Lecture 1. Theorems of Dirichlet and Hurwitz

M. Klazar

Oct 4, 2024

We use \equiv as the defining equality sign; $x \equiv y$ defines the new symbol x by the already known expression y. Sometimes x and y may exchange their roles. $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}, \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, ...\}, \mathbb{Z}$ are the integers, \mathbb{Q} are the fractions and \mathbb{R} are the real numbers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $[n] \equiv \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write (m, n) = 1 to express that m and n are coprime, their largest common divisor is 1. Every number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ decomposes uniquely as the sum

$$\alpha = \lfloor \alpha \rfloor + \{\alpha\}$$

of the (lower) integer part $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the fractional part $\{\alpha\} \in [0, 1)$. By $\lceil \alpha \rceil$ we denote the upper integer part, the smallest integer $\geq \alpha$. Let $||\alpha|| \equiv \min(\{\{\alpha\}, \lceil \alpha \rceil - \alpha\}) \ (\in [0, \frac{1}{2}])$ be the distance of α from the nearest integer.

The next theorem and corollary are due to Dirichlet in [2].

Theorem 1 (P. Dirichlet, 1842) For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $Q \geq 2$ there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 \leq q < Q$ and

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| \le \frac{1}{Qq} \; .$$

Proof. We consider Q numbers $\{n\alpha\}$ ($\in [0, 1)$) for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, Q - 1$. We can think of them as points lying on a circle with circumference 1. Two of them have arc distance $\leq \frac{1}{Q}$. It means that for some $m, n, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq n < m < Q$,

$$|m\alpha - r - (n\alpha - s)| \le \frac{1}{Q}.$$

We set $p \equiv r - s$, $q \equiv m - n$, divide the inequality by q and get that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \le \frac{1}{Qq}$$
 with $1 \le q < Q$.

Corollary 2 For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ there exist infinitely many distinct fractions $\frac{p}{q}$ such that

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{q^2} \; .$$

Proof. We construct infinitely many fractions $\frac{p_1}{q_1}$, $\frac{p_2}{q_2}$, ... such that for each the displayed inequality holds and $|\alpha - \frac{p_1}{q_1}| > |\alpha - \frac{p_2}{q_2}| > \cdots > 0$. We begin with $p_1 \equiv \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ and $q_1 \equiv 1$. If $\frac{p_1}{q_1}$, ..., $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ are already constructed, we take any $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\alpha - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| > \frac{1}{Q}$ (this is possible, α is irrational) and use Dirichlet's theorem. We get a fraction $\frac{p}{q}$ such that $1 \leq q < Q$ and $|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{Qq} < \frac{1}{q^2}$. Also, $|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{Q} < |\alpha - \frac{p_n}{q_n}|$. Thus we can set $p_{n+1} \equiv p$ and $q_{n+1} \equiv q$.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we used the obvious (?) fact that among any n points on the *circle* C with unit circumference always some two have arc distance at most $\frac{1}{n}$. We mention an interesting, fifty six years old (still younger than me), related problem which is still unsolved. It is called the *Lonely Runner* Conjecture, see the interesting survey article [6].

Conjecture 3 (LRC) If n runners start in the origin and run on C with distinct speeds then for each of them there is a moment when the n-1 arc distances to other runners are all at least $\frac{1}{n}$. Formally, for every n distinct real numbers v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n for every $i \in [n]$ there is a real number $t_i \geq 0$ such that for every $j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}$ we have that $||v_it_i - v_jt_i|| \geq \frac{1}{n}$.

In [6] we read that the LRC is proven for every $n \leq 7$ and that it is due to Wills [9] and Cusick [1]. You can prove as an exercise that the next simpler formulation is equivalent to the previous one.

Conjecture 4 (LRC) For every *n* nonzero real numbers v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n there exists a real number *t* such that for every $i \in [n]$ we have that $||v_it|| \ge \frac{1}{n+1}$.

To obtain an optimum strengthening of Corollary 2, which is Theorem 6 below, we need *Farey fractions*. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the ordered list

$$F_n \equiv \left(\frac{0}{1} = \frac{p_1}{q_1} < \frac{p_2}{q_2} < \dots < \frac{p_m}{q_m} = \frac{1}{1}\right)$$

of all m = m(n) fractions $\frac{p}{q} \in [0, 1]$ such that $0 < q \le n$ and (p, q) = 1. These are the Farey fractions (of order n). For example,

$$F_5 = \left(\frac{0}{1} < \frac{1}{5} < \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{3} < \frac{2}{5} < \frac{1}{2} < \frac{3}{5} < \frac{2}{3} < \frac{3}{4} < \frac{4}{5} < \frac{1}{1}\right).$$

We read in the interesting and thorough survey [5] that the correct attribution of the next theorem is to Haros in [3].

Theorem 5 (Ch. Haros, 1802) If $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$ are two consecutive fractions in the list F_n then

$$\frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} = \frac{1}{bd}$$

-in other words, bc - ad = 1.

Proof. Let $\frac{a}{b}$, $\frac{c}{d}$ and n be as stated. We need to show that the Diophantine equation

$$bx - ay = 1$$

has solution x = c and y = d. Since (a, b) = 1, there is at least one solution $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, the set $I \equiv \{bx + ay : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an ideal in the ring \mathbb{Z} ,

both $a, b \in I$ and division with remainder shows that $c \equiv \min(\{x \in I : x > 0\})$ divides every element of I, thus c = 1 and $1 \in I$.

So $bx_0 - ay_0 = 1$ and we see that for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ the pair $x = x_0 - ra$ and $y = y_0 - rb$ is also a solution. It follows that there is a solution $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$n-b < y_1 \le n$$
.

From $bx_1 - ay_1 = 1$ we get the equality

$$\frac{x_1}{y_1} = \frac{1}{by_1} + \frac{a}{b} \; .$$

We claim that $\frac{x_1}{y_1} \in F_n$. Indeed, from the above we see that $1 \leq y_1 \leq n$ and

that $(x_1, y_1) = 1$. From $bx_1 - ay_1 = 1$ and 0 < a < b it follows that $0 < x_1 \le y_1$. Since $\frac{x_1}{y_1} \in F_n$ and $\frac{x_1}{y_1} > \frac{a}{b}$, it follows that $\frac{x_1}{y_1} \ge \frac{c}{d}$. We assume that $\frac{x_1}{y_1} > \frac{c}{d}$ and obtain a contradiction. By adding the trivial inequalities

$$\frac{x_1}{y_1} - \frac{c}{d} \ge \frac{1}{dy_1} \text{ and } \frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} \ge \frac{1}{bd}$$

we get that

$$\frac{1}{by_1} = \frac{x_1}{y_1} - \frac{a}{b} \ge \frac{1}{dy_1} + \frac{1}{bd} = \frac{b+y_1}{bdy_1} \text{ and hence } d \ge b+y_1.$$

But we know that $b + y_1 > n$, and get the contradiction that d > n (recall that $\frac{c}{d} \in F_n$).

Thus $\frac{x_1}{y_1} = \frac{c}{d}$. Since these are fractions in lowest terms, $x_1 = c$ and $y_1 = d$. Hence x = c and y = d is a solution of bx - ay = 1.

The distance between two consecutive fractions $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$ in F_n is therefore mini-mum possible for two distinct fractions. Clearly, $0 < \frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. It is interesting that their *mediant* $\frac{a+c}{b+d}$ of $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$, which need not be in F_n , lies in the minimum distance to each fraction:

(a+c)b - (b+d)a = cb - da = 1 and (b+d)c - (a+c)d = bc - ad = 1.

If $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d} < \frac{e}{f}$ are three consecutive fractions in F_n then

$$\frac{a+e}{b+f} = \frac{c}{d}$$

— the middle fraction is the mediant of the outer two — prove it as an exercise.

The next optimum strengthening of Corollary 2 is due to Hurwitz in [4]. I learned the proof long time ago in [8] (the English original is [7]).

Theorem 6 (A. Hurwitz, 1891) 1. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ there exist infinitely many distinct fractions $\frac{p}{q}$ such that

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{q^2\sqrt{5}} \; .$$

2. On the other hand, for every real $c > \sqrt{5}$, the inequality

$$\left|\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}-\frac{p}{q}\right|<\frac{1}{q^2c}$$

has only finitely many solutions $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. 1. We make use of Farey fractions. We may assume, by replacing α with $\{\alpha\}$, that $0 < \alpha < 1$. As in the proof of Corollary 2 we construct a sequence of fractions $\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \frac{p_2}{q_2}, \ldots$ in [0, 1] such that for each the inequality in 1 holds and $|\alpha - \frac{p_1}{q_1}| > |\alpha - \frac{p_2}{q_2}| > \cdots > 0$.

We claim that $\frac{p_1}{q_1}$ may be one of the three fractions $\frac{0}{1}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{1}$. This follows from the fact that the sum of the lengths of the intervals $[0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}]$ and $[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}}, \frac{1}{2}]$ is larger than the length of $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}} > \frac{1}{2}$ as $\frac{5}{16} > \frac{1}{4}$.

is larger than the length of $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}} > \frac{1}{2}$ as $\frac{5}{16} > \frac{1}{4}$. If $\frac{p_1}{q_1}, \ldots, \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ are defined, we take an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\alpha - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| > \frac{1}{m} > 0$ (recall that α is irrational), take two consecutive fractions $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$ in the list F_m such that $\frac{a}{b} < \alpha < \frac{c}{d}$ and show that for a fraction

$$\frac{p}{q} \in \left\{\frac{a}{b}, \ \frac{e}{f} \equiv \frac{a+c}{b+d}, \ \frac{c}{d}\right\}$$

the inequality in 1 holds. Since $|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{m}$, it also holds that $|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| < |\alpha - \frac{p_n}{q_n}|$, and we may set $p_{n+1} \equiv p$ and $q_{n+1} \equiv q$.

Suppose for the contrary that none of the three fractions satisfies the inequality in 1,

$$\alpha - \frac{a}{b} \ge \frac{1}{b^2\sqrt{5}} \land \pm \left(\alpha - \frac{e}{f}\right) \ge \frac{1}{f^2\sqrt{5}} \land \frac{c}{d} - \alpha \ge \frac{1}{d^2\sqrt{5}}$$

If the sign is + we add the first and third, and the second and third, inequality and get that (+): $\frac{1}{bd} = \frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\frac{1}{b^2} + \frac{1}{d^2})$ and $\frac{1}{df} = \frac{c}{d} - \frac{e}{f} \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\frac{1}{f^2} + \frac{1}{d^2})$. If the sign is – we add the first and second, and the first and third, inequality and get that (-): $\frac{1}{bf} = \frac{e}{f} - \frac{a}{b} \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\frac{1}{b^2} + \frac{1}{f^2})$ and $\frac{1}{bd} = \frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\frac{1}{b^2} + \frac{1}{d^2})$. In (+) and (-) the equalities follow from Theorem 5 and the definition of $\frac{e}{f}$.

We show that the two inequalities in (+) are contradictory. We multiply the first one by $b^2 d^2 \sqrt{5}$, the second one by $d^2 f^2 \sqrt{5}$, and add the results. We get that

$$d\sqrt{5}(2b+d) = d\sqrt{5}(b+f) \ge b^2 + 2d^2 + f^2 = 2b^2 + 3d^2 + 2bd.$$

This is equivalent with $0 \ge \frac{1}{2}((\sqrt{5}-1)d-2b)^2$. Hence $(\sqrt{5}-1)d-2b=0$ and $\sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Q}$, which is a contradiction.

We obtain the same contradiction in (-). We multiply the first inequality by $b^2 f^2 \sqrt{5}$, the second one by $b^2 d^2 \sqrt{5}$, and add the results. We get that

$$b\sqrt{5}(b+2d) = b\sqrt{5}(f+d) \ge 2b^2 + f^2 + d^2 = 3b^2 + 2d^2 + 2bd.$$

It is the same contradiction as before, only b and d are interchanged.

2. Let $\beta \equiv \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ and $c > \sqrt{5}$. Suppose for the contrary that there exist infinitely many (distinct) fractions $\frac{p}{q}$ such that $|\beta - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q^2c}$. Thus the equation

$$\beta = \frac{p}{q} + \frac{\delta}{q^2}$$

has infinitely many solutions $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\delta \in (-\frac{1}{c}, \frac{1}{c})$. We rewrite it as

$$\frac{\delta}{q} - \frac{q\sqrt{5}}{2} = \left(q\beta - p - \frac{q\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) = -\frac{q}{2} - p.$$

We square the equation, subtract $\frac{5q^2}{4}$ and get that

$$\frac{\delta^2}{q^2} - \delta\sqrt{5} = p^2 + pq - q^2 \,.$$

It follows that there is a solution $\frac{p}{q}$ and δ such that the left side is in absolute value less than 1. Then $p^2 + pq - q^2 = 0$ which is equivalent with $(2p+q)^2 = 5q^2$. This is the familiar contradiction that $\sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

References

- T. W. Cusick, View-obstruction problems, Aequationes Math. 9 (1973), 165–170
- [2] L. P. G. Dirichlet, Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes aus der Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen nebst einigen Anwendungen auf die Theorie der Zahlen, S. B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. (1842), 93–95
- [3] C. Haros, Tables pour évaluer une fraction ordinaire avec autand de decimals qu'on voudra; et pour trouver la fraction ordinaire la plus simple, et dui a approche sensiblement d'une fraction décimale, Journal de l'École Polytechnique 4 (1802), 364–368
- [4] A. Hurwitz, Über die angenäherte Darstellung der Irrationalen durch rationale Brüche, Math. Annalen 39 (1891), 279–284
- [5] P. Pavlíková, O Fareyových zlomcích, Pokroky matematiky, fyziky a astronomie 55 (2010), 97–110
- [6] G. Perarnau and O. Serra, The Lonely Runner Conjecture turns 60, arXiv:2409.20160v1, 2024, 38 pp.
- [7] V. M. Schmidt, Diophantine Approximation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1980
- [8] V. Šmidt, Diofantovy priblizheniya, Mir, Moskva 1983
- [9] J. M. Wills, Zur simultanen homogenen diophantische Aproximation. I, Monats. Math. 72 (1968), 254–263