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- For single-item auctions we have found an awesome auction (Vickrey's auction) with the following three properties:
- DSIC: everybody has dominant strategy "bid truthfully" which guarantees non-negative utility,
- strong performance: maximizing social surplus,
- computational efficiency: running in polynomial time.
- We then generalized single-item auctions to single-parameter environments.
- 1 seller and $n$ bidders, the seller collects the bids $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots b_{n}\right)$,
- the seller chooses an allocation $x(b)=\left(x_{1}(b), \ldots, x_{n}(b)\right)$ from $X$,
- the seller sets payments $p(b)=\left(p_{1}(b), \ldots, p_{n}(b)\right)$.
- Is there awesome mechanism $(x, p)$ for single-parameter environments?
- We started by looking for DSIC mechanisms.
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Figure: Roger Myerson (born 1951) receiving a Nobel prize in economics.
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## Myerson's lemma

In a single-parameter environment, the following three claims hold.
(a) An allocation rule is implementable if and only if it is monotone.
(b) If an allocation rule $x$ is monotone, then there exists a unique payment rule $p$ such that $(x, p)$ is DSIC (assuming $b_{i}=0$ implies $p_{i}(b)=0$ ).
(c) For every $i$, the payment rule $p$ is given by the following explicit formula

$$
p_{i}\left(b_{i} ; b_{-i}\right)=\int_{0}^{b_{i}} z \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} z} x_{i}\left(z ; b_{-i}\right) \mathrm{d} z .
$$
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Sources: https://mountain.com/ and https://www.eq-international.com/

- Can we design an awesome mechanism that assigns the slots?
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- This problem is NP-hard.
- There is a pseudo-polynomial time algorithm using dynamic programming and a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme.
- Next lecture, we will focus on revenue maximization.
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| CATEGORIES $\sim$ FASHION MOTORS DAILY DEAL |
| :--- |
| Eack to Search Results \& Listed in category: Musical Instruments $>$ Guitar $>$ Other |




Seller info cody2466503 ( C

Ask a question Save this seller See other items

## Other item inf

Item number: 25
Item location: sh
Ur
Ships to: Ur
Payments: $\begin{array}{r}\mathrm{Pe} \\ \mathrm{Se} \\ \hline\end{array}$

Share
4 Report item


Source: https://www.kindpng.com/
Thank you for your attention and merry Christmas!
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