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$$
\bar{P}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, v\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}: x_{1}, x_{2} \geq \mathbf{0}, x_{1}+x_{2}=1, x_{1} \leq v, 2 x_{2} \leq v\right\}
$$

$$
\bar{Q}=\left\{\left(y_{3}, y_{4}, u\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}: y_{3}, y_{4} \geq \mathbf{0}, y_{3}+y_{4}=1,2 y_{3} \leq u, y_{4} \leq u\right\}
$$

## Best response polytopes $P$ and $Q$ for the Battle of sexes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{r|ll}
(0,1) & { }^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \\
3 & Q & 1 \\
(0,0) & & \bullet\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)
\end{array} \\
& P=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0, x_{1} \leq 1,2 x_{2} \leq 1\right\} \\
& Q=\left\{\left(y_{3}, y_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y_{3}, y_{4} \geq 0,2 y_{3} \leq 1, y_{4} \leq 1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Figure: Carlton E. Lemke (1920-2004) and J. T. Howson (?).
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## Thank you for your attention.

