Graph Classes with Structured Neighbourhoods

Rémy Belmonte Martin Vatshelle

University of Bergen

June 22, 2011

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 1 / 21



Why are Interval graphs easy?

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト



- Why are Interval graphs easy?
- Representative-size.

Outline

- Why are Interval graphs easy?
- Representative-size.
- Graph classes with bounded representative-size.

Outline

- Why are Interval graphs easy?
- Representative-size.
- Graph classes with bounded representative-size.
- Application of our results.

4 A N

Outline

- Why are Interval graphs easy?
- Representative-size.
- Graph classes with bounded representative-size.
- Application of our results.
- Conclusion and future research.



A collection of intervals of the real line.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods



A collection of intervals of the real line.Represent each interval by a node.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Interval graphs



- A collection of intervals of the real line.
- Represent each interval by a node.
- If intervals intersect, their nodes are neighbours.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 4 / 21

э

Go through intervals from left to right.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 4 / 21

Go through intervals from left to right.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 4 / 21

Go through intervals from left to right.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 4 / 21



Go through intervals from left to right.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 4 / 21



Go through intervals from left to right.

Keep all the possibly optimal partial solutions.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

• • • • • • • • • • • •



- Go through intervals from left to right.
- Keep all the possibly optimal partial solutions.
- Two solutions will be equivalent if they have the same neighbourhood across the cut.

• • • • • • • • • • • •



- Go through intervals from left to right.
- Keep all the possibly optimal partial solutions.
- Two solutions will be equivalent if they have the same neighbourhood across the cut.
- There is at most n different neighbourhoods.

Representative-size



Definition (for a set)

Consider a cut *A*, *B* of a graph, and $S \subseteq A$, then the representative-size of *S* with respect to *A* is the minimum size of $S' \subseteq S$ such that $N(S) \cap B = N(S') \cap B$.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Representative-size



Definition (for a cut)

Consider a cut (A, B) of a graph, then the representative-size of (A, B) is the maximum representative-size over all $S \subseteq A$ with respect to A.

• • • • • • • • • • • • •



Definition (for a graph, linear version)

A graph G = (V, E) has representative-size *r* if one can order the vertices such that for every *i* the representative-size of $(A_i, V \setminus A_i)$ is at most *r*, where A_i is the set containing the *i* first vertices.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)



The graph width parameter Boolean-width was introduced by Bui-Xuan, Telle and Vatshelle [IWPEC 2009]

4 A N

- The graph width parameter Boolean-width was introduced by [BTV'09]
- representative-size $r \Rightarrow$ boolean-width $\leq r * log(n)$

3 + 4 = +

4 A N

- The graph width parameter Boolean-width was introduced by [BTV'09]
- representative-size $r \Rightarrow$ boolean-width $\leq r * log(n)$
- INDEPENDENT SET and DOMINATING SET can be solved in c^{boolean-width(G)} * poly(n) time [BTV'09]

- The graph width parameter Boolean-width was introduced by [BTV'09]
- representative-size $r \Rightarrow$ boolean-width $\leq r * log(n)$
- INDEPENDENT SET and DOMINATING SET can be solved in c^{boolean-width(G)} * poly(n) time [BTV'09]

Theorem

INDEPENDENT SET and DOMINATING SET can be solved in polynomial time on graphs of bounded representative-size.

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems was introduced by Telle and Proskurowski. [JDM 1997]

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]



INDEPENDENT SET:

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 12 / 21

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]



INDEPENDENT SET:

• We ask for a partition into (A, B) maximizing |A|.

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 12 / 21

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]



INDEPENDENT SET:

We ask for a partition into (A, B) maximizing |A|.
Such that every node in A has 0 neighbours in A.

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]



DOMINATING SET:

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

Structured neighbourhoods

June 22, 2011 13 / 21

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]



DOMINATING SET:

• We ask for a partition into (A, B) minimizing |A|.

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]



DOMINATING SET:

We ask for a partition into (A, B) minimizing |A|.
Every node in B has at least 1 neighbour in A.

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]



3-coloring:

- Every node in A has 0 neighbour in A.
- Every node in B has 0 neighbour in B.
- Every node in C has 0 neighbour in C.

A D b 4 A b

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]

In general:

4 A N

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]

In general:

We ask for a partition into p parts maximizing or minimizing one part.

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]

In general:

- We ask for a partition into p parts maximizing or minimizing one part.
- For each ordered pair of parts we may put a requirement on the number of neighbours in the other part.

The VERTEX PARTITIONING problems by [TP'97]

In general:

- We ask for a partition into p parts maximizing or minimizing one part.
- For each ordered pair of parts we may put a requirement on the number of neighbours in the other part.
- Requirements can be any finite or co-finite set.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



June 22, 2011 16 / 21

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



June 22, 2011 16 / 21

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

BTV'09 use the "number of d-neighbourhoods", denoted $UN_d(G)$, which is related to representative-size.

3

BTV'09 use the "number of d-neighbourhoods", denoted $UN_d(G)$, which is related to representative-size.

Theorem

 $UN_d \leq n^{d \cdot r}$

3 + 4 = +

Theorem (ABTRRV, WG2010)

Every vertex partitioning problem can be solved in time $O^*(UN_d^{3 \cdot p})$

Theorem (ABTRRV, WG2010)

Every vertex partitioning problem can be solved in time $O^*(UN_d^{3 \cdot p})$

Corollary

Every vertex partitioning problem can be solved in time $O^*(n^{3 \cdot d \cdot r \cdot p})$

Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

A D b 4 A b 4

Summary of results

The problems: INDEPENDENT SET, DOMINATING SET, MAXIMUM INDUCED MATCHING, PERFECT CODE, *k*-COLOURING, *H*-COVER/HOMOMORPHISM/ROLE ASSIGNMENT.

Are polynomial on:

Interval graphs, circular arc graphs, permutation graphs, trapezoid graphs, Dilworth-k graphs, convex graphs ...

3 + 4 = +

Open problems

- What is the representative-size of strongly chordal and tolerance graphs?
- Is there a graph-class with unbounded representative-size where ever VERTEX PARTITIONING problem is polynomial?
- Can we compute the representative-size?
- Can the same ideas be used on directed graphs?



Belmonte, Vatshelle (UiB)

June 22, 2011 21 / 21