

# A Rendezvous of Logic, Complexity, and Algebra

Hubie Chen  
presented by Martin Kupec

**Definition 1.** A constraint over a constraint language  $\Gamma$  is an expression of the form  $R(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  where  $R$  is a relation of arity  $k$  contained in  $\Gamma$ , and the  $v_i$  are variables. A constraint is satisfied by a mapping  $f$  defined on the  $v_i$  if  $(f(v_1), \dots, f(v_k)) \in R$ .

**Example 2.** We demonstrate that 3-SAT can be viewed as a problem of the form  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$  for a boolean constraint language  $\Gamma$ . Define the relations  $R_{0,3}$ ,  $R_{1,3}$ ,  $R_{2,3}$ , and  $R_{3,3}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{0,3} &= \{0, 1\}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\} \equiv (x \vee y \vee z) \\ R_{1,3} &= \{0, 1\}^3 \setminus \{(1, 0, 0)\} \equiv (\neg x \vee y \vee z) \\ R_{2,3} &= \{0, 1\}^3 \setminus \{(1, 1, 0)\} \equiv (\neg x \vee \neg y \vee z) \\ R_{3,3} &= \{0, 1\}^3 \setminus \{(1, 1, 1)\} \equiv (\neg x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.** We say that a relation  $R \subseteq D^k$  is pp-definable (short for primitive positive definable) from a constraint language  $\Gamma$  if for some  $m \geq 0$  there exists a finite conjunction  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of constraints and equalities ( $u = v$ ) over variables  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  such that

$$R(v_1, \dots, v_k) \equiv \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_m \mathcal{C}.$$

That is,  $R$  contains exactly those tuples of the form  $(g(v_1), \dots, g(v_k))$  where  $g$  is an assignment that can be extended to a satisfying assignment of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We use  $\langle \Gamma \rangle$  to denote the set of all relations that are pp-definable from  $\Gamma$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $S = \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$  be the disequality relation. The following is a pp-definition of  $S$  from the constraint language  $\Gamma_3$  (3-SAT).

$$S(y, z) = \exists x (R_{0,3}(x, y, z) \wedge R_{1,3}(x, y, z) \wedge R_{2,3}(z, y, x) \wedge R_{3,3}(z, y, x)).$$

**Proposition 5.** Let  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  be finite constraint languages. If  $\Gamma' \subseteq \langle \Gamma \rangle$ , then  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma')$  reduces to  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ .

**Definition 6.** An operation  $f : D^m \rightarrow D$  is a polymorphism of a relation  $R \subseteq D^k$  if for any choice of  $m$  tuples  $(t_{11}, \dots, t_{1k}), \dots, (t_{m1}, \dots, t_{mk})$  from  $R$ , it holds that the tuple obtained from these  $m$  tuples by applying  $f$  coordinate-wise,  $(f(t_{11}, \dots, t_{m1}), \dots, f(t_{1k}, \dots, t_{mk}))$ , is in  $R$ .

**Definition 7.** The set of polymorphisms of  $\Gamma$  is defined as follows.

$$\text{Pol}(\Gamma) = \{f : \forall R \in \Gamma, f \text{ is a polymorphism of } R\}.$$

**Definition 8.** The set of relations having all operations in  $O$  as a polymorphism is denoted by  $\text{Inv}(O)$ .

$$\text{Inv}(O) = \{R : \forall f \in O, f \text{ is a polymorphism of } R\}.$$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite constraint language over a finite domain  $D$ . It holds that  $\langle \Gamma \rangle = \text{Inv}(\text{Pol}(\Gamma))$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  be finite constraint languages. If  $\text{Pol}(\Gamma) \subseteq \text{Pol}(\Gamma')$ , then  $\Gamma' \subseteq \langle \Gamma \rangle$  and  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma')$  reduces to  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ .

**Definition 11.** A clone is a set of operations that

- contains all projections, that is, the operations  $\pi_i^m : D^m \rightarrow D$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$  such that  $\pi_i^m(d_1, \dots, d_m) = d_i$  for all  $d_1, \dots, d_m \in D$ , and
- is closed under composition, where the composition of an arity  $n$  operation  $f : D^n \rightarrow D$  and  $n$  arity  $m$  operations  $f_1, \dots, f_n : D^m \rightarrow D$  is defined to be the arity  $m$  operation  $g : D^m \rightarrow D$  such that  $g(d_1, \dots, d_m) = f(f_1(d_1, \dots, d_m), \dots, f_n(d_1, \dots, d_m))$  for all  $d_1, \dots, d_m \in D$ .

**Proposition 12.** For all constraint languages  $\Gamma$ , the set of operations  $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$  is a clone.

**Theorem 13.** (Schaefer's theorem – algebraic formulation) Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite boolean constraint language. The problem  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$  is polynomial-time tractable if  $\Gamma$  has one of the following six operations as a polymorphism:

- the constant operation 0,
- the constant operation 1,
- the boolean AND operation  $\wedge$ ,
- the boolean OR operation  $\vee$ ,
- the operation majority,
- the operation minority.

Otherwise, the problem  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$  is NP-complete.

Algorithms used for tractability proof.

ARC CONSISTENCY ALGORITHM

Input: an instance of the CSP.

- 1 For each variable  $v$ , define  $D_v$  to be  $\bigcap_C \pi_v(C)$  where the intersection is over all constraints  $C$ .
- 2 For each constraint  $R(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ , replace  $R$  with  $R \cap (D_{v_1} \times \dots \times D_{v_k})$ .  
If  $R$  becomes empty, then terminate and report “unsatisfiable”.
- 3 If any relations were changed in step 2, goto step 1. Otherwise, halt.

ALGORITHM FOR MAJORITY POLYMORPHISM

Input: an instance  $\phi$  of the CSP with variable set  $V$ .

- 1 For each non-empty subset  $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_l\}$  of  $V$  of size  $l \leq 3$ , add the constraint  $D^l(w_1, \dots, w_l)$  to  $\phi$ .
- 2 For each constraint  $R(w_1, \dots, w_l)$  of  $\phi$  with  $l \leq 3$ , compute the set  $R' = \{(f(w_1), \dots, f(w_l)) \mid f : \{w_1, \dots, w_l\} \rightarrow D \text{ is a partial solution of the instance } \phi\}$ .  
Then, replace  $R$  with  $R'$ .  
If  $R$  becomes empty, terminate and report “unsatisfiable”.
- 3 If any relations were changed in step 2, goto step 2 and repeat it. Otherwise, halt.

**Theorem 14.** A clone over  $\{0, 1\}$  either contains only essentially unary operations, or contains one of the following four operations:

- the boolean AND operation  $\wedge$ ,
- the boolean OR operation  $\vee$ ,
- the operation majority,
- the operation minority.

**Lemma 15.** If  $\Gamma$  is a finite boolean constraint language such that  $\text{Pol}(\Gamma)$  contains only essentially unary operations that act as permutations, then for any finite boolean constraint language  $\Gamma'$ , it holds that  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma')$  reduces to  $\text{CSP}(\Gamma)$ .

**Proposition 16.** Let  $\Phi$  be an instance of QUANTIFIED HORN-SAT having prefix class  $\Pi_2$ . The formula  $\Phi$  is true if and only if for every assignment  $f \in [\leq 1, \text{false}]_\Phi$ , there exists an extension  $f' : Y_\Phi \cup X_\Phi \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$  of  $f$  satisfying all clauses of  $\Phi$ .