

# No Helly theorem for stabbing translates by lines in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Andreas Holmsen

Department of Mathematics  
University of Bergen  
Johannes Brunsgt. 12, 5008 Bergen  
Norway  
e-mail: [andreash@mi.uib.no](mailto:andreash@mi.uib.no)

JIŘÍ MATOUŠEK

Department of Applied Mathematics and  
Institute of Theoretical Computer Science (ITI)  
Charles University  
Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Praha 1  
Czech Republic  
e-mail: [matousek@kam.mff.cuni.cz](mailto:matousek@kam.mff.cuni.cz)

## Abstract

For each  $n > 2$  we construct a convex body  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and a finite family  $\mathcal{F}$  of disjoint translates of  $K$  such that any  $n - 1$  members  $\mathcal{F}$  admit a line transversal, but  $\mathcal{F}$  has no line transversal.

## 1 Introduction

For a wider background of the questions considered in this note we refer to Wenger [10] or other surveys on Helly-type results and geometric transversal theory.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A line that intersects every member of  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *line transversal* of  $\mathcal{F}$ . In 1989 Tverberg [9] proved the following: If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of disjoint translates of a compact convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that any 5 members of  $\mathcal{F}$  admit a line transversal, then  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a line transversal.

This statement was first conjectured by Grünbaum [3] in a paper where he proved a special case, namely when  $K$  is a parallelogram. Prior to this, Danzer [1] proved the case where  $K$  is a disc. In 1986 Katchalski [5] proved the weaker statement in which the number 5 is replaced by 128 (this was the first Helly-type theorem for line transversals for general translates). It should also be noted that Tverberg's transversal theorem is the best possible, meaning if any of the assumptions are weakened the conclusion no longer holds.

What can be said about line transversals of families of disjoint translates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ? A special case of a theorem by Santaló [8] asserts that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of disjoint translates of a parallelotope in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and any 40 members admit a line transversal, then  $\mathcal{F}$  admits a line transversal. A recent result by Holmsen, Katchalski, and Lewis [4] shows that there is a bounded Helly number for line transversals to families of disjoint unit balls in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . But for translates of a general compact convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  the Helly number is no longer bounded, as the following theorem shows.

**Theorem 1** *For any integer  $n > 2$  there exists a compact convex set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and a finite family  $\mathcal{F}$  of disjoint translates of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that any  $n - 1$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  admit a line transversal, and yet  $\mathcal{F}$  admits no line transversal.*

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Similar to almost all previous examples with lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , our construction uses the hyperbolic paraboloid  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with equation  $z = xy$  (we imagine the  $z$ -axis being vertical). For  $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$  let  $h_a \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be the plane  $\{x = a\}$ . Let  $l_a$  be the line  $h_a \cap \Sigma$  and let  $s_a$  be the segment on  $l_a$  with  $y \in [0, 1]$ . Note that each line transversal of  $\{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_6\}$  lies on  $\Sigma$  and is one of the lines  $\{y = y_0, z = xy_0, y_0 \in [0, 1]\}$ .

We choose a very small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and we let  $\pi_\alpha$  be the vertical plane  $\{x = \alpha + \varepsilon y\}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The intersection  $\Sigma \cap \pi_\alpha$  is the graph of a convex function. Further let  $t_j \subset \Sigma$  be the line  $\{y = j/n, z = xy\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ; see Fig. 1. For each  $i$  we define  $(n - 1)$ -point set  $P_i = \{p_{ij} : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}\}$ , where  $\{p_{ij}\} = t_j \cap \pi_{i/2n}$ .

We now introduce conditions on the convex body  $K$  and the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of translates of  $K$ . First of all we want  $\mathcal{F}$  to contain translates  $K_a$  for  $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$  such that  $K_a \cap \Sigma = s_a$  and  $K_a$  lies above  $\Sigma$  for  $a$  even and below  $\Sigma$  for  $a$  odd.




Figure 1: Some of the objects in the construction (for  $n = 3$ ).

We claim that every line transversal of  $\{K_0, K_1, \dots, K_6\}$  is also transversal of  $\{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_6\}$ . To see this, note that any line transversal  $t$  of  $\{K_a : a = 0, 2, 4, 6\}$  has to go above  $\Sigma$  (non-strictly) at every section with the plane  $h_a$ ,  $a = 0, 2, 4, 6$ , and since  $t$  intersects  $\Sigma$  at most twice, it goes completely above  $\Sigma$  in at least 2 of the 3 intervals  $[0, 2]$ ,  $[2, 4]$ , and  $[4, 6]$ . Similarly, if  $t$  is a transversal of  $\{K_a : a = 1, 3, 5\}$ , it has to go completely below  $\Sigma$  in at least 1 of the intervals  $[1, 3]$  and  $[3, 5]$ . Therefore, every line transversal of  $\{K_0, K_1, \dots, K_6\}$  shares as segment with  $\Sigma$ , and so it lies completely on  $\Sigma$ .

Next, we want  $\mathcal{F}$  to contain translates  $L_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that  $L_i$  lies above  $\Sigma$  and  $L_i \cap \Sigma = P_i$ .

Let us assume, for the moment, that there exists a convex body  $K$  that satisfies the conditions above such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of disjoint translates of  $K$ . Then each  $n - 1$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  admit a line transversal, but not the entire family. To see this, note that  $t_i$  is the unique transversal to  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{L_i\}$ , and that  $t_i$  misses  $L_i$ .

**The construction.** Now we give an explicit construction of  $K$  that satisfies the conditions above. First we construct a set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  consisting of segments  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_6$  and points  $q_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ , all lying in the narrow vertical strip  $\{0 \leq x \leq \delta\}$ , with  $\delta > 0$  small. Then

we define  $K = \text{conv}(X)$ .

First we let  $X_0$  be the segment  $\sigma_0 = s_0$ , lying within the vertical plane  $h_0 = \{x = 0\}$ . Having constructed  $X_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we obtain  $X_i$  by appending a suitably translated copy  $Q_i = \{q_{ij} : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}\}$  of the set  $P_i$ . This means that we let  $m_{i-1}$  be the point of  $X_{i-1}$  with the largest  $y$ -coordinate (e.g.,  $m_0 = (0, 1, 0)$ ), we define  $v_i$  as the translation vector that moves  $m_{i-1}$  to the point of  $P_i$  with the smallest  $y$ -coordinate (i.e.,  $v_1 = p_{12} - m_0$  for  $i = 1$  and  $v_i = p_{i1} - m_i$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ), and we set  $Q_i = P_i - v_i$  and  $X_i = X_{i-1} \cup Q_i$ . We note that  $Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_n$  all lie in the vertical plane  $\{y = \varepsilon x + 1\}$ .

Having constructed  $X_n$ , we similarly append to it translated copies  $\sigma_2, \sigma_4, \sigma_6$  of the segments  $s_2, s_4, s_6$ , respectively, in this order. These lie in the vertical plane  $h_\delta = \{x = \delta\}$ , where  $\delta$  is the maximum  $x$ -coordinate in  $X_n$ ; that is, the  $x$ -coordinate of the last point  $q_{n(n-1)} \in Q_n$ . See Fig. 2(a).

Let  $X_L$  denote the set constructed so far. For later use, we note that  $X_L$  is monotone, in the following sense: If  $p, q \in X_L$  are points, with  $y(p) < y(q)$  ( $y(p)$  is the  $y$ -coordinate of  $p$ ), then  $x(p) \leq x(q)$ , with equality only if  $p, q$  lie on a common segment  $\sigma_a$ .

Next, we let  $X_U = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_3 \cup \sigma_5$ , where  $\sigma_a$  is a translate of  $s_a$ ,  $a = 1, 3, 5$ , such that  $X_U$  lies within the vertical strip  $\{x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 3\}$ , very high above  $X_L$ , and forms the graph of a concave function. Explicitly,  $\sigma_5 = \{x = 0, z = 5y + M, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$ ,  $\sigma_3 = \{x = 0, z = 3y + M + 2, 1 \leq y \leq 2\}$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \{x = 0, z = y + M + 6, 2 \leq y \leq 3\}$ , where  $M$  is a very large number. Finally, we set  $X = X_L \cup X_U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_X$  be the family of translates of  $X$  consisting of:

- $X + v_i$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and
- $X + w_a$ , for  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ , where  $w_a$  is the translation vector with  $\sigma_a + w_a = s_a$ .

The family  $\mathcal{F}$  is obtained by replacing each member of  $\mathcal{F}_X$  by its convex hull, i.e. a translate of  $K = \text{conv}(X)$ .

**Checking the properties of  $\mathcal{F}$ .** We now show that the family  $\mathcal{F}$  has the desired properties when  $\varepsilon > 0$  is chosen sufficiently small. For this we first consider the family  $\mathcal{F}_X$  rather than  $\mathcal{F}$ . The set  $X$  is constructed so that the members of  $\mathcal{F}_X$  intersect  $\Sigma$  exactly the way we want; that is,  $(X + v_i) \cap \Sigma = P_i$  and  $(X + w_a) \cap \Sigma = s_a$ .

To see this, note that the slope (in the  $h_0$  plane) of the segments  $q_{ij}q_{i(j+1)}$  is very close to  $\frac{i}{2n}$ . It follows that each  $X + v_i$  lies above  $\Sigma$  and intersects




Figure 2: (a) The set  $X_L$  for  $n = 3$  (projection to the  $yz$  plane); (b) the set  $X_U$  (shown in a smaller scale than  $X_L$ ).

it only in  $P_i$ . Similarly, we check that for  $a = 0, 2, 4, 6$ ,  $X + w_a$  lies above  $\Sigma$  and intersects it exactly in  $s_a$ , and for  $a = 1, 3, 5$ ,  $X + w_a$  lies below  $\Sigma$  and intersects it exactly in  $s_a$ .

Clearly, the translates in  $\mathcal{F}$  are disjoint, since they lie in disjoint parallel vertical strips (parallel to the  $yz$  plane and of width  $\delta$ ). It remains that the intersections of the members of  $\mathcal{F}_X$  with  $\Sigma$  are not enlarged by taking convex hulls. We begin with a simple observation:

**Observation 2** *Let  $p, q$  be points lying above  $\Sigma$  (not necessarily strictly), such that  $x(p) \leq x(q)$  and  $y(p) \leq y(q)$ . Then all points of the segment  $pq$  lie above  $\Sigma$  (non-strictly). Moreover, an interior point of the segment  $pq$  can lie on  $\Sigma$  only if  $x(p) = x(q)$  and all of the segment  $pq$  lies on  $\Sigma$ .*

To see this, consider the vertical plane  $\rho$  passing through  $p$  and  $q$  (if  $x(p) = x(q)$  and  $y(p) = y(q)$  then the claim clearly holds, so we may assume that  $\rho$  is unique). The intersection  $\Sigma \cap \rho$  is the graph of a convex function, so the segment  $pq$  lies (non-strictly) above it. Moreover, that function is

strictly convex unless  $x(p) = x(q)$  or  $y(p) = y(q)$ , and in these cases it is a line.  $\square$

Now let us consider a translate  $X + v_i \in \mathcal{F}_X$ . First we check that  $\text{conv}(X_L + v_i) \cap \Sigma = P_i$ ; this is immediate from Observation 2 (if we connect two points in  $X_L + v_i$  by a segment, all interior points of that segment lie strictly above  $\Sigma$ , and similarly if we connect these “first-generation” points by segments).

The set  $\text{conv}(X_U + v_i)$  lies high above  $\Sigma$ . Any segment connecting a point of  $\text{conv}(X_L + v_i)$  to  $\text{conv}(X_U + v_i)$  is almost vertical, and so such segments do not create any new intersections with  $\Sigma$ . The union of all such segments already equals  $\text{conv}(X + v_i)$  (if  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  are convex sets, then  $\bigcup\{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$  is convex).

The argument for  $\text{conv}(X + w_a) \cap \Sigma = s_a$ ,  $a = 0, 2, 4, 6$ , is almost identical and we omit it. Finally,  $\text{conv}(X + w_a) \cap \Sigma = s_a$  for  $a = 1, 3, 5$  is easy as well: The situation with  $\text{conv}(X_U + w_a)$  is clear, as it lives within the vertical plane  $h_a$ , which is intersected by  $\Sigma$  in a line, and the contribution of  $X_L + w_a$  is discussed as above. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

### 3 Remarks

We conclude with some remarks concerning the construction in Section 2.

1. Although the set  $K$  is very flat, we note that it must indeed be 3-dimensional. If not, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite family of translates of  $K$  such that every 3 members have a line transversal, then either  $\mathcal{F}$  lies in a common plane, or all members lie in distinct parallel planes. In both cases the Helly number is bounded: in the first case by Tverberg’s transversal theorem, and in the second case by a theorem of Grünbaum (see Theorem 5.6 of [2]).
2. It has already been noted that the members of  $\mathcal{F}$  lie in disjoint parallel strips. Using this observation, labeling the strips going from left to right, say, gives an ordering of the members of  $\mathcal{F}$ . And by the disjointness of the strips it follows that any  $n - 1$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  are met by a line transversal consistently with the ordering. Thus the construction in Section 2 actually proves the following statement (which is stronger than Theorem 1).

**Theorem 3** *There is no Hadwiger-type theorem for line transversals to families of disjoint translates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .*

3. Our example can also be easily modified to refute a theorem of the following type: “There exist  $c$  and  $k$  such that if every  $k$  members of a family of disjoint translates of a given convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  have a line transversal, then all but at most  $c$  members of that family have a line transversal.”

The modification goes as follows. First, instead of the 7 segments  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_6$ , we use sufficiently many segments  $s_a$  so that if any at most  $c$  of them are removed, a 7-tuple still remains for which the argument in the proof (that any transversal of the corresponding copies  $K_a$  of  $K$  lies on  $\Sigma$ ) still works. And second, we choose the parameter  $n$  sufficiently large depending on  $k$  and  $c$ , and instead of the simple set system  $\{\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  used for defining the sets  $P_i$ , we use another set system in which every  $k$  sets intersect but no  $n - c$  do.

4. On the other hand, we do not have an example disproving a *fractional Helly theorem* of the following type:

There exists a natural number  $k$  such that for every  $\alpha > 0$  there is a  $\beta > 0$  such that whenever  $K$  is a convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n\}$  is a family of disjoint translates of  $K$  such that at least  $\alpha \binom{n}{k}$  among the  $\binom{n}{k}$  subfamilies of  $\mathcal{F}$  of size  $k$  admit a line transversal, then some at least  $\beta n$  sets of  $\mathcal{F}$  have a line transversal.

This, if true, would be an analogue of the result of Katchalski and Liu [6]; see, e.g., [7] for recent examples of fractional Helly theorems in situations where Helly-type theorems fail.

## References

- [1] L. Danzer, Über ein Problem aus der kombinatorischen Geometrie. *Arch. Math.* 8 (1957), 347–351.
- [2] L. Danzer, B. Grünbaum, V. Klee, Helly’s theorem and its relatives. In *Convexity*, volume 7 of Proc. Pure Math., American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1963, pp. 101–180.

- [3] B. Grünbaum, On common transversals. *Arch. Math.* 9 (1958), 465–469.
- [4] A. Holmsen, M. Katchalski, and T. Lewis, A Helly-type theorem for line transversals to disjoint unit balls. Submitted to *Discr. Comput. Geom.*, 2002.
- [5] M. Katchalski, A conjecture of Grünbaum on common transversals. *Math. Scand.* 59 (1986), 192–198.
- [6] M. Katchalski and A. Liu, A problem of geometry in  $R^n$ . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75 (1979), 284–288.
- [7] J. Matoušek, Bounded VC-dimension implies a fractional Helly theorem. Submitted, 2002.
- [8] L. Santaló, Un teorema sobre conjuntos de paralelepipedos de arista paralelas. *Publ. Inst. Mat. Univ. Nac. Litoral* 2 (1940), 49–60.
- [9] H. Tverberg, Proof of Grünbaum’s conjecture on common transversals for translates. *Discr. Comput. Geom.* 4 (1989), 191–203.
- [10] R. Wenger, Progress in geometric transversal theory. In *Advances in Discrete and Computational Geometry (South Hadley, MA, 1996)*, *Contemp. Math.* 223, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.