

(L4) (March 20, 2020!) Contrary to what I wrote ^①
last time, I will prove Mertens' 1st formula:

Theorem (Mertens, 1874) $\forall x \geq 2$ one has that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

However, for the ~~complete~~

proof we need ~~to prove~~ ^{the} so-called Chebyshev's
(Čebyšev, Чебышев) estimates:

Theorem (P. L. Čebyšev, 1850)

Let, for real x ,
 $\pi(x) = \#\{p \leq x$

p is a prime number} - the number of primes not exceeding x . Then, for some real constants $0 < c_1 < c_2$ and every real $x \geq 2$,

$$\frac{c_1 x}{\log x} < \pi(x) < \frac{c_2 x}{\log x}$$

For the proof of

see my LN Introduction to Number Theory (link on the webpage), where in fact the 1st M. formula is proven as well.

Proof. ^(log tot Mertens sum.) We use the von Mangoldt

addit function $\Lambda: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & n = p^k \\ 0 & n \neq p^k \end{cases}$

First we prove that for $x \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor = \log(Lx!) = x \log x + O(x).$$

Indeed, $\sum_{u \leq x} \Lambda(u) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{u} \right\rfloor = \sum_{u \leq x} \Lambda(u) \sum_{\substack{m, u \leq x \\ u|m}} 1 = \left(\sum_{k \leq x} \right)$

$= \sum_{u \leq x} \sum_{u|m} \Lambda(u) = \sum_{u \leq x} \log u = (\log(Lx!)) =$

$= x \log x + O(x)$ (in L2 we proved an even stronger estimate of the sum). The equality follows from

the prime factorization $u = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r}$ - we note that the sum is, by the def. of Λ , $a_1 \log p_1 + \dots + a_r \log p_r = \log u$.

Thus $x \log x + O(x) = \sum_{u \leq x} \Lambda(u) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{u} \right\rfloor = x \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} + \sum_{\substack{p, v \geq 2 \\ p^v \leq x}} \log p \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p^v} \right\rfloor$

This follows from the def. of Λ and from writing $\left\lfloor \frac{x}{p^v} \right\rfloor = \frac{x}{p^v} - \left\{ \frac{x}{p^v} \right\}$ and estimating the sum

$\left| \sum_{p \leq x} \Lambda(p) \left\{ \frac{x}{p} \right\} \right| = \left| \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \cdot \left\{ \frac{x}{p} \right\} \right| \leq$

$\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq (\log x) \pi(x) \ll \log x \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} = x$

Here \ll is the same as $O(\cdot)$ and is the upper

Chebyshev's bound. We estimate the sum \circledast : $\textcircled{3}$

$$\circledast \leq x \sum_{n|v \geq 2} \frac{\log n}{n} \leq x \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \dots\right) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^2} = O(x).$$

because the series converges.

Now if we

divide the equality by x, we get that indeed

$$\log x + O(1) = \sum_{p|x} \frac{\log p}{p} + O(1). \quad \square$$

Stieltjes integral(s) - named after the Holland mathematician

Thomas Stieltjes (1856⁶ - 1894) - is

used in analytic NT and generalizes the Riemann \int .

Its main advantage is that in this \int integral the difference between \sum 's and \int 's disappears.

~~We~~ only give its definition and basic identities.

Here Definition

Let $f, g = [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions. The Stieltjes \int

of f over $[a, b]$ with respect to g is the limit

$$L = \lim_{\|\Delta\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i) \cdot (g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})) \text{ if it exists, where } \Delta = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n), a \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$$

$a < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = b$ is a division of the interval $[a, b]$, $\|\Delta\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (t_j - t_{j-1})$ and $\xi_j \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$

We write $\int_a^b f dg := L$ The Riemann \int is a particular case.

with $g(x) = x$. The main existential problem for the Stieltjes integral is: **Theorem** If $f, g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($a < b$ are real numbers), f is continuous and g is of bounded variation, then $\int_a^b f dg$ exists.

Recall that $\text{Var}_{[a, b]}(g) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |g(t_j) - g(t_{j-1})| \mid a \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b \text{ is a division of } [a, b] \right\}$.

Theorem 1) If $\int_a^b f dg$ exists then $\int_a^b f g' dx$ exists and $\int_a^b f g' dx = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_a^b f dg$.

2) If $g \in C^1(a, b)$ and $(R) \int_a^b f$ exists then $\int_a^b f dg = \int_a^b f(x)g'(x) dx = (R) \int_a^b f g'$. Also, $\text{Var}_{[a, b]}(g) = (R) \int_a^b |g'|$. For example, ~~the~~ with

In S.S we can write, for $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, $x > 1$, and (5)

$f \in C(1, x)$, that - denoting as before $A(x) =$

$$= \sum_{\substack{h \leq x \\ h \in A}} 1 = \# \{u \leq x \mid u \in A\} -$$

(2) + (3) = (5)

$h \leq x$
 $h \in A$

~~$\int_1^x f(t) dA(t)$~~

$$\int_1^x f(t) dA(t) = \dots = \sum_{\substack{h \leq x \\ h \in A}} f(h).$$

We return to theorems on Σ 's and \int 's. (R) (S)

Theorem 4 (Euler-Maclaurin, general form)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a < b$ ~~be real numbers~~ ^{integers}, $f \in C^{2n}(a, b)$. Then

$$\sum_{z=a}^b f(z) = \int_a^b f + \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} f^{(2k)} \right]_a^b -$$

$$- \frac{1}{(2n)!} \int_a^b B_{2n}(t - \lfloor t \rfloor) f^{(2n)}(t) dt, \text{ where}$$

The B_i are so called Bernoulli numbers defined im-

PLICITLY by $\frac{y}{e^y - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \frac{y^i}{i!}$ and $B_i(x)$ is the i -th B-polynomial.

The Bernoulli polynomial $B_i(x)$ is the unique

polynomial of degree i s.t. $\int_0^1 B_i(u) du = x^i$. We have

$$B_1(u) = u - \frac{1}{2}, B_2(u) = u^2 - u + \frac{1}{6}, B_3(u) = u^3 - \frac{3}{2}u^2 +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}u, \dots \quad \text{As for the B. numbers, } B_0 = 1, B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, B_6 = \frac{1}{42}, B_8 = -\frac{1}{30},$$

