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- Today, we introduce a new notion of regret that will converge to $C E$.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algorithm | $J$ | 品品 |  |  | 1 |
| Umbrella |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Sunscreen | 侖等 | 厣 |  | 成品： | 3 |
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－After that，the adversary environment selects a loss vector $\ell^{t}=\left(\ell_{1}^{t}, \ldots, \ell_{N}^{t}\right) \in[-1,1]^{N}$ at every step $t=1, \ldots, T$ ．
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－Given a comparison class $\mathcal{A}_{X}$ of agents $A_{i}$ that select a single action $i$ in all steps，we let $L_{\text {min }}^{T}=\min _{i \in X}\left\{L_{A_{i}}^{T}\right\}$ be the minimum cumulative loss of an agent from $\mathcal{A}_{X}$ ．

| Weather | ＊＊＊ |  | $3^{3 N / 2}$ | ［＊＊ | Loss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algorithm | $J$ | （品品 |  |  | 1 |
| Umbrella |  |  | $\sqrt{V}$ |  | 1 |
| Sunscreen |  | 网 | 品会 |  | 3 |

－Our goal is to minimize the external regret $R_{A}^{T}=L_{A}^{T}-L_{\text {min }}^{T}$ ．
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Algorithm 0.3: No-SWap-Regret dynamics $(G, T, \varepsilon)$

```
Input: A normal-form game G = (P,A,C) of n players, T\in\mathbb{N},\mathrm{ and }\varepsilon>0.
Output : A prob. distribution }\mp@subsup{p}{i}{t}\mathrm{ on }\mp@subsup{A}{i}{}\mathrm{ for each i}\inP\mathrm{ and }t\in{1,\ldots,T}
for every step t=1,\ldots,T
            (Each player i\inP independently chooses a mixed strategy pot
                using an algorithm with average swap regret at most }\varepsilon\mathrm{ , with
    do { actions corresponding to pure strategies.
        Each player i}\inP\mathrm{ receives a loss vector }\mp@subsup{\ell}{i}{t}=(\mp@subsup{\ell}{i}{t}(\mp@subsup{a}{i}{})\mp@subsup{)}{\mp@subsup{a}{i}{}\in\mp@subsup{A}{i}{}}{}\mathrm{ , where
        \ell ( 
        p-i
    Output { p }\mp@subsup{p}{}{t}:t\in{1,\ldots,T}}
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- Using swap regret instead of external regret, we get:

Algorithm 0.4: No-SWap-Regret dynamics $(G, T, \varepsilon)$

```
Input : A normal-form game G = (P,A,C) of n players, T\in\mathbb{N}\mathrm{ , and }\varepsilon>0.
Output : A prob. distribution }\mp@subsup{p}{i}{t}\mathrm{ on }\mp@subsup{A}{i}{}\mathrm{ for each i}\inP\mathrm{ and }t\in{1,\ldots,T}
for every step t=1,\ldots,T
            (Each player i\inP independently chooses a mixed strategy pot
                using an algorithm with average swap regret at most }\varepsilon\mathrm{ , with
    do { actions corresponding to pure strategies.
```



```
        \ell ( 
        p-i
    Output { p}\mp@subsup{p}{}{t}:t\in{1,\ldots,T}}
```

- No-swap-regret dynamics then converges to a correlated equilibrium.
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Zdroj: https://cz.pinterest.com

- For some of these games, we show how to compute NE.

Example: normal-form of chess

## Example: normal-form of chess



Source: https://edition.cnn.com/

- Chess as a normal-form game: Each action of player $i \in\{$ black, white $\}$ is a list of all possible situations that can happen on the board together with the move player $i$ would make in that situation. Then we can simulate the whole game of chess in one round.

Example: extensive form of chess

## Example: extensive form of chess

- Root corresponds to the initial position of the chessboard. Each decision node represents a position on the chessboard and its outgoing edges correspond to possible moves in such a position.


Example

## Example

- An example of an imperfect-information game in extensive form (part (a)) and its normal-form (part (b)).

(b)

|  | $(\ell)$ | $(r)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $(L, S)$ | $(2,2)$ | $(5,6)$ |
| $(L, T)$ | $(0,3)$ | $(6,1)$ |
| $(R, S)$ | $(3,3)$ | $(3,3)$ |
| $(R, T)$ | $(3,3)$ | $(3,3)$ |

## Example: Prisoner's dilemma

## Example: Prisoner's dilemma

- Prisoner's dilemma in extensive form (part (a)) and its normal-form (part (b)).
(a)


$$
(-1,-1) \quad(-3,0) \quad(0,-3) \quad(-2,-2)
$$

|  | T | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | $(-2,-2)$ | $(0,-3)$ |
| S | $(-3,0)$ | $(-1,-1)$ |

## Example: Russian roulette

## Example: Russian roulette

- We have two players with a six-shot revolver containing a single bullet. Each player has two moves: shoot or give up. If player gives up, he loses the game immediately. If he shoots, then he either dies or survives, in which case the other player is on turn.
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- Consider that player 1 has payoffs $(10,2,1)$ for (Win, Loss, Death) and that player 2 has payoffs $(10,0,0)$.


## Example: Russian roulette

## Example: Russian roulette

- The Russian roulette in the extensive form using the random player.



Source: https://twitter.com/curiosite12


Source: https://twitter.com/curiosite12

## Thank you for your attention.

