

# On Universal Graphs for Planar Oriented Graphs of a Given Girth

O. V. Borodin\*

Novosibirsk State University, 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia

A. V. Kostochka<sup>†</sup>

Institute of Mathematics, 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia

J. Nešetřil<sup>‡</sup>

Dept. of Appl. Math., Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

A. Raspaud<sup>§</sup> and E. Sopena<sup>§</sup>

LaBRI, Université Bordeaux I, 33405 Talence Cedex, France

May 26, 1997

## Abstract

The oriented chromatic number  $o(H)$  of an oriented graph  $H$  is defined to be the minimum order of an oriented graph  $H'$  such that  $H$  has a homomorphism to  $H'$ . If each graph in a class  $\mathcal{K}$  has a homomorphism to the same  $H'$ , then  $H'$  is  $\mathcal{K}$ -universal. Let  $\mathcal{P}_k$  denote the class of orientations of planar graphs with girth at least  $k$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{P}_3 \supset \mathcal{P}_4 \supset \mathcal{P}_5 \dots$ . We discuss the existence of  $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graphs with special properties. It is known (see [11]) that there exists a  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -universal graph on 80 vertices. We prove here that

- (1) there exist no planar  $\mathcal{P}_4$ -universal graphs;
- (2) there exists a planar  $\mathcal{P}_{16}$ -universal graph on 6 vertices;
- (3) for any  $k$ , there exist no planar  $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graphs of girth at least 6;
- (4) for any  $k$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{P}_{40k}$ -universal graph of girth at least  $k + 1$ .

---

\*This work was partially supported by the grants 97-01-01075 and 96-01-01614 of the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research.

<sup>†</sup>This work was partially supported by the grant 96-01-01614 of the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research. A big part of the work of this author was made during his stay at LaBRI, Université Bordeaux I, whose hospitality is gratefully acknowledged.

<sup>‡</sup>This work was partially supported by the BARRANDE Programme n° 97-137 and GACR 0194 and GAUK 194 grants.

<sup>§</sup>Part of this work has been supported by the BARRANDE Programme n° 97-137.

## 1 Introduction

Graphs in this paper can be directed, oriented or unoriented. The difference between *directed* and *oriented* graphs is that in directed graphs opposite arcs are allowed, while in oriented graphs they are not allowed. (Two exceptions: by *directed cycle* we mean an oriented cycle without sources and by *directed path* an oriented path with exactly one source and exactly one sink.) In other words, an oriented graph is an orientation of an undirected graph obtained by assigning to every edge one of the two possible orientations. For every graph  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $V$  is its set of vertices and  $E$  is its set of arcs or edges. Given graphs  $G = (V, E)$  and  $G' = (V', E')$ , a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G'$  is any mapping  $f : V \rightarrow V'$  satisfying

$$xy \in E \implies f(x)f(y) \in E'.$$

Here the elements of  $E$  and  $E'$  either both are edges or both are arcs. The existence of a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $G'$  will be denoted by  $G \rightarrow G'$ .

Homomorphisms are clearly related to the chromatic number of undirected graphs by the observation that  $\chi(G) \leq k$  if and only if  $G \rightarrow K_k$ . In other words, an undirected graph  $G$  has chromatic number  $k$  if and only if  $G$  has a homomorphism to  $K_k$  but no homomorphism to  $K_{k-1}$ . Therefore, the chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  of an undirected graph  $G$  can equivalently be defined as the minimum number of vertices in an undirected graph  $H$  such that  $G$  has a homomorphism to  $H$ . Homomorphisms of undirected graphs have been extensively studied (see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10]) as a generalization of graph colouring. We can similarly define the *oriented chromatic number*  $o(H)$  of an oriented graph  $H$  as the minimum number of vertices in an oriented graph  $H'$  such that  $H$  has a(n oriented) homomorphism to  $H'$ . Oriented homomorphisms have been studied in [2, 8, 9, 11, 12]. We will often say that a graph  $G$  is *H-colourable* if  $G$  has a homomorphism to  $H$  and the vertices of  $H$  will be called *colours*.

A difference between undirected and directed homomorphisms is that every undirected graph  $G$  with  $\chi(G) \leq k$  is  $K_k$ -colourable, while the minimum number of vertices in an oriented graph  $H$  such that every oriented graph  $G$  with  $o(G) \leq k$  is  $H$ -colourable is exponential in  $k$ . This difference justifies studying *K-universal oriented graphs*, i.e. the oriented graphs  $H$  such that every graph in  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $H$ -colourable. In this paper we study universal graphs for oriented planar graphs of given girth. By the *girth* (respectively, *length of a path* or *length of a cycle*) of an oriented graph we mean the girth (respectively, length of a path or length of a cycle) of the underlying undirected graph.

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_k$  the class of planar oriented graphs with girth at least  $k$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{P}_3$  is the class of all planar oriented graphs. Evidently,  $\mathcal{P}_3 \supset \mathcal{P}_4 \supset \mathcal{P}_5 \dots$ , which yields that any  $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graph is also  $\mathcal{P}_m$ -universal for every  $m > k$ . The

- [6] P. Hell, J. Nešetřil and X. Zhu, *Duality and polynomial testing of tree homomorphisms*, Transactions of the AMS **348** (4) (1996), 1281–1297.
- [7] T. R. Jensen and B. Toft, *Graph coloring problems*, Wiley Interscience (1995).
- [8] A. V. Kostochka, E. Sopena and X. Zhu, *Acyclic and oriented chromatic numbers of graphs*, J. Graph Theory, to appear.
- [9] J. Nešetřil, A. Raspaud and E. Sopena, *Colorings and girth of oriented planar graphs*, Discrete Math. **165–166** (1997), 519–530.
- [10] J. Nešetřil and X. Zhu, *On bounded treewidth duality of graph homomorphisms*, J. Graph Theory **23** (1996), 151–162.
- [11] A. Raspaud and E. Sopena, *Good and semi-strong colorings of oriented planar graphs*, Inform. Processing Letters **51** (1994), 171–174.
- [12] E. Sopena, *The chromatic number of oriented graphs*, J. Graph Theory, to appear.

Similarly, under conditions (2), for each  $A \subset V$  with  $|A| = \lceil 1/p \rceil$ , we have

$$\min\{|N_H^+(A)|, |N_H^-(A)|\} \geq \frac{4(e-1)}{5e}n - \lceil 1/p \rceil > 0.505n - n^\alpha > n/2. \quad (4)$$

For an oriented path  $P$  and  $v \in V$ , let  $N^P(v)$  denote the set of vertices  $w \in V$  such that  $H$  contains a path isomorphic to  $P$  connecting  $v$  with  $w$ . Now, we prove that for each  $v \in V$  and for each oriented path  $P$  of length  $4g$ ,

$$|N^P(v)| > n/2. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, if  $|N^{P_k}(v)| \geq 1/p$  for at least one initial subpath  $P_k$  with  $k$  edges of  $P$ , ( $1 \leq k \leq 4g-1$ ), then this follows from (4). Otherwise, by (3) and (2),

$$|N^P(v)| \geq (n^\alpha/5)^{4g} = n^{4/3}/5^{4g} > n,$$

which is impossible.

Inequality (5) implies that for each  $v, x \in V$  and for each orientation of a  $8g$ -path,  $x$  can be reached from  $v$  by a path of this orientation. Now we are ready to prove Theorem 7.

A smallest counterexample to any of the statements of the theorem must have no 1-vertices. By above, it has no subpath on  $8g-1$  vertices of degree 2. Then the first statement follows from the fact that any planar graph without 1- and 2-vertices has girth at most five, and the second follows from the discharging procedure when each vertex  $v$  of degree at least three gives  $1/(24g-2)$  to each of its 2-quasi-neighbours.

## References

- [1] N. Alon and J. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, Wiley Interscience, 1994.
- [2] O. V. Borodin, A. V. Kostochka, J. Nešetřil, A. Raspaud and E. Sopena, *On the maximum average degree and the oriented chromatic number of a graph*. Preprint 96-336, KAM Series, Charles University, Prague, (1996).
- [3] R. Häggkvist and P. Hell, *On A-mote universal graphs*, European J. of Combinatorics **13** (1993), 23–27.
- [4] P. Hell and J. Nešetřil, *On the complexity of H-coloring*, J. Combin. Theory B **48** (1990), 92–110.
- [5] P. Hell, J. Nešetřil and X. Zhu, *Duality of graph homomorphisms*, Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty, Vol. 2, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, 271–282 (1996).

following theorem is a summary of results in [2, 9, 11, 12] related to planar graphs.

**Theorem 0** 1. *There is a  $\mathcal{P}_3$ -universal graph on 80 vertices [11];*

2. *there is a  $\mathcal{P}_5$ -universal graph on 19 vertices [2];*

3. *there is a  $\mathcal{P}_6$ -universal graph on 11 vertices [2];*

4. *there is a  $\mathcal{P}_8$ -universal graph on 7 vertices [2];*

5. *there is a  $\mathcal{P}_{14}$ -universal graph on 5 vertices [2];*

6. *for every  $k$ , there exists a graph  $G \in \mathcal{P}_k$  with  $o(G) \geq 5$  [9];*

7. *there exists a graph  $G \in \mathcal{P}_7$  with  $o(G) \geq 6$  [9];*

8. *there exists a planar oriented graph  $G$  with  $o(G) \geq 15$  [12].*

In fact, some results in [2] cited above have a stronger form in terms of maximum average degree. The maximum average degree  $mad(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is defined to be the maximum of the average degrees  $ad(H) = 2|E(H)|/|V(H)|$  taken over all the subgraphs  $H$  of  $G$ . Euler formula implies that for each surface, any graph with sufficiently large girth embedded in this surface has small maximum average degree. In particular, for every planar or projective planar graph  $G$  with girth at least  $g$ , we have (see, e.g. [2])

$$mad(G) < 2g/(g-2). \quad (1)$$

That is, if  $\mathcal{MAD}_\alpha$  is the class of all graphs with the maximum average degree strictly less than  $\alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{MAD}_{2g/(g-2)} \supset \mathcal{P}_g$  for each  $g \geq 3$ . This explains how statements 2,3 and 5 of Theorem 0 are implied by the following facts proved in [2].

2'. *there is a  $\mathcal{MAD}_{10/3}$ -universal graph on 19 vertices;*

3'. *there is a  $\mathcal{MAD}_3$ -universal graph on 11 vertices;*

5'. *there is a  $\mathcal{MAD}_{7/3}$ -universal graph on 5 vertices.*

In the present paper we are looking for  $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graphs which themselves are planar and/or of a given girth. Several existence results are obtained for  $\mathcal{MAD}_\alpha$ -universal graphs. In contrast with the statement 1 of Theorem 0, we have

**Theorem 1** *There are no planar  $\mathcal{P}_3$ - or  $\mathcal{P}_4$ -universal graphs.*

On the other hand, the following is true.

**Theorem 2** *There exists a planar graph on 6 vertices which is universal for the set of graphs in  $\mathcal{MAD}_{16/7}$  with girth at least 11.*

Note that, by (1), Theorem 2 yields the following

**Corollary 3** *There exists a planar  $\mathcal{P}_{16}$ -universal graph on 6 vertices.*

It can be also proved that an orientation of the planar graph  $K_5 - e$  is  $\mathcal{P}_{31}$ -universal.

Clearly, each directed cycle is  $\mathcal{MAD}_2$ -universal, i.e. universal for oriented forests. The situation is similar for graphs  $G$  with  $\text{mad}(G) = 2$ :

**Proposition 4** *For each  $k \geq 3$  and for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an outerplanar graph  $F \in \mathcal{MAD}_{2+\epsilon}$  of girth  $k$  which is universal for all graphs with  $\text{mad}$  at most 2 of girth  $k$ .*

But as soon as  $\text{mad}$  is greater than 2, the picture changes. Planar graphs with large girth have, by (1),  $\text{mad}$  close to 2, and still the following is true.

**Theorem 5** *For each  $k$ , any  $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graph has maximum average degree at least 3.*

This together with (1) yields the following.

**Corollary 6** *For each  $k$ , there exists no planar  $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graph of girth at least 6.*

Note that when  $\text{mad}$  approaches 4, another jump takes place: we have proved in [2] that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{MAD}_{4-\epsilon}$ -universal graph, while the oriented chromatic number of graphs in  $\mathcal{MAD}_4$  can be arbitrarily large.

Finally, we show that there are (non-planar)  $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graphs of large girth if  $k$  is sufficiently larger.

**Theorem 7** *For every  $g \geq 2$ , there exists a graph  $H$  of girth  $g + 1$  which is  $\mathcal{P}_{40g}$ -universal and  $\mathcal{MAD}_{2+1/(12g-2)}$ -universal.*

## 2 Nonexistence of some planar universal graphs

In this Section, we prove Theorem 1 by contradiction. If the result is not true, then there exists a minimal by inclusion  $\mathcal{P}_4$ -universal planar graph  $H$ . Below we derive a sequence of properties which are possessed by  $H$ . The first two of them are immediately implied by the minimality of  $H$ .

(i) *There is no homomorphism of  $H$  to any of its proper subgraphs.*

By (2),  $n^\alpha/16 > 10 \ln n$ , and so,

$$\mathbf{P}[S_2] < \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} n^{-3a} < 1/10. \quad \square$$

Let  $S_3$  be the event that for some  $A \subset V$  with  $|A| = \lceil 1/p \rceil$ , the inequality  $\min\{|N^+(A)|, |N^-(A)|\} < \frac{4(\epsilon-1)}{5\epsilon}n$  holds.

**Lemma 6**  $\mathbf{P}[S_3] \leq 1/n$ .

PROOF. Let  $A \subset V$  with  $|A| = a = \lceil 1/p \rceil$  and  $v \in V$ . Since  $pa \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}[v \in N^+(A)] = 1 - (1-p)^a > 1 - e^{-pa} \geq 1 - e^{-1}.$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{E}[|N^+(A)|] > n(e-1)/e$ . By Lemma 3, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[|N^+(A)| < 0.8n(e-1)/e] < \exp\{-0.02n(e-1)/e\}.$$

Similarly,  $\mathbf{P}[|N^-(A)| < 0.8n(e-1)/e] < \exp\{-0.02n(e-1)/e\}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}[S_3] &< 2 \binom{n}{\lceil 1/p \rceil} \exp\{-0.02n(e-1)/e\} \leq \\ &\leq n^{1/p} \exp\{-0.01n\} < \exp\{n^{1-\alpha} \ln n - 0.01n\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2),  $n^{1-\alpha} \ln n < n/200$ , and so,

$$\mathbf{P}[S_3] < \exp\{-\ln n\} = 1/n. \quad \square$$

By Lemmas 4–6, with probability at least  $1/3$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  possesses the following properties:

- (i) No two cycles of length at most  $g$  have a common vertex (in particular, no vertex with a loop belongs to a cycle of length at most  $g$ );
- (ii) For each  $A \subset V$  with  $|A| < 1/p$ , the inequality  $\min\{|N^+(A)|, |N^-(A)|\} \geq pn|A|/4$  holds;
- (iii) For each  $A \subset V$  with  $|A| = \lceil 1/p \rceil$ , the inequality  $\min\{|N^+(A)|, |N^-(A)|\} \geq \frac{4(\epsilon-1)}{5\epsilon}n$  holds.

It follows that there exists a digraph  $G = (V, E)$  possessing all properties (i)–(iii). Denote by  $H = (V, E')$  the oriented graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting one arc from each cycle of length at most  $g$  in  $G$  (in particular, every loop and an arc in every 2-cycle must be deleted). By (i), it can be done and the resulting  $H$  has girth at least  $g + 1$ . By (ii), for each  $A \subset V$  with  $|A| < 1/p$ , we have

$$\min\{|N_H^+(A)|, |N_H^-(A)|\} \geq pn|A|/4 - |A| > pn|A|/5 = n^\alpha|A|/5. \quad (3)$$

So defined  $\mathcal{G}$  with high probability has short cycles and even loops, but not many. Let  $S_1$  be the event that there exists  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq 2g-1$ , and  $M \subset V$  with  $|M| = k$  such that  $|E(\mathcal{G}(M))| \geq k+1$ . Note that  $S_1$  includes the event that a vertex with a loop belongs to some cycle of length at most  $2g-1$ .

**Lemma 4**  $\mathbf{P}[S_1] < 1/3$ .

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}[S_1] &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{2g-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k^2}{k+1} p^{k+1} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{2g-1} \frac{1}{2\pi k} \left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k \left(\frac{k^2 e}{k+1}\right)^{k+1} n^{(\alpha-1)(k+1)} \leq \\ &\sum_{k=2}^{2g-1} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{2k+1} n^{\alpha(k+1)-1} \leq e^{2(2g-1)} n^{2g\alpha-1} = e^{2(2g-1)} n^{-1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2), the last expression is less than  $(\epsilon^4/200)^g < 1/3$ .  $\square$

For each  $A \subset V$ , let  $N^+(A) = \{v \in V \mid \exists x \in A : xv \in E(\mathcal{G})\}$  and  $N^-(A) = \{v \in V \mid \exists x \in A : vx \in E(\mathcal{G})\}$ . Let  $S_2$  be the event that for some  $A \subset V$  with  $|A| < 1/p$ , the inequality  $\min\{|N^+(A)|, |N^-(A)|\} < pn|A|/4$  holds.

**Lemma 5**  $\mathbf{P}[S_2] \leq 1/10$ .

PROOF. Let  $A \subset V$  with  $|A| = a < 1/p$  and  $v \in V$ . Clearly,  $\mathbf{P}[v \in N^+(A)] = 1 - (1-p)^a$ . Since  $a < 1/p$ , we have

$$1 - (1-p)^a \geq 1 - 1 + pa - p^2 \binom{a}{2} = pa(1 - p(a-1)/2) > pa/2.$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{E}[|N^+(A)|] > npa/2$ . By Lemma 3, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[|N^+(A)| < npa/4] < \exp\{-pan/16\}.$$

Similarly,  $\mathbf{P}[|N^-(A)| < npa/4] < \exp\{-pan/16\}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}[S_2] &< \sum_{a=1}^{\lceil 1/p \rceil} 2 \binom{n}{a} \exp\{-pan/16\} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{a=1}^{\lceil 1/p \rceil} \left(\frac{ne}{a}\right)^a \exp\{-an^\alpha/16\} < \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \left(ne^{1-n^\alpha/16}\right)^a. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For every arc  $e$  in  $H$ , there exists a graph  $G_e \in \mathcal{P}_4$  such that every homomorphism  $f : G_e \rightarrow H$  maps some arc of  $G_e$  to the arc  $e$ .

(iii) For every arc  $e$  in  $H$ , for every planar graph  $G$  with girth at least 4 and every arc  $e'$  in  $G$ , there exists a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  which maps  $e'$  to  $e$ .

PROOF. We construct an auxiliary graph  $G'$  as follows : take a copy of the graph  $G_e$  from (ii). To every arc  $e''$  in  $G_e$  we “glue” a copy of  $G$  by identifying the arcs  $e'$  and  $e''$ . The graph  $G'$  thus obtained is clearly planar and has girth at least 4. Thus, there exists a homomorphism  $f : G' \rightarrow H$ . Since every homomorphism of  $G_e$  to  $H$  uses  $e$ , there is an arc  $e''$  in  $G_e$  which is mapped to  $e$ . Let  $G''$  be the corresponding copy of  $G$  which is glued to  $e''$ . The restricted homomorphism  $f|_{G''}$  is obviously a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  which maps  $e'$  to  $e$ .  $\square$

(iv) No vertex in  $H$  has in-degree or out-degree less than 3.

PROOF. Let  $x \in V(H)$ . Consider the graph  $G_0$  obtained from the directed 6-cycle (123456) by adding a vertex 7 and three arcs 17, 37 and 57. Clearly,  $G_0$  is planar and has girth 4. By (iii), there exists a homomorphism of  $G_0$  to  $H$  which maps vertex 7 to  $x$ . Since the vertices 1,3 and 5 must get distinct colors, the in-degree of  $x$  is at least 3. Similarly, the out-degree of  $x$  also is at least 3.  $\square$

Since, by (iv), every vertex in  $H$  has degree at least 6,  $H$  cannot be planar. This contradiction proves Theorem 1.

### 3 Existence of a planar $\mathcal{MAD}_{16/7}$ -universal graph

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2 which immediately yields Corollary 3.

Let  $T$  denote the circulant graph  $T(6;1,2)$ , i.e. the graph with the vertex-set  $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$  and such that  $ab$  is an arc in  $T$  if and only if  $b-a \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  or  $b-a \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ . Note that  $T$  is planar.

Call a subset  $I$  of  $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$  the  $(i,j)$ -interval if  $I = \{j, j+1, \dots, j+i-1\}$  (the sums are taken modulo 6). Any  $(i,j)$ -interval will be sometimes called an  $i$ -interval or simply an interval. For an oriented path  $P$  and  $v \in V(T)$ , let  $N_T^P(v)$  denote the set of vertices  $w \in V(T)$  such that  $T$  contains a path isomorphic to  $P$  connecting  $v$  with  $w$ . By induction on the number of arcs in  $P$ , it is easy to observe the following fact.

**Lemma 1** For any  $v \in V(T)$  and any oriented path  $P$  with  $k$  arcs ( $1 \leq k \leq 5$ ), the set  $N_T^P(v)$  is a  $(k+1)$ -interval. Moreover, if  $N_T^P(v)$  is a  $(k+1, j)$ -interval, then  $N_T^P(v+i)$  is a  $(k+1, j+i)$ -interval.

Let  $G$  be a minimum (with respect to the number of vertices) oriented graph with maximum average degree less than  $16/7$  which has no homomorphism to  $T$ . Clearly,  $G$  has no vertices of degree 1. Vertices of degree  $k$  will be often referred to as  $k$ -vertices; vertices of degree at least three will be also called *senior vertices*. We say that a vertex  $w \in V(G)$  is a *quasi-neighbour* of  $v \in V(G)$  if it is a neighbour of  $v$  or there is a path connecting  $w$  and  $v$  whose all internal vertices have degree 2. A 3-vertex having exactly  $i$  quasi-neighbours of degree 2 will be sometimes called a  $(3, i)$ -vertex. Similarly, an  $i$ -*quasi-neighbour* (respectively, a  $(3, i)$ -*quasi-neighbour*) of  $v \in V(G)$  is a quasi-neighbour of  $v$  which is an  $i$ -vertex (respectively, a  $(3, i)$ -vertex). Graph  $G$  possesses the following properties.

**(G1)**  $G$  contains no path of length 5 whose internal vertices have degree 2.

PROOF. Assume that  $G$  contains such a path  $(v_0, \dots, v_5)$ . By the minimality of  $G$ , there exists a homomorphism  $f : G \setminus \{(v_1, \dots, v_4)\} \rightarrow T$ . By Lemma 1,  $T$  contains a 5-path from  $f(v_0)$  to  $f(v_5)$  whose orientation is the same as in  $G[\{v_0, \dots, v_5\}]$ . Thus, we can extend  $f$  to a homomorphism of  $G$  to  $T$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** As in the proof of (G1), the main problem with embedding a subgraph of  $G$  which is a path with internal 2-vertices into  $T$  is to map the internal vertices so that there is a path of given orientation in  $T$  connecting the images of the ends of this path. In particular, if  $(v_0, \dots, v_i)$  is a path in  $G$  whose internal vertices have degree 2 and we know the image of  $v_0$ , then for the image of  $v_i$ , by Lemma 1, the path  $(v_0, \dots, v_i)$  forbids exactly  $5 - i$  colours. Sometimes, we shall say in this situation that  $v_0$  *forbids*  $5 - i$  colours (or, equivalently, *allows*  $i + 1$  colours) for  $v_i$ .

**(G2)**  $G$  contains no  $(3, i)$ -vertices for any  $i \geq 7$ .

PROOF. Assume that  $G$  contains a  $(3, i)$ -vertex  $v$ , and the senior quasi-neighbours of  $v$  are  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$ . Let the shortest path from  $v$  to  $u_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) contain  $i_j$  2-vertices. By the minimality of  $G$ , there exists a homomorphism  $f$  to  $T$  of the graph  $G'$  obtained from  $G$  by deleting  $v$  and all its 2-quasi-neighbours. We claim that  $f$  can be extended to a homomorphism of  $G$  to  $T$ . By the remark above, each  $u_j$  forbids for  $v$  exactly  $4 - i_j$  colours. Thus, altogether they forbid for  $v$  at most  $12 - i_1 - i_2 - i_3 = 12 - i$  colours, and if  $i > 6$ , we have an admissible colour for  $v$ .  $\square$

**(G3)**  $G$  contains no  $(3, 6)$ -vertex which is adjacent to a  $(3, 6)$ -,  $(3, 5)$ - or  $(3, 4)$ -vertex.

PROOF. Assume that  $G$  contains a  $(3, 6)$ -vertex  $v$  which is adjacent to a  $(3, j)$ -vertex  $u$  ( $j \geq 4$ ). Then, by (G1),  $v$  is connected with other senior quasi-neighbours by 4-paths. Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be these distinct from  $u$  senior quasi-neighbours of  $v$ , and  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be the distinct from  $v$  senior quasi-neighbours of  $u$ . Let  $G'$  be obtained from  $G$  by deleting  $u, v$ , and their 2-quasi-neighbours. By the minimality of  $G$ , there exists a homomorphism  $f$  of  $G'$  to  $T$ . By the remark,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  forbid for  $v$  at most two colours, and  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  forbid for  $u$  at most four colours. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be

and so on. Finally,  $x_{2k+1}$  must be mapped to  $y_j$  for some  $j$  which yields existence of the arc  $y_1 y_j$ . Similarly, we obtain that the out-degree of every  $y_i$  in  $H[Y]$  is at least 1; in particular,  $|Y| \geq 3$ . But then the average degree of  $H[Y \cup \{v\}]$  is at least 3, which contradicts the assumption  $mad(H) < 3$ .  $\square$

By (viii), we have  $|EA| \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} n_m(m-2)$ . Comparing this with (vii), we get

$$2n_2 + n_3 \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} n_m(m-2),$$

which is equivalent to

$$n_2 \leq \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} n_m(m-2)/2.$$

Since  $(m-2)/2 \leq m-3$  for  $m \geq 4$ , this implies that

$$n_2 \leq \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} n_m(m-3),$$

that is,

$$\sum_{v \in V(H)} d_H(v) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} n_m m \geq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} n_m 3 = 3|V(H)|.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $mad(H) < 3$ .

## 5 On the girth of $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graphs

In this Section, we prove Theorem 7. To do it, we need the following lemma (see [1], pp.238–239):

**Lemma 3** *Let  $Y$  be the sum of  $n$  mutually independent indicator variables,  $\mu = \mathbf{E}(Y)$ . For all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbf{P}[Y < (1 - \epsilon)\mu] < e^{-\epsilon^2 \mu/2}.$$

Let  $g$  be fixed and  $\alpha = 1/3g$ . We choose any  $n$  such that

$$n^\alpha > 200 \ln n \tag{2}$$

and construct a random directed graph  $\mathcal{G}$  (with loops) as follows. Let  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ ,  $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ . For each  $w_i$  and  $u_j$ , the arc  $w_i u_j$  exists with probability  $p = n^{\alpha-1}$  independently of any other arcs. The graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is obtained from this bipartite oriented graph by identifying  $w_i$  with  $u_i$  into the vertex  $v_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Denote  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ .

Observe that every component of  $F$  is outerplanar of girth at least  $k$  and has maximum average degree less than  $2 + 2/(2m) \leq 2 + \epsilon$ . It follows that  $F$  also possesses these properties. On the other hand, each graph in  $\mathcal{M}(k)_1$  has a homomorphism to some component of  $F_1$ , and each graph in  $\mathcal{M}(k)_2$  has a homomorphism to some component of  $F_2$ . This proves the proposition.

To prove Theorem 5, consider an arbitrary minimal by inclusion  $\mathcal{P}_k$ -universal graph  $H$ . If  $\text{mad}(H) \geq 3$ , we are done. Suppose that  $\text{mad}(H) < 3$ . Repeating the argument of Section 2, we obtain that the properties (i)–(iii) in Section 2 hold also for our  $H$  (with replacing 4 by  $k$  in (iii)). Instead of (iv) we can prove only the following weaker statement.

(iv') *No vertex in  $H$  has in-degree or out-degree equal to 0.*

PROOF. Let  $x \in V(H)$ . Consider the directed cycle  $C_k$  as the graph  $G_0$ . Since, by (iii), we can map any of its vertices to  $x$ ,  $x$  has positive in- and out-degrees.  $\square$

Call an arc  $e$  incident with a vertex  $v$  *exceptional for  $v$* , if  $e$  is the only arc which leaves  $v$  or the only arc which enters  $v$ . Denote by  $EA$  the set of all exceptional arcs in  $H$ , and by  $n_m$  the number of vertices of degree  $m$  in  $H$ . The following observation is obvious in view of (iv').

(v) *If  $d(v) = 2$ , then both arcs incident with  $v$  are exceptional for  $v$ . If  $d(v) = 3$ , then exactly one arc incident with  $v$  is exceptional for  $v$ .*

(vi) *An arc  $uv$  cannot be exceptional for both  $u$  and  $v$ .*

PROOF. Assume it is. Let  $G$  be the cycle  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1})$  whose arcs are  $x_{2i-1}x_{2i}$  and  $x_{2i+1}x_{2i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) and  $x_1x_{2k+1}$ . By (iii), there exists a homomorphism of  $G$  into  $H$  mapping  $x_1x_2$  to  $uv$ . Since  $uv$  is exceptional for  $v$ ,  $x_3x_2$  also must be mapped to  $uv$ . Similarly,  $x_3x_4$  must be mapped to  $uv$  and so on. Finally,  $x_{2k+1}$  must be mapped to  $u$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

From (v) and (vi) we conclude that

(vii)  $|EA| \geq 2n_2 + n_3$ .

(viii) *A vertex  $v$  of degree  $m$  cannot be adjacent to  $m - 1$  arcs which are exceptional for other vertices.*

PROOF. Assume it is. Because of symmetry, we may assume that all arcs entering  $v$  are exceptional and these arcs are  $y_1v, \dots, y_tv$ . Denote  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$ .

Let  $G$  be as in the proof of (vi). By (iii), there exists a homomorphism of  $G$  to  $H$  mapping  $x_1x_2$  to  $y_1v$ . By the definition of  $Y$ , the arc  $x_3x_2$  must be mapped to an arc of the kind  $y_iv$ . Since  $y_iv$  is exceptional for  $y_i$ ,  $x_3x_4$  also must be mapped to  $y_iv$ ,

two colours allowed for  $u$ . By the second part of Lemma 1, the quadruple of colours forbidden for  $v$  by  $u$  if we colour  $u$  with  $\beta$  differs from that if we colour  $u$  with  $\alpha$ . Thus, in at least one case, there is a colour in  $T$  allowed for  $v$ .  $\square$

(G4) *If some  $(3, 4)$ -vertex in  $G$  has a  $(3, 6)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance two, then  $G$  does not contain another  $(3, i)$ -quasi-neighbour for  $i \geq 5$  on distance two.*

PROOF. Assume that  $G$  contains a  $(3, 4)$ -vertex  $v$  which has a  $(3, 6)$ -quasi-neighbour  $u$  and a  $(3, 5)$ -quasi-neighbour  $w$ , both on distance two from  $v$ . Then the third senior quasi-neighbour  $x$  is on distance 3 from  $v$ . Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  (respectively,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ ) be the distinct from  $v$  senior quasi-neighbours of  $u$  (respectively, of  $w$ ). Since the girth of  $G$  is at least 11, none of  $u_1, u_2, w_1$  and  $w_2$  coincides with  $u, w$  or  $x$ .

Let  $G'$  be obtained from  $G$  by deleting  $v, u, w$ , and their 2-quasi-neighbours. By the minimality of  $G$ , there exists a homomorphism  $f$  of  $G'$  to  $T$ . By the remark,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  forbid for  $w$  at most four colours,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  forbid for  $u$  at most three colours and  $x$  forbids for  $v$  exactly two colours. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two colours allowed for  $w$ . The size of the union of the set of colours allowed for  $v$  by  $w$  if we colour  $w$  with  $\beta$  and the set of colours allowed for  $v$  by  $w$  if we colour  $w$  with  $\alpha$  is at least four. Thus, we can choose a colour for  $w$  so that  $w$  and  $x$  together forbid for  $v$  at most four colours.

Recall that we have a choice of three colours for  $u$ , each of which allows for  $v$  a 3-interval of colours. But the union of three distinct 3-intervals has the size at least five. Consequently, we can extend  $f$  on whole  $G$ .

If  $w$  is a  $(3, 6)$ -vertex, then the proof is only easier.  $\square$

The proofs of the following four facts are very similar to that of (G3) and (G4), and we omit them.

(G5) *No  $(3, 6)$ -vertex in  $G$  has a  $(3, 6)$ - or  $(3, 5)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance two.*

(G6) *No  $(3, 6)$ -vertex in  $G$  has a  $(3, 6)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance at most three.*

(G7) *If a  $(3, 4)$ -vertex  $v$  in  $G$  is adjacent to a  $(3, 5)$ -vertex, then it has no other  $(3, 5)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance at most two, and no  $(3, 6)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance at most three.*

(G8) *If a  $(3, 5)$ -vertex  $v$  in  $G$  has a  $(3, 6)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance three, then  $v$  has neither another  $(3, 6)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance three, nor a  $(3, 5)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance at most two.*

Now, let each vertex of  $G$  have the *charge* equal to its degree. We define a *discharging procedure* as follows:

- (a) each senior vertex gives the amount  $1/7$  to each 2-quasi-neighbour;
- (b) each senior vertex which is not a  $(3,6)$ -vertex gives the amount  $(4-k)/21$  to each  $(3,6)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance  $k \leq 3$  ;
- (c) each senior vertex which is neither a  $(3,6)$ -vertex nor a  $(3,5)$ -vertex gives the amount  $(3-k)/21$  to each  $(3,5)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance  $k \leq 2$  .

For each  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $d^*(v)$  denote the charge of vertex  $v$  after this procedure. Since the sum of charges did not change, it is enough to verify that  $d^*(v) \geq 16/7$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ , to prove the theorem.

CASE 1.  $d_G(v) = k \geq 4$ . Note that along any path with internal 2-vertices starting at  $v$ ,  $v$  sends at most  $3/7$ . Indeed, if it sends something to the senior quasi-neighbour at the end of this path, then this path has less than three 2-vertices. Thus,  $d^*(v) \geq k - 3k/7 = 4k/7 \geq 16/7$ .

CASE 2.  $d_G(v) = 2$ . Then  $v$  receives  $1/7$  from each of its senior quasi-neighbours. Thus,  $d^*(v) \geq 2 + 2/7 = 16/7$ .

CASE 3.  $v$  is a  $(3,i)$ -vertex and  $i \leq 3$ . By the rules, along a path of length  $j+1$  with internal 2-vertices starting at  $v$ ,  $v$  sends at most  $j/7 + (3-j)/21 = 1/7 + 2j/21$ . Hence  $d^*(v) \geq 3 - 3/7 - 2i/21 \geq 3 - 3/7 - 2/7 = 16/7$ .

CASE 4.  $v$  is a  $(3,4)$ -vertex. If  $v$  has no  $(3,6)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance at most three, then, in view of (G7), it sends to its  $(3,5)$ -quasi-neighbours at most  $3/21$ , and  $d^*(v) \geq 3 - 4/7 - 3/21 = 16/7$ . So, let  $u_1$  be a  $(3,6)$ -quasi-neighbour of  $v$  on minimum distance. By (G3), the distance between  $v$  and  $u_1$  is at least two. If this distance is exactly two, then, by (G4) and (G7), at most one  $(3,5)$ - or  $(3,6)$ -quasi-neighbour of  $v$  distinct from  $u_1$  is on distance at most three, and  $v$  gives to that vertex at most  $1/21$ . Thus, in this case  $d^*(v) \geq 3 - 4/7 - 2/21 - 1/21 = 16/7$ . Finally, let the distance between  $v$  and  $u_1$  be three. By (G7),  $v$  is not adjacent to a  $(3,5)$ -vertex, and hence gives to each of its senior quasi-neighbours at most  $1/21$ . Again,  $d^*(v) \geq 3 - 4/7 - 3/21 = 16/7$ .

CASE 5.  $v$  is a  $(3,5)$ -vertex. If  $v$  has no  $(3,6)$ -quasi-neighbour on distance at most three, then it gives nothing to senior vertices, and  $d^*(v) \geq 3 - 5/7 = 16/7$ . So, let  $u_1$  be a  $(3,6)$ -quasi-neighbour of  $v$ . By (G3) and (G5), the distance between  $v$  and  $u_1$  is exactly three. Moreover, by (G8), none of the remaining senior quasi-neighbours  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  is a  $(3,6)$ -vertex on distance at most three from  $v$ . Since the sum of the distances from  $v$  to  $u_2$  and to  $u_3$  is equal to five, one of them, say  $u_2$ , is on distance at most two from  $v$ , and the other is on distance at least three from  $v$ . By (G8),  $u_2$  is not a  $(3,5)$ -vertex, and  $u_3$  is not a  $(3,6)$ -vertex on distance at most three from  $v$ . Thus,  $v$  gives nothing to  $u_3$  and receives exactly  $1/21$  from  $u_2$ . In total,  $d^*(v) \geq 3 - 5/7 - 1/21 + 1/21 = 16/7$ .

CASE 6.  $v$  is a  $(3,6)$ -vertex. If  $v$  has no senior quasi-neighbour on distance at least four, then, by (G6) and (b), it receives from each senior quasi-neighbour at least

$1/21$ , and  $d^*(v) \geq 3 - 6/7 + 3/21 = 16/7$ . So, let the distance between  $v$  and one of its senior quasi-neighbour  $u_1$  be at least four. If at least one of the remaining senior quasi-neighbours  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $v$ , then  $v$  receives  $1/7$  from this vertex and has  $d^*(v)$  at least  $16/7$ . If this is not the case, then one of  $u_2$  and  $u_3$  is on distance at most two and the other at most three from  $v$ . Again,  $v$  receives at least  $2/21 + 1/21 = 1/7$  from  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ .

Therefore, each vertex  $v$  in  $G$  has  $d^*(v) \geq 16/7$  which contradicts the fact that  $mad(G) < 16/7$ . This proves Theorem 2.

In fact, the proof of Theorem 2 can be rewritten as an algorithm which for each graph  $G \in \mathcal{MAD}_{16/7}$  with girth at least 11, constructs a homomorphism of  $G$  into  $T(6; 1, 2)$  in polynomial time (actually, in time  $O(|V(G)|^2)$ ).

## 4 On the girth of planar universal graphs

In this Section, we prove Proposition 4 and Theorem 5.

Let  $\mathcal{M}(k)$  denote the set of oriented graphs with girth at least  $k$  and maximum average degree at most two which have no homomorphism to other graphs with girth at least  $k$  and maximum average degree at most two. Since any  $\mathcal{M}(k)$ -universal graph admits a homomorphism from each oriented graph with maximum average degree at most two, we first describe  $\mathcal{M}(k)$ .

Let  $G \in \mathcal{M}(k)$ . If two arcs  $wv$  and  $uv$  enter the same vertex in  $G$  then the graph  $G'$  obtained from  $G$  by identifying  $w$  with  $u$  must be not in  $\mathcal{M}(k)$ . The only reason for it can be that the path  $(wvu)$  is a part of a cycle of length  $k$  or  $k+1$  in  $G$ . Similar observation holds if two arcs leave the same vertex in  $G$ . These observations imply the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $\mathcal{M}(k)_1$  denote the set of all directed cycles of length at least  $k$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(k)_2$  denote the set of unicyclic oriented graphs whose cycle has length  $k$  or  $k+1$  and such that each source in this cycle is entered by exactly one directed path, and from each sink in the cycle starts exactly one directed path. Then  $\mathcal{M}(k) = \mathcal{M}(k)_1 \cup \mathcal{M}(k)_2$ .*

Let  $m = \max\{k, \lceil 1/\epsilon \rceil\}$ . We construct the universal graph  $F$  in question as the disjoint union of graphs  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ . Each component of  $F_1$  consists of two directed cycles with exactly one common vertex. One of these cycles has length  $2m$  and another has one of the lengths  $k, k+1, \dots, k+2m$ . Each component of  $F_2$  consists of some oriented cycle  $C$  of length  $k$  or  $k+1$  with exactly one directed cycle of length  $2m$  attached to every source or sink in  $C$ .