

.~ Selected Chapters in Combinatorics ~

Lecture 8

- * Omitting types than ←
- * ω -categoricity

Andrés Aranda

aranda@kam.mff.cuni.cz

Compaction thm

|

Elem. substr/embeddings

|

Complete th.

|

Löwenheim - Skolem

|

Types, saturation

Omitting types

ω -categoricity

Techniques

- Henkin's construction
- Ultraproducts
- Elementary chains
- Back and forth

Fraïssé' amalgamation

Omitting Types

Def : p : an n -type over \overline{T}
 ϕ isolates p if for all $\psi \in p$
 $T \models \forall x (\phi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))$

$$[\phi] = \{p\}$$

Obs : if T is complete, then every principal
(= isolated) type p is realised in every
model of \overline{T} .

Pf : There is $M \models \exists x \varphi(x)$, when φ isolates
 p . Every other model of M realizes p .

Thm (omitting types theorem)

L - a countable language

T - a consistent L -theory

p - a nonprincipal n -type.

Then T has a cttble model M that omits p .

Proof: Idea: use Henkin's construction

witness property: if $\varphi(x)$ is a formula, then
there exists $c \in L$ constant s.t

$$T \models (\exists x \varphi(x)) \rightarrow \varphi(c)$$

How to do it:

over cttble many steps. of 3 types.

Pf (cont):

$\mathcal{Q} = \{c_i : i \in \omega\}$ new const. symbols

$L' = L \cup \mathcal{Q}$.

Goal: Construct seq. $\{\mathcal{D}_i : i \in \omega\}$ of L' -senten.

s.t. $F \mathcal{D}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_s$ for $t > s$

Use these:

$T^* = T \cup \{\mathcal{D}_i : i \in \omega\}$. \leftarrow complete

To ensure completeness,

$F \mathcal{D}_{3i+1} \rightarrow \varphi_i$ or $\mathcal{D}_{3i+1} \rightarrow \neg \varphi_i$

To ensure witness prop, if $\varphi_i \exists r \nexists r$ and

$F \mathcal{D}_{3i+1} \rightarrow \varphi_i$, then $\mathcal{D}_{3i+2} \rightarrow \varphi(c)$ some $c \in C$

$\{d_i : i \in \omega\}$ enumeration of n -tuples of constants in \mathcal{L} .

We will choose δ_{3i+3} to ensure that d_i^M does not realise p .

Start:

Step 0 : $\delta_0 = \forall x (x = x)$

Step $s+1 = 3i+1$: (witness prop)

Supp. φ_i is $\exists v \varphi(v)$ and $T \models \delta_s \rightarrow \varphi_i$

Take a constant $c \in \mathcal{L}$ not in $T \cup \{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_s\}$

If $N \models T \cup \{\delta_s\}$ then for some $a \in N$

$N \models \varphi(a)$, interpret c as a ~~const~~ to get

$N \models \delta_{s+1} \quad \square \quad \delta_{s+1} = \delta_s \wedge \varphi(c)$

If φ_i is not of the form $\exists v \varphi(v)$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{S+1} = \mathcal{D}_S.$$

Step $S+1 = 3i+2$ (completeness)

If $T \cup \{\mathcal{D}_S, \varphi_i\}$ is satisfiable,

$$\mathcal{D}_{S+1} = \mathcal{D}_S \wedge \varphi_i \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Either way} \\ T \cup \{\mathcal{D}_{S+1}\} \text{ satisfiable} \end{array} \right\}$$

Otherwise

$$\mathcal{D}_{S+1} = \mathcal{D}_S \wedge \neg \varphi_i \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Either way} \\ T \cup \{\mathcal{D}_{S+1}\} \text{ satisfiable} \end{array} \right\}$$

Step $S+1 = 3i+3$: (omitting p)

Let $d_i = (c'_1 \dots c'_n)$

Let $\varphi(v_1 \dots v_n)$ be the formula obtained by replacing every occurrence of c'_i by v_i and every other $c \in C \setminus \{c'_1 \dots c'_n\}$ that appears in φ by a variable v_c .
Add $\exists v_c$ in front of the formula for each v_c .

Since p is not isolated, there is $\varphi(\bar{v}) \in p$ s.t. $T \not\models \forall v (\varphi(v) \rightarrow \varphi(v))$ ~~⊗~~

Let ϑ_{s+1} be $\vartheta_s \wedge \neg \varphi(\bar{d}_i)$

Why is $T \cup \{\vartheta_{s+1}\}$ satisfiable?

By \otimes , there is $N \models T$ and $\bar{a} \in N$

s.t. $N \not\models \varphi(\bar{a}) \wedge \varphi(\bar{a})$

We can turn N into a model of
 ϑ_{s+1} by interpreting c'_i as a_i

$T^* = T \cup \{\vartheta_s : s < \omega\}$

- > T^* is complete.
 Take any φ . So by const. $\varphi = \varphi_i$
 for some i . At stage $3i+2$ we ensured
 $T^* \models \varphi$ or $T^* \models \neg\varphi$
- > T^* has the witness prop. We did this at
 stage $3i+1$.
- > Construct a model $M \models T^*$ from constants
 (as in lemma preceding compactness theorem)
 Take $a \in M^n$. Since every elt. of M
 is interpretation of constant symbol, $a = d_i$
 at stage $3i+3$ we ensured $M \models \neg\varphi_i(d)$
 for some $\varphi_i \in P$. □

Additional bookkeeping: Same result, but omitting
a countable collection of non-isolated types. \oplus

look:

if a theory has only ctbly many n -types
for all n , then \oplus says we can omit all
non-iso types, so there are models
in which the ~~model~~ type of any $\bar{a} \in M^n$
is isolated (atomic models).

Prop: If A, B are atomic models with $\text{Th}(A) = \text{Th}(B)$.
countable

then $A \cong B$.

Pf: Back and forth.

Special case: $A = B$: if a, b have the same type, then they are in the same orbit of $\text{Aut}(A)$.

ω -categoricity

Structure M is ω -categorical if $\text{Th}(M)$ is ω -cat.
If M is a ctble ω -cat str. then $\text{Aut}(M)$
is a subgroup of $\overset{\uparrow}{\text{Sym}}(M)$

Def: A permutation gp G on a ctble inf set X
is oligomorphic if there are only finitely
many orbits of n -tuples under the action
of G (all n)

Orbit of $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{(\sigma(a_1), \dots, \sigma(a_n)) : \sigma \in G\}$

Thm (Engeler / Ryll-Nardzewski / Svennius) :

If M is a cblly infinite str. with cbl language,
tfae :

- (1) M is ω -categorical
- (2) all types of M are principal
- (3) all models of $\text{Th}(M)$ are atomic
- (4) for each fin. n , there are only finitely many
non-equivalent formulas on variables $x_1 \dots x_n$
 $\varphi \underset{T}{\sim} \psi$ if $T \models \forall x (\varphi(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(x))$
- (5) M has finitely many n -types for all n
- (6) all models of $\text{Th}(M)$ are ω -saturated
- (7) Every relation preserved by $\text{Aut}(M)$ is f.o. def.
- (8) $\text{Aut}(M)$ is oligomorphic.

Plan: $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$

$2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1$

$2 \wedge 3 \wedge 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 4$

(1) \rightarrow (2): By omitting types from $\text{Th}(M)$, if $\text{Th}(M)$ has a nonprincipal type, then there is a model N that omits p .
By L-S there is $\Theta \models \text{Th}(M)$ that realises p .

This contradicts ω -categoricity.

(2) \rightarrow (3): If all types are principal, then every model satisfies all types, so has to be atomic.

(3) \rightarrow (4) : If we had infinitely many inequivalent $\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$, then

$S_n(\text{Th}(M)) \leftarrow$ compact, infinite

So there is a non-iso type.

(4) \rightarrow (5) : If for each n there are $\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_n$ s.t any $\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$ is equivalent to φ_i for some i , then every n -type P is determined by the set of ψ_i 's in P . (fin many).

(5) \rightarrow (6): let M be a model $a \in M^n$

p a 1-type of (M, a)

Suppose M has m $(n+1)$ -types

$p_1 \dots p_m$

for each $i \neq j$ $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

let φ_{ij} be a formula in $p_i \setminus p_j$.

p_i is isolated by $\varphi_{i,1} \wedge \varphi_{i,2} \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{i,m}$.

$\rightarrow p_i$ is realised in M .

(6) \rightarrow (1)

We know that κ -sat models of the same size of any given theory are isomorphic.

In part. if all ctble models are w -sat,
then all ctble models are iso.

(2) \wedge (3) \wedge (4) $\rightarrow \exists$

Suppose R is an n -ary relation preserved by $\text{Aut}(M)$.

$\rightarrow R$ is a union of orbits of n -tuples
(\rightarrow by (4) (only fin. many ineq. formulas))
 R has to be a finite union of orbits
 \rightarrow by (3), tuples of the same type are in
the same orbit
(\rightarrow by (2) n -types are principal, so we
use the isolating formula.)

(7) \rightarrow (8)

Suppose that $\text{Aut}(M)$ has infinitely many orbits on M^n for some n .

The union of any subset of orbits is preserved by $\text{Aut}(M)$

\rightarrow uncountable set of invariant "nary

On the other hand, there are only \aleph_0 many formulas on $x_1 \dots x_n$

So there are invariant relations which are not definable.

(1) \rightarrow (2)

If $\text{Aut}(M)$ is oligomorphic, then there are only finitely many inequivalent formulas $q(x_1 \dots x_n)$ for each n .

(Automorphisms preserve f.o. formulas)

□

Quantifier elimination in ω -cat sets.

QE means that every L-formula $\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$ is equivalent modulo T to a quantifier-free $\psi(x_1 \dots x_n)$

$$T \models \forall x_1 \dots x_n (\varphi(\bar{x}) \leftrightarrow \psi(\bar{x}))$$

Trick: Extend language to eliminate quantifiers:

Simply add for each formula $\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$

a rel. symbol R_φ and an axiom

$$\forall x_1 \dots x_n (R_\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n) \leftrightarrow \varphi(x_1 \dots x_n))$$

Prop: An ω -categorical str. M has qc iff it is homogeneous.

Pf

qc \rightarrow hom: Supp $\bar{a} = (a_1 \dots a_n)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1 \dots b_n)$ are such that $f: a_i \mapsto b_i$ is a local iso. By qc, \bar{a} and \bar{b} have the same type.

By RN, orbits are f.o. definable, so \bar{a}, \bar{b} are on the same orbit, so f is restriction of an automorphism of M .

hom \rightarrow ge :

$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$: a f.o. formula.

By RN, there are only finitely many orbits
 O_1, \dots, O_m of n -tuples that satisfy φ

Claim: each of O_1, \dots, O_m is def. by
qfree formula.

Consider the set of all qfree formulas satisfied
by $\bar{a} \in M^n \quad M \models \varphi(\bar{a})$

If $(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \bar{b}$ satisfies the same qfree
formulas, in part $f: a_i \mapsto b_i$ is a
local iso. Apply homogeneity. Since all
fo formulas are preserved under auto, $M \models \varphi(\bar{b}) \square$