

# Triangle Detection Versus Matrix Multiplication: A Study of Truly Subcubic Reducibility

Virginia Vassilevska Williams, Ryan Williams

**Definitions:**  $(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, \odot)$  is a  $(\oplus, \odot)$ -semiring, if  $(\mathcal{S}, \oplus)$  is commutative monoid with identity element 0,  $(\mathcal{S}, \odot)$  is a monoid with identity element 1, the  $\odot$  distributes over  $\oplus$  and 0 is an annihilator with respect to  $\odot$ . A *Boolean semiring* is the  $(\mathcal{B}, \vee, \wedge)$  semiring, where  $\mathcal{B} = \{\text{False}, \text{True}\}$ . Apart from semirings, we also consider  $(\min, \odot)$  structure over a set  $\mathcal{R}$ , with  $\odot : \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ . Such a structure is called *extended* if there exists  $r_\infty \in \mathcal{R}$ , such that  $r_\infty \odot a = a \odot r_\infty = \infty$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition:** We generalize matrix multiplication over a  $(\min, \odot)$  structure in a natural way – we use classical definition and replace plus and times operators with min and  $\odot$ , respectively.

**Remark:** There is an algorithm by Coppersmith and Winograd which multiplies two  $n \times n$  matrices over a ring in  $\mathcal{O}(n^{2.376})$  time. That is currently the best exponent (denoted usually as  $\omega$ ), although the optimal algorithm is conjectured to be  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(n^2)$ .

**Definition:** We say an algorithm on  $n \times n$  matrices is *truly subcubic* if its time complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(n^{3-\delta} \log M)$  for  $\delta > 0$ , where  $M$  is the absolute value of the largest entry.

NEGATIVE TRIANGLE DETECTION PROBLEM over  $\mathcal{R}$  is defined on a weighted tripartite graph with parts  $I, J, K$ . Edge weights between  $I$  and  $J$  are from  $\mathbb{Z}$  and all other weights are from  $\mathcal{R}$ . The problem is to detect whether there is a triangle  $i \in I, j \in J, k \in K$  so that  $w(i, k) \odot w(k, j) + w(i, j) < 0$ . If we negate all weights between  $I$  and  $J$ , the condition becomes  $w(i, k) \odot w(k, j) < w(i, j)$ .

NEGATIVE TRIANGLE FINDING PROBLEM over  $\mathcal{R}$  extends negative triangle detection problem by listing one or more negative triangles.

**Lemma 3.1:** Let  $T(n) = \Omega(n)$  be a non-decreasing function. If there is a  $T(n)$  time algorithm for negative triangle detection over  $\mathcal{R}$  on a graph  $G = (I \cup J \cup K, E)$ , then there is an  $\mathcal{O}(T(n))$  algorithm which returns a negative triangle in  $G$  if one exists.

**Theorem E.1:** Suppose there is a truly subcubic algorithm for negative triangle detection over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then there is a truly subcubic algorithm which lists  $\Delta$  negative triangles over  $\mathcal{R}$  in a graph with at least  $\Delta$  triangles, for any  $\Delta = \mathcal{O}(n^{3-\delta})$ ,  $\delta > 0$ .

**Corollary E.1:** There is an algorithm that lists up to  $\Delta$  triangles from a given graph  $G$  in time  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^{1-\omega/3} n^\omega) \leq \mathcal{O}(\Delta^{0.208} n^{2.376})$ .

MATRIX PRODUCT VERIFICATION PROBLEM over  $\mathcal{R}$  is to verify whether for all  $i, j \in [n]$   $\min_{k \in [n]} (A[i, k] \odot B[k, j]) = C[i, j]$ , where  $A, B, C$  are given  $n \times n$  matrices with entries from  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{Z}$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.2:** Suppose matrix product verification over  $\mathcal{R}$  can be done in time  $T(n)$ . Then the negative triangle problem for graphs over  $\mathcal{R}$  can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}(T(n))$  time.

**Definition:** Consider a tripartite graph with parts  $I, J, K$ . We say a set of triangles  $T \subseteq I \times J \times K$  is *IJ-disjoint*, if for all  $(i, j, k), (i', j', k') \in T$ ,  $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$  holds.

**Lemma 3.2:** Let  $T(n) = \Omega(n)$  be a non-decreasing function. Given a  $T(n)$  algorithm for triangle detection, there is an algorithm  $L$ , which outputs a maximal set of *IJ-disjoint* triangles in a tripartite graph with distinguished parts  $(I, J, K)$  in  $\mathcal{O}(T(n^{1/3})n^2)$  time.

**Theorem 1.1:** Let  $T(n) = \Omega(n)$  be a non-decreasing function. Suppose the negative triangle problem over  $\mathcal{R}$  in an  $n$ -node graph can be solved in  $T(n)$  time. Then the product of two  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathcal{R}$  can be performed in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2 T(n^{1/3}) \log W)$  time, where  $W$  is the absolute value of the largest integer in the output.

**Corollary 1.1:** Suppose the negative triangle problem over  $\mathcal{R}$  is in truly subcubic time. Then the product of two  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathcal{R}$  can be computed in truly subcubic time.

**Corollary 1.2:** Let  $T(n) = \Omega(n)$  be a non-decreasing function. Suppose matrix product verification problem over  $\mathcal{R}$  is in time  $T(n)$ . Then matrix multiplication over  $\mathcal{R}$  is in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2 T(n^{1/3}) \log W)$  time, where  $W$  is the absolute value of the largest integer in the output, i.e., matrix product verification over  $\mathcal{R}$  is truly subcubic iff matrix multiplication over  $\mathcal{R}$  is truly subcubic.

**Corollary 3.3:** Suppose matrix distance product verification can be done in  $\mathcal{O}(n^{3-\delta})$  time for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then negative triangle detection is in  $\mathcal{O}(n^{3-\delta})$  time, the distance product of two matrices with entries in  $\{-W, \dots, W\}$  can be computed in  $\mathcal{O}(n^{3-\delta/3} \log W)$  time, and all pairs shortest paths for  $n$  node graph with edge weights in  $\{0, \dots, W\}$  can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}(n^{3-\delta/3} \log(nW))$  time.

**Theorem 3.1:** All-pairs shortest paths in undirected graphs is in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(n^{3-\delta} \log^c M)$  time iff all pairs shortest paths in directed graphs is in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(n^{3-\delta} \log^c M)$  time.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $T(n, M)$  be non-decreasing. Then there is an  $\mathcal{O}(n^2) + T(\mathcal{O}(n), \mathcal{O}(M))$  time algorithm for negative triangle problem in  $n$  node graphs with weights in  $[-M, M]$  iff there is an  $\mathcal{O}(n^2) + T(\mathcal{O}(n), \mathcal{O}(M))$  algorithm for the metricity problem on  $[n]$  such that all distances are in  $[-M, M]$ .

**Corollary:** All following problems either all have truly subcubic algorithms, or none of them do:

- The all pairs shortest paths problem on directed graphs.
- The all pairs shortest paths problem on undirected graphs.
- Detecting if a weighted graph has a triangle of negative total edge weight.
- Reporting  $n^{2.99}$  negative triangles in a graph.
- Checking whether a given matrix defines a metric.
- Matrix multiplication over the  $(\min, +)$ -semiring.
- Verifying the correctness of a matrix product over the  $(\min, +)$ -semiring.

**Corollary:** All following problems either all have truly subcubic *combinatorial* algorithms, or none of them do:

- Boolean matrix multiplication.
- Detecting if a graph has a triangle.
- Reporting  $n^{2.99}$  triangles in a graph.
- Verifying the correctness of a matrix product over the Boolean semiring.

Without use of algebra, best known Boolean matrix multiplication algorithm runs in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3 / \log^{2.25} n)$ .