

# A bijection between nonnegative words and sparse *abba*-free partitions

Jan Němeček<sup>a</sup> and Martin Klazar<sup>b,1,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Ve Stráni 87, 560 02 Česká Třebová, Czech Republic*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Applied Mathematics and Institute for Theoretical Computer Science, Charles University, Malostranské náměstí 25, 118 00 Praha, Czech Republic*

## Abstract

We give a bijective proof that the following two sets are equinumerous: (i) the set of words over  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  of length  $m - 2$  which have every initial sum nonnegative, and (ii) the set of partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that no two consecutive numbers lie in the same block and for no four numbers the middle two are in one block and the end two are in another block. The words were considered by Gouyou-Beauchamps and Viennot who enumerated by means of them certain animals. The identity connecting (i) and (ii) was observed by Klazar who proved it by generating functions.

*Keywords:* set partition; bijection; directed animal

Let us denote, for  $m > 0$ ,  $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . A sequence  $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$  is a *nonnegative word* if  $a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  for each  $i$  and for each initial segment of  $a$  the sum of its elements is nonnegative. Recall that  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  is a partition of  $[m]$  if the  $A_i$ s (called *blocks*) are nonempty disjoint subsets of  $[m]$  and their union is  $[m]$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *sparse* if for every  $i \in [m - 1]$  the elements  $i$  and  $i + 1$  lie in two distinct blocks.  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *abba-free* if it does not happen for any four elements  $i < j < k < l$  of  $[m]$  that  $i, l$  lie in a common block and  $j, k$  in another common block. For example,  $\{\{1, 5, 7\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 6\}\}$  is a sparse partition that is not *abba-free*.

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by the project LN00A056 of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic.

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author, klazar@kam.ms.mff.cuni.cz

The partition  $\{\{1, 2, 5, 7\}, \{4\}, \{3\}, \{6, 8\}\}$  is *abba*-free but it is not sparse. We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** For every  $m \geq 3$  there exists a bijection  $G$  between the set of sparse *abba*-free partitions of  $[m]$  and the set of nonnegative words of length  $m - 2$ .

Gouyou-Beauchamps and Viennot [1] were interested in counting certain animals (certain sets of plane lattice points) and showed that their animal problem is equivalent to enumeration of nonnegative words (they use slightly different terminology). Klazar [2] was interested in counting set partitions subject to structural restrictions and obtained as a byproduct the above identity. His derivation uses substantially generating functions. Speaking of them, if  $r_m$  is the number of sparse *abba*-free partitions of  $[m]$ , then ([2])

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_m x^m = 1 + \frac{x}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1+x}{1-3x}}.$$

Analogous formula for nonnegative words was derived before in [1]. Our aim is to avoid the use of generating functions and to give a bijective proof of the identity.

We need few more definitions. A nonnegative word is a *correct word* if the first letter is 1, the last letter is  $-1$ , the sum of all letters is zero, and each proper initial segment has positive sum. We say that the letter  $a_j$  in a word over  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  is *dominant* if  $a_j = 1$  and the sum of letters in every interval beginning in  $a_j$  is positive. For  $a$  a correct word of length at least three,  $a'$  is obtained from  $a$  by deleting the first and the last letter. Obviously,  $a'$  is a nonnegative word. For a partition  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  of  $[m]$  we denote  $|\mathcal{A}| = m$ . Similarly, for a sequence  $a$  we denote  $|a|$  its length. We say that  $j \in [m]$  is *covered* in  $\mathcal{A}$  if there exist  $i, k \in [m]$  and  $A_r \in \mathcal{A}$  so that  $i < j < k$ ,  $i, k \in A_r$ , and  $j \notin A_r$ . If every element of  $\{2, \dots, m-1\}$  is covered in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *connected partition*. Any partition  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| = m$ , can be written in a *sequential form*. This is a sequence  $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_m$  of length  $m$  over some alphabet such that  $b_i = b_j$  if and only if  $i, j$  lie in the same block of  $\mathcal{A}$ . A partition has many sequential forms. One of them is the *canonical sequential form* in which the alphabet is  $[n]$  ( $n$  is the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{A}$ ) and the first occurrence of every  $i \in [n]$ ,  $i > 1$ , in  $b$  is preceded by the first occurrence of  $i - 1$ . In particular,  $b$  starts with 1. Each partition has a unique canonical sequential form. It is convenient

to write specific partitions in (canonical) sequential form. For example, the canonical sequential form of

$$\{\{1, 5, 7\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 6\}\} \text{ is } 1232131$$

(we will omit commas in the sequential forms of partitions).

**Lemma 1.** Each block of a connected sparse *abba*-free partition of  $[m]$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , has at most two elements. Moreover, the block containing 1 and the block containing  $m$  have exactly two elements.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $j < k < l$  belong to the same block, say  $B$ , of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $k$  is covered, there exist  $s$  and  $t$ ,  $s < k < t$ , belonging to the same block that is different from  $B$ . It is easy to check that each of the four positions of  $s, j$  and  $t, l$  leads to the forbidden pattern *abba*. If  $\{1\}$  were a block, 2 would not be covered. Similarly  $\{m\}$  cannot be a block.  $\square$

We consider the following mapping  $F$  from the set of partitions of  $[m]$  with no block with more than two elements to  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ .  $F(\mathcal{A}) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$  where  $a_i = 0$  if  $\{i\} \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $a_i = 1$  if  $i$  is the first element of the two-element block containing  $i$ , and  $a_i = -1$  if  $i$  is the second element. For example,  $F(1234153) = 1, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, -1$ .

**Lemma 2.** For every  $m \geq 3$ ,  $F$  is a bijection between the set of connected sparse *abba*-free partitions of  $[m]$  and the set of correct words of length  $m$ .

**Proof.** By the previous lemma, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a connected sparse *abba*-free partition,  $F(\mathcal{A})$  is defined and is a sequence beginning with 1 and ending with  $-1$ . Every initial sum of  $F(\mathcal{A})$  is nonnegative for else we would have in the corresponding initial segment more second elements of two-element blocks than the first elements, which is impossible. Moreover, for no  $i$ ,  $1 < i < m$ , the sum of the first  $i$  letters is zero because then  $i$  would not be covered. Thus  $F(\mathcal{A})$  is a correct word.

We define the inverse mapping  $F'$ . Let  $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$  be a correct word and let the partition  $F'(a) = \mathcal{A}$  be defined in the following way. If  $a_i = 0$  then  $\{i\}$  is a (singleton) block of  $\mathcal{A}$  and if  $a_i$  is the  $k$ th occurrence of 1 in  $a$  and  $a_j$  is the  $k$ th occurrence of  $-1$ , then  $\{i, j\}$  is a block of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note that always  $i < j$  and that the second elements of two-element blocks come in the same order as the first elements. Thus  $\mathcal{A}$  is *abba*-free.  $\mathcal{A}$  is connected because if an inner element  $i$  were not covered, then the sum of the first  $i$

letters of  $a$  would be zero.  $\mathcal{A}$  is sparse because  $\{i, i + 1\} \in \mathcal{A}$  implies that  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{i-1} = 0$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{i+1} = 0$ . Finally, it is easy to check that  $F$  and  $F'$  are inverses of one another and thus  $F$  is a bijection.  $\square$

For a sparse *abba*-free partition  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $[m]$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , consider the collection  $\mathcal{A}^*$  of maximal subintervals  $I \subset [m]$  of length at least three for which the induced partition  $\mathcal{A}|I$  is connected.

**Lemma 3.** Every two distinct intervals  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{A}^*$  are disjoint or they overlap in one element only.

**Proof.** Any other position of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  means that every inner element of  $I = I_1 \cup I_2$  is inner in  $I_1$  or in  $I_2$  and thus  $\mathcal{A}|I$  is connected. This contradicts the maximality of  $I_1$  or of  $I_2$ .  $\square$

Thus we can order  $\mathcal{A}^*$  as  $\mathcal{A}^* = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\}_<$  where  $I_i = [u_i, v_i]$  and  $1 \leq u_1 < v_1 \leq u_2 < v_2 \leq u_3 < \dots \leq u_n < v_n \leq m$ . We define the numbers  $a_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , by  $a_i = u_{i+1} - v_i - 1$  where we set  $v_0 = 0$  and  $u_{n+1} = m + 1$ . Clearly,  $a_i \geq -1$  and  $a_i$  is the number of elements between  $I_i$  and  $I_{i+1}$ , where  $a_i = -1$  means that the intervals overlap. Note that every element between  $I_i$  and  $I_{i+1}$  forms a singleton block.

Now we can define the desired bijection  $G$ :

$$G(\mathcal{A}) = 1^{a_0} F(\mathcal{A}_1)' 1^{a_1+2} F(\mathcal{A}_2)' 1^{a_2+2} \dots 1^{a_{n-1}+2} F(\mathcal{A}_n)' 1^{a_n}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{A}$  is a sparse *abba*-free partition of  $[m]$ ,  $m \geq 3$ ,  $1^i$  abbreviates the sequence  $1, 1, \dots, 1$  of  $i$  1s,  $a_i$  are the above numbers,  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is the restriction of  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $I_i$  (where  $\mathcal{A}^* = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\}_<$ ) normalized so that the ground set is an initial segment of positive integers (of length  $v_i - u_i + 1$ ),  $F$  is the mapping of Lemma 2, and  $'$  means the deletion of the first and last letter. If  $n = 0$ , that is if  $\mathcal{A}^* = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  has only singleton blocks, we set

$$G(\mathcal{A}) = 1^{a_0-2} = 1^{m-2}.$$

We prove that  $G$  is indeed a bijection between all sparse *abba*-free partitions of  $[m]$  and all nonnegative words of length  $m - 2$ . By Lemma 2,  $F(\mathcal{A}_i)$  is a correct word. Hence  $F(\mathcal{A}_i)'$  is a nonnegative word and the whole  $G(\mathcal{A})$  is a nonnegative word. Its length is  $m - 2$  if  $\mathcal{A}^* = \emptyset$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_{i-1} + |F(\mathcal{A}_i)| - 2) + a_n + 2(n - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{i-1} + |I_i|) + a_n - 2 = m - 2$$

if  $\mathcal{A}^* \neq \emptyset$ .

We define the inverse mapping  $G'$ . Let  $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_{m-2}$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , be a nonnegative word. There is a unique decomposition of  $b$  into intervals

$$b = c_0 d_1 c_1 d_2 \dots c_{n-1} d_n c_n$$

such that  $c_0$  is the longest initial interval in which every element is dominant,  $d_1$  is the longest interval starting immediately after  $c_0$  whose elements sum up to zero,  $c_1$  is the longest interval starting immediately after  $d_1$  in which every element is dominant and so on. Note that  $c_0$  and  $c_n$  may be empty but the other intervals are nonempty,  $c_i = 1^{e_i}$  where  $e_i$  is a nonnegative integer, and every  $d_i$  is a nonnegative word. If  $b = c_0$ ,  $b$  consists only of 1s, and we set  $G'(b)$  to be the partition of  $[m]$  having just the singleton blocks  $\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{m\}$ . If  $n > 0$ , we define  $\mathcal{A}_i = F'(1, d_i, -1)$  where  $F'$  is the inverse mapping to  $F$  of Lemma 2, defined in its proof. Word  $1, d_i, -1$  is a correct word and  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is a connected sparse *abba*-free partition of some initial interval of positive integers. We define the numbers  $a_i$  as  $a_0 = e_0$ ,  $a_n = e_n$ , and  $a_i = e_i - 2$  for  $0 < i < n$ . Finally, we set

$$G'(b) = \mathcal{B}_0 \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{B}_1 \mathcal{A}_2 \dots \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \mathcal{A}_n \mathcal{B}_n$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is, for  $a_i > 0$ , a partition consisting of  $a_i$  singleton blocks. If  $a_i = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_i = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1}$  are neighbours. If  $a_i = -1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_i = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1}$  are made to overlap in the last element of  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and the first element of  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1}$ . The two blocks which now intersect merge into one block. Needless to say, the ground intervals of  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}_i$  are in the concatenation shifted appropriately to make up an initial interval of positive integers.

It is easy to check that the resulting partition  $G'(b)$  is a sparse *abba*-free partition of  $[m]$  and that for every  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $b$  we have  $G'(G(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}$  and  $G(G'(b)) = b$ . Thus  $G$  and  $G'$  are bijections. The theorem is proved.

As an example, we list in the lexicographical order all 13 sparse *abba*-free partitions of  $[5]$  in their canonical sequential form and the corresponding nonnegative words with length 3:

$$\begin{aligned} G(12123) &= F(1212)', 1 = (1, 1, -1, -1)', 1 = 1, -1, 1. \\ G(12131) &= F(121)', 1, F(131)' = (1, 0, -1)', 1, (1, 0, -1)' = 0, 1, 0. \\ G(12132) &= F(12132)' = (1, 1, -1, 0, -1)' = 1, -1, 0. \\ G(12134) &= F(121)', 1^2 = (1, 0, -1)', 1, 1 = 0, 1, 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G(12312) &= F(12312)' = (1, 1, 0, -1, -1)' = 1, 0, -1. \\
G(12313) &= F(12313)' = (1, 0, 1, -1, -1)' = 0, 1, -1. \\
G(12314) &= F(1231)', 1 = (1, 0, 0, -1)', 1 = 0, 0, 1. \\
G(12323) &= 1, F(2323)' = 1, (1, 1, -1, -1)' = 1, 1, -1. \\
G(12324) &= 1, F(232)', 1 = 1, (1, 0, -1)', 1 = 1, 0, 1. \\
G(12341) &= F(12341)' = (1, 0, 0, 0, -1)' = 0, 0, 0. \\
G(12342) &= 1, F(2342)' = 1, (1, 0, 0, -1)' = 1, 0, 0. \\
G(12343) &= 1^2, F(343)' = 1, 1, (1, 0, -1)' = 1, 1, 0. \\
G(12345) &= 1^3 = 1, 1, 1.
\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] D. Gouyou-Beauchamps and G. Viennot, Equivalence of the two-dimensional directed animal problem to a one-dimensional path problem, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 9 (1988) 334–357.
- [2] M. Klazar, On *abab*-free and *abba*-free set partitions, *Europ. J. Comb.* 17 (1996) 53–68.