $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 10$
2. $x(T) \geq v(T), \forall T \subseteq N$

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

Payoff vectors from the core $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 10$
2. $x(T) \geq v(T), \forall T \subseteq N$
 - ▶ $x_1 \geq 5$
 - ▶ $x_2 \geq 2$
 - ▶ $x_3 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 8$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_3 \geq 4$
 - ▶ $x_2 + x_3 \geq 4$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \geq 10$

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

Payoff vectors from the core $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 10$
2. $x(T) \geq v(T), \forall T \subseteq N$
 - ▶ $x_1 \geq 5$
 - ▶ $x_2 \geq 2$
 - ▶ $x_3 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 8$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_3 \geq 4$
 - ▶ $x_2 + x_3 \geq 4$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \geq 10$

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

Payoff vectors from the core $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$: $(5.\bar{6}, 2.\bar{6}, 1.\bar{6})$

- uniform distribution of the surplus

1. $x(N) = v(N)$

▶ $5.\bar{6} + 2.\bar{6} + 1.\bar{6} = 10$

2. $x(T) \geq v(T), \forall T \subseteq N$

▶ $5.\bar{6} \geq 5$

▶ $2.\bar{6} \geq 2$

▶ $1.\bar{6} \geq 1$

▶ $5.\bar{6} + 2.\bar{6} \geq 8$

▶ $2.\bar{6} + 1.\bar{6} \geq 4$

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

Payoff vectors from the core $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$: $(5, 4, 1)$

- company S is preferred

1. $x(N) = v(N)$

▶ $5 + 4 + 1 = 10$

2. $x(T) \geq v(T), \forall T \subseteq N$

▶ $5 \geq 5$

▶ $4 \geq 2$

▶ $1 \geq 1$

▶ $5 + 4 \geq 8$

▶ $4 + 1 \geq 4$

EXAMPLES OF THE CORE: JOINT PRODUCTION

T	$\{H\}$	$\{S\}$	$\{I\}$	$\{H, S\}$	$\{H, I\}$	$\{S, I\}$	$\{H, S, I\}$
$v(T)$	5	2	1	8	4	4	10

- $\{H, S, I\}$... companies
- *Is it beneficial for the companies to sell a joint product?*
 - ▶ *How to distribute the value to support cooperation?*

Payoff vectors from the core $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$: $(5 + \alpha, 2 + \beta, 1 + \gamma)$

- in general

1. $x(N) = v(N)$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 10$
 - $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 2$
 - $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$

2. $x(T) \geq v(T), \forall T \subseteq N$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 8$
 - $\alpha + \beta \geq 1$
- ▶ $x_2 + x_3 \geq 4$
 - $\beta + \gamma \geq 1$

A bank robbery

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

A bank robbery

- n thieves

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_3 + x_4 \geq 1$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_3 + x_4 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 = 1$
 - ▶ $x_3 + x_4 = 1$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_3 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_2 + x_4 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_3 = 1$
 - ▶ $x_2 + x_4 = 1$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_4 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_2 + x_3 \geq 1$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_4 = 1$
 - ▶ $x_2 + x_3 = 1$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold

$$\blacksquare v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\blacksquare v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_1 + x_2 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_1 + x_4 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_1 + x_3 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_2 + x_4 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_3 + x_4 = 1$$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_4 = 1$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_3 = 1$

- ▶ $x_2 + x_3 = 1$

- ▶ $x_2 + x_4 = 1$

- ▶ $x_3 + x_4 = 1$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold

$$\blacksquare v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\blacksquare v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_1 + x_2 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_1 + x_4 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_1 + x_3 = 1$$

$$x_1 - x_3 = 0$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_2 + x_4 = 1$$

$$\blacktriangleright x_3 + x_4 = 1$$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_4 = 1$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_3 = 1$

- ▶ $x_2 + x_3 = 1$

- ▶ $x_2 + x_4 = 1$

- ▶ $x_3 + x_4 = 1$

$$x_1 - x_3 = 0$$

$$x_1 = x_2$$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_4 = 1$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_3 = 1$

- ▶ $x_2 + x_3 = 1$

- ▶ $x_2 + x_4 = 1$

- ▶ $x_3 + x_4 = 1$

$$x_1 - x_3 = 0$$

$$x_1 = x_3$$

$$x_i = x_j$$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

- $v(N) = 2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_4 = 1$

- ▶ $x_1 + x_3 = 1$

- ▶ $x_2 + x_3 = 1$

- ▶ $x_2 + x_4 = 1$

- ▶ $x_3 + x_4 = 1$

$$x_1 - x_3 = 0$$

$$x_1 = x_2$$

$$x_i = x_j$$

- $\mathcal{C}(v) = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)^T \right\}$

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$

- $v(N) = 1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$

- $v(N) = 1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \implies x_3 = 0$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$

- $v(N) = 1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \implies x_3 = 0$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_3 \geq 1 \implies x_2 = 0$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$

- $v(N) = 1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \implies x_3 = 0$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_3 \geq 1 \implies x_2 = 0$
 - ▶ $x_2 + x_3 \geq 1 \implies x_1 = 0$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$

- $v(N) = 1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \implies x_3 = 0$
 - ▶ $x_1 + x_3 \geq 1 \implies x_2 = 0$
 - ▶ $x_2 + x_3 \geq 1 \implies x_1 = 0$
- $(v) = \emptyset$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold

- $$v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

1. $n > 2$ is even

▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) = \{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})^T\}$

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

1. $n > 2$ is even
 - ▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) = \{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})^T\}$
2. n is odd
 - ▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (1)

A bank robbery

- n thieves
- 2 thieves can carry one bag of gold
- $v(S) = \begin{cases} \frac{|S|}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{|S|-1}{2} & \text{if } S \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

1. $n > 2$ is even

▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) = \{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})^T\}$

2. n is odd

▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$

- later: *The Shapley value fairly distributes* $x_i = \frac{v(N)}{n}$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (2)

Shoe selling problem

Shoe selling problem

- $N \dots 2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have left shoe
 - ▶ k have right shoe

Shoe selling problem

- $N \dots 2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have left shoe
 - ▶ k have right shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk

Shoe selling problem

- $N \dots 2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have **left** shoe
 - ▶ k have **right** shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk
- $v(S) = \min\{S_\ell, S_p\} \cdot 1000$
 - ▶ S_ℓ ... numbers of players in S with **left** shoe
 - ▶ S_p ... number of players in S with **right** shoe

Shoe selling problem

- $N \dots 2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have **left** shoe
 - ▶ k have **right** shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk
- $v(S) = \min\{S_\ell, S_p\} \cdot 1000$
 - ▶ $S_\ell \dots$ numbers of players in S with **left** shoe
 - ▶ $S_p \dots$ number of players in S with **right** shoe
 - ▶ $v(N) = k \cdot 1000$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (2)

Shoe selling problem

- N ... $2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have **left** shoe
 - ▶ k have **right** shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk
- $v(S) = \min\{S_\ell, S_p\} \cdot 1000$
 - ▶ S_ℓ ... numbers of players in S with **left** shoe
 - ▶ S_p ... number of players in S with **right** shoe
 - ▶ $v(N) = k \cdot 1000$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like ? $N = \{\ell, r_1, r_2\}$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (2)

Shoe selling problem

- N ... $2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have **left** shoe
 - ▶ k have **right** shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk
- $v(S) = \min\{S_\ell, S_p\} \cdot 1000$
 - ▶ S_ℓ ... numbers of players in S with **left** shoe
 - ▶ S_p ... number of players in S with **right** shoe
 - ▶ $v(N) = k \cdot 1000$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like ? $N = \{\ell, r_1, r_2\}$

- $V(N) = 1000 = x_\ell + x_{r_1} + x_{r_2}$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (2)

Shoe selling problem

- $N \dots 2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have **left** shoe
 - ▶ k have **right** shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk
- $v(S) = \min\{S_\ell, S_p\} \cdot 1000$
 - ▶ $S_\ell \dots$ numbers of players in S with **left** shoe
 - ▶ $S_p \dots$ number of players in S with **right** shoe
 - ▶ $v(N) = k \cdot 1000$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{\ell, r_1, r_2\}$

- $V(N) = 1000 = x_\ell + x_{r_1} + x_{r_2}$
 - ▶ $x_\ell + x_{r_1} \geq 1000$
 - ▶ $x_\ell + x_{r_2} \geq 1000$
 - ▶ $x_{r_1} \geq 0$
 - ▶ $x_{r_2} \geq 0$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (2)

Shoe selling problem

- $N \dots 2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have **left** shoe
 - ▶ k have **right** shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk
- $v(S) = \min\{S_\ell, S_p\} \cdot 1000$
 - ▶ $S_\ell \dots$ numbers of players in S with **left** shoe
 - ▶ $S_p \dots$ number of players in S with **right** shoe
 - ▶ $v(N) = k \cdot 1000$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{\ell, r_1, r_2\}$

- $V(N) = 1000 = x_\ell + x_{r_1} + x_{r_2}$
 - ▶ $x_\ell + x_{r_1} \geq 1000$
 - ▶ $x_\ell + x_{r_2} \geq 1000$
 - ▶ $x_{r_1} \geq 0$
 - ▶ $x_{r_2} \geq 0$
 - ▶ $\implies x_\ell = 1000$

CORE DISADVANTAGES: SENSITIVITY TO IMBALANCE (2)

Shoe selling problem

- N ... $2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have **left** shoe
 - ▶ k have **right** shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk
- $v(S) = \min\{S_\ell, S_p\} \cdot 1000$
 - ▶ S_ℓ ... numbers of players in S with **left** shoe
 - ▶ S_p ... number of players in S with **right** shoe
 - ▶ $v(N) = k \cdot 1000$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like? $N = \{\ell, r_1, r_2\}$

- $V(N) = 1000 = x_\ell + x_{r_1} + x_{r_2}$
 - ▶ $x_\ell + x_{r_1} \geq 1000$
 - ▶ $x_\ell + x_{r_2} \geq 1000$
 - ▶ $x_{r_1} \geq 0$
 - ▶ $x_{r_2} \geq 0$
 - ▶ $\implies x_\ell = 1000$
- $\mathcal{C}(v) = (1000, 0, 0)^T$

Shoe selling problem

- $N \dots 2k + 1$ players
 - ▶ k have **left** shoe
 - ▶ k have **right** shoe
- price for pair: 1000 czk
- $v(S) = \min\{S_\ell, S_p\} \cdot 1000$
 - ▶ $S_\ell \dots$ numbers of players in S with **left** shoe
 - ▶ $S_p \dots$ number of players in S with **right** shoe
 - ▶ $v(N) = k \cdot 1000$

What does $\mathcal{C}(v)$ look like?

- $\mathcal{C}(v) = \{x\}$:
 - ▶ $x_i = \begin{cases} 1000 & i \in N_\ell \\ 0 & i \in N_p \end{cases}$

WHEN IS THE CORE NON-EMPTY?

- $\mathcal{B} = \{(N, \mathbf{v}) \in \Gamma^n \mid \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{v}) \neq \emptyset\}$... games with non-empty core
- *When is the core non-empty?*

WHEN IS THE CORE NON-EMPTY?

- $\mathcal{B} = \{(N, v) \in \Gamma^n \mid \mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset\}$... games with non-empty core
- *When is the core non-empty?*
 - ▶ *we employ duality of linear programming:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{■ } (P) &= \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} & (D) &= \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases} \\ \text{▶ } & A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

WHEN IS THE CORE NON-EMPTY?

- $\mathcal{B} = \{(N, v) \in \Gamma^n \mid \mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset\}$... games with non-empty core
- *When is the core non-empty?*
 - ▶ *we employ duality of linear programming:*
 1. We encode the non-emptiness question as primal program (P)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{■ } (P) &= \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} & (D) &= \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases} \\ \text{▶ } & A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

WHEN IS THE CORE NON-EMPTY?

- $\mathcal{B} = \{(N, v) \in \Gamma^n \mid \mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset\}$... games with non-empty core
- *When is the core non-empty?*
 - ▶ *we employ duality of linear programming:*
 1. We encode the non-emptiness question as primal program (P)
 2. We determine the dual program (D)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

WHEN IS THE CORE NON-EMPTY?

- $\mathcal{B} = \{(N, v) \in \Gamma^n \mid \mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset\}$... games with non-empty core
- *When is the core non-empty?*

▶ *we employ duality of linear programming:*

1. We encode the non-emptiness question as primal program (P)
2. We determine the dual program (D)
3. we derive the weak Bondareva-Shapley theorem from (D)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

1. ENCODING PROBLEM TO (P)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Conditions of the core:

1. ENCODING PROBLEM TO (P)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Conditions of the core:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
2. $x(S) \geq v(S)$ pro $S \subseteq N$

1. ENCODING PROBLEM TO (P)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Conditions of the core:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
2. $x(S) \geq v(S)$ pro $S \subseteq N$
 - ▶ conditions of LP

1. ENCODING PROBLEM TO (P)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Conditions of the core:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
 - ▶ we assert this by optimality
2. $x(S) \geq v(S)$ pro $S \subseteq N$
 - ▶ conditions of LP

1. ENCODING PROBLEM TO (P)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Conditions of the core:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
 - ▶ we assert this by optimality
2. $x(S) \geq v(S)$ pro $S \subseteq N$
 - ▶ conditions of LP

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ for } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

1. ENCODING PROBLEM TO (P)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Conditions of the core:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$

▶ we assert this by optimality
2. $x(S) \geq v(S)$ pro $S \subseteq N$

▶ conditions of LP

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ for } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

▶ $x \in \mathcal{C}(v) \implies x(N) = v(N)$

1. ENCODING PROBLEM TO (P)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Conditions of the core:

1. $x(N) = v(N)$
 - ▶ we assert this by optimality
2. $x(S) \geq v(S)$ pro $S \subseteq N$
 - ▶ conditions of LP

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ for } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

▶ $x \in \mathcal{C}(v) \implies x(N) = v(N)$
▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset \iff \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = v(N)$

LP ENCODING THE CORE

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

LP encoding the core:

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

LP ENCODING THE CORE

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

LP encoding the core:

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

▶ $b \in \mathbb{R}^{2^n - 1}$

LP ENCODING THE CORE

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

LP encoding the core:

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

▶ $b \in \mathbb{R}^{2^n - 1}$

- $b_S = v(S)$
- we omit b_\emptyset ($0 \geq 0$)

LP ENCODING THE CORE

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

LP encoding the core:

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

▶ $b \in \mathbb{R}^{2^n - 1}$

- $b_S = v(S)$
- we omit b_\emptyset ($0 \geq 0$)

▶ $c = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- $c^T x = \sum_{i \in N} c_i \cdot x_i = \sum_{i \in N} 1 \cdot x_i = \sum_{i \in N} x_i$

LP ENCODING THE CORE

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

LP encoding the core:

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

▶ $b \in \mathbb{R}^{2^n - 1}$

- $b_S = v(S)$
- we omit b_\emptyset ($0 \geq 0$)

▶ $c = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- $c^T x = \sum_{i \in N} c_i \cdot x_i = \sum_{i \in N} 1 \cdot x_i = \sum_{i \in N} x_i$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(2^n - 1) \times n}$

2. WE DETERMINE (D)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{za podm.} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

LP encoding the core:

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

2. WE DETERMINE (D)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{za podm.} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

LP encoding the core:

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

2. WE DETERMINE (D)

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{za podm.} & Ax \geq b \end{cases} \quad (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^m} & b^T y \\ \text{subject to} & A^T y = c \end{cases}$$

▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$

LP encoding the core:

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} v(S) y_S \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} (\chi_S)_i y_S = 1 \text{ pro } i \in N \end{cases}$$

▶ χ^S ... characteristic vector of S

$$\blacksquare (\chi_S)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in S \\ 0 & i \notin S \end{cases}$$

DUAL OF LP OF THE CORE

- $(P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$
- $(D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$

Theorem on the relation of (P) and (D)

If (P) and (D) are both feasible, the optimum of both of the programs is attained and is the same for both programs.

DUAL OF LP OF THE CORE

$$\begin{aligned} \blacksquare (P) &= \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases} \\ \blacksquare (D) &= \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem on the relation of (P) and (D)

If (P) and (D) are both feasible, the optimum of both of the programs is attained and is the same for both programs.

- (P) is feasible for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ large enough

DUAL OF LP OF THE CORE

- $(P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$
- $(D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$

Theorem on the relation of (P) and (D)

If (P) and (D) are both feasible, the optimum of both of the programs is attained and is the same for both programs.

- (P) is feasible for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ large enough

- (D) is feasible e.g. for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}$, $y_S := \begin{cases} 1 & S = N \\ 0 & S \neq N \end{cases}$

DUAL OF LP OF THE CORE

- $(P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$
- $(D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$

Theorem on the relation of (P) and (D)

If (P) and (D) are both feasible, the optimum of both of the programs is attained and is the same for both programs.

- (P) is feasible for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ large enough
- (D) is feasible e.g. for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}$, $y_S := \begin{cases} 1 & S = N \\ 0 & S \neq N \end{cases}$
- $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

- $(P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$
- $(D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$
- $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

1. $C(v) \neq \emptyset$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

1. $\mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset$

$$\blacktriangleright \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = v(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

1. $\mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset$

$$\blacktriangleright \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = v(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

$$\blacktriangleright v(N) \geq \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \text{ for every } y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}$$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

1. $\mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset$

▶ $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = v(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$

▶ $v(N) \geq \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}$

2. $\mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

1. $\mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset$

▶ $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = v(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$

▶ $v(N) \geq \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}$

2. $\mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$

▶ $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) > v(N)$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

$$\blacksquare (P) = \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare (D) = \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases}$$

$$\blacksquare \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

1. $\mathcal{C}(v) \neq \emptyset$

$$\blacktriangleright \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) = v(N) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S)$$

$$\blacktriangleright v(N) \geq \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \text{ for every } y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}$$

2. $\mathcal{C}(v) = \emptyset$

$$\blacktriangleright \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} x(N) > v(N)$$

$$\blacktriangleright v(N) < \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \text{ for every } y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}$$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

$$\begin{aligned} \blacksquare (P) &= \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & x(N) \\ \text{subject to} & x(S) \geq v(S) \text{ pro } S \subseteq N \end{cases} \\ \blacksquare (D) &= \begin{cases} \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{(2^n-1)}} & \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq N} y_S \chi_S = \chi_N \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Weak Bondareva-Shapley theorem

Cooperative game (N, v) has **non-empty core** if and only if

$$v(N) \geq \sum_{S \subseteq N} y_S v(S) \text{ for all feasible } y \in \mathbb{R}^{(2^n-1)}.$$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

- Collection $\mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^N$ is **balanced**, if there exist $\delta_S > 0$ satisfying $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{B}} \delta_S \chi_S = \chi_N$,

Weak Bondareva-Shapley theorem

Cooperative game (N, v) has **non-empty core** if and only if for every balanced collection \mathcal{B} and every system of balancing weights $(\delta_S)_{S \in \mathcal{B}}$, it holds

$$v(N) \geq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{B}} \delta_S v(S).$$

3. WE DERIVE WEAK BONDAREVA-SHAPLEY THEOREM

- Collection $\mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^N$ is **balanced**, if there exist $\delta_S > 0$ satisfying $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{B}} \delta_S \chi_S = \chi_N$,
 - ▶ δ_S ... **balancing weights**

Weak Bondareva-Shapley theorem

Cooperative game (N, v) has **non-empty core** if and only if for every balanced collection \mathcal{B} and every system of balancing weights $(\delta_S)_{S \in \mathcal{B}}$, it holds

$$v(N) \geq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{B}} \delta_S v(S).$$

- (N, v) is **balanced game**
 - ▶ $C(v) \neq \emptyset$

TOTALLY BALANCED GAMES

- (N, v) is **balanced game**
 - ▶ $C(v) \neq \emptyset$
- (N, v) is **totally balanced game**
 - ▶ $C(v_S) \neq \emptyset$ pro $S \subseteq N$
 - (S, v_S) subgame

GENERALISING THE CORE

- (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)
- *We want core-like solution...*

- (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)

- *We want core-like solution...*

1. **Strong ϵ -core** $C_\epsilon(v)$

2. **Core catchers**

- (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)
- *We want core-like solution...*
- 1. **Strong ϵ -core** $C_\epsilon(v)$
 - ▶ *Weakening the inequalities*

2. Core catchers

GENERALISING THE CORE

■ (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)

■ *We want core-like solution...*

1. Strong ε -core $C_\varepsilon(v)$

▶ *Weakening the inequalities*

▶ $C_\varepsilon(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S) - \varepsilon \text{ pro } S \subseteq N\}$

■ we choose ε minimal

2. Core catchers

■ (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)

■ *We want core-like solution...*

1. **Strong ε -core** $C_\varepsilon(v)$

▶ *Weakening the inequalities*

▶ $C_\varepsilon(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S) - \varepsilon \text{ pro } S \subseteq N\}$

■ we choose ε minimal

2. **Core catchers**

▶ *Superset of the core, based on bounds of payoff vectors*

■ (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)

■ *We want core-like solution...*

1. Strong ε -core $C_\varepsilon(v)$

▶ *Weakening the inequalities*

▶ $C_\varepsilon(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S) - \varepsilon \text{ pro } S \subseteq N\}$

■ we choose ε minimal

2. Core catchers

▶ *Superset of the core, based on bounds of payoff vectors*

▶ a^v, b^v ... upper and lower bound

■ (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)

■ *We want core-like solution...*

1. Strong ε -core $C_\varepsilon(v)$

▶ *Weakening the inequalities*

▶ $C_\varepsilon(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S) - \varepsilon \text{ pro } S \subseteq N\}$

■ we choose ε minimal

2. Core catchers

▶ *Superset of the core, based on bounds of payoff vectors*

▶ a^v, b^v ... upper and lower bound

■ $b_i^v = v(N) - v(N \setminus i)$... maximal right

- (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)
- We want core-like solution...

1. Strong ε -core $C_\varepsilon(v)$

- ▶ Weakening the inequalities
- ▶ $C_\varepsilon(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S) - \varepsilon \text{ pro } S \subseteq N\}$
 - we choose ε minimal

2. Core catchers

- ▶ Superset of the core, based on bounds of payoff vectors
- ▶ a^v, b^v ... upper and lower bound
 - $b_i^v = v(N) - v(N \setminus i)$... maximal right
 - $a_i^v = \max_{S \subseteq N: i \in S} \{v(S) - b^v(S \setminus i)\}$... minimal right

- (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)
- We want core-like solution...

1. Strong ε -core $C_\varepsilon(v)$

- ▶ Weakening the inequalities
- ▶ $C_\varepsilon(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S) - \varepsilon \text{ pro } S \subseteq N\}$
 - we choose ε minimal

2. Core catchers

- ▶ Superset of the core, based on bounds of payoff vectors
- ▶ a^v, b^v ... upper and lower bound
 - $b_i^v = v(N) - v(N \setminus i)$... maximal right
 - $a_i^v = \max_{S \subseteq N: i \in S} \{v(S) - b^v(S \setminus i)\}$... minimal right
- ▶ $x \in C(v) \implies a^v \leq x \leq b^v$

■ (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)

■ We want core-like solution...

1. Strong ε -core $C_\varepsilon(v)$

▶ Weakening the inequalities

▶ $C_\varepsilon(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S) - \varepsilon \text{ pro } S \subseteq N\}$

■ we choose ε minimal

2. Core catchers

▶ Superset of the core, based on bounds of payoff vectors

▶ a^v, b^v ... upper and lower bound

■ $b_i^v = v(N) - v(N \setminus i)$... maximal right

■ $a_i^v = \max_{S \subseteq N: i \in S} \{v(S) - b^v(S \setminus i)\}$... minimal right

▶ $x \in C(v) \implies a^v \leq x \leq b^v$

▶ $CC(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid a^v \leq x \leq b^v\}$... **core catcher**

GENERALISING THE CORE

- (N, v) ... non-balanced game ($C(v) = \emptyset$)
- We want core-like solution...

1. Strong ε -core $C_\varepsilon(v)$

- ▶ Weakening the inequalities
- ▶ $C_\varepsilon(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid x(S) \geq v(S) - \varepsilon \text{ pro } S \subseteq N\}$
 - we choose ε minimal

2. Core catchers

- ▶ Superset of the core, based on bounds of payoff vectors
- ▶ a^v, b^v ... upper and lower bound
 - $b_i^v = v(N) - v(N \setminus i)$... maximal right
 - $a_i^v = \max_{S \subseteq N: i \in S} \{v(S) - b^v(S \setminus i)\}$... minimal right
- ▶ $x \in C(v) \implies a^v \leq x \leq b^v$
- ▶ $CC(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{I}^*(v) \mid a^v \leq x \leq b^v\}$... **core catcher**
- ▶ $\mathcal{H}(v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a^v \leq x \leq b^v\}$... **hypercube**

STRUCTURE OF THE CORE

- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is a convex set
 - ▶ since it is given by a system of linear conditions (in/equalities)

STRUCTURE OF THE CORE

- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is a convex set
 - ▶ since it is given by a system of linear conditions (in/equalities)
- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ je bounded
 - ▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(v)$

STRUCTURE OF THE CORE

- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is a convex set
 - ▶ since it is given by a system of linear conditions (in/equalities)
- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ je bounded
 - ▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(v)$
 - ▶ $\mathcal{I}(v) = \{x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \text{ a } \beta(N) = 1\}$
 - $(x_\beta)_i := v(i) + \beta_i \Delta$
 - $\Delta := v(N) - \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$

STRUCTURE OF THE CORE

- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is a convex set
 - ▶ since it is given by a system of linear conditions (in/equalities)
- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is bounded
 - ▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(v)$
 - ▶ $\mathcal{I}(v) = \{x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \text{ a } \beta(N) = 1\}$
 - $(x_\beta)_i := v(i) + \beta_i \Delta$
 - $\Delta := v(N) - \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$
- the structure of $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is difficult to describe in general

STRUCTURE OF THE CORE

- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is a convex set
 - ▶ since it is given by a system of linear conditions (in/equalities)
- $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is bounded
 - ▶ $\mathcal{C}(v) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(v)$
 - ▶ $\mathcal{I}(v) = \{x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \text{ a } \beta(N) = 1\}$
 - $(x_\beta)_i := v(i) + \beta_i \Delta$
 - $\Delta := v(N) - \sum_{i \in N} v(i)$
- the structure of $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is difficult to describe in general
 - ▶ for **convex games**, we have nice structure
 - later during the semester
 - similar results for *k-convex* games and other...

- How to choose $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$, if there is more than one?

- How to choose $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$, if there is more than one?
 1. *nucleolus*
 2. *egalitarian core*
 3. ...

- How to choose $x \in \mathcal{C}(v)$, if there is more than one?
 1. *nucleolus*
 - next lecture
 2. *egalitarian core*
 - maybe later during the semester
 3. ...

The core of a cooperative game

The **core** is a multi-point solution concept, which constitutes of *stable* payoff vectors, i.e. payoff vectors leading to cooperation of all players. For general cooperative game, the core might be empty. Game with non-empty core are called **balanced**. Games with non-empty core for each of its subgames are **totally balanced**. The core is sensitive to *imbalance of values*.