

MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS 3 (NMAI056)

summer term 2022/23

lecturer: Martin Klazar

**LECTURE 2 (February 22, 2023) OSTROWSKI'S
THEOREM. COMPACT METRIC SPACES.**

• *Ostrowski's theorem.* On any field F we have the *trivial norm*. It is a function $\|\cdot\|$ with $\|0_F\| = 0$ and $\|x\| = 1$ for $x \neq 0_F$.

Exercise 1. *Prove that a trivial norm is a norm.*

From the usual absolute value of $|\cdot|$ to \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} , we get many other norms by exponentiation.

Exercise 2. *Prove that for any $c > 0$, $|\cdot|^c$ is a norm (on \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{C}) if and only if $c \leq 1$. We will call this norm the *modified absolute value*.*

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ and a prime p , the *canonical p -adic norm* $\|\cdot\|_p$ is defined by

$$\|\alpha\|_p := p^{-\text{ord}_p(\alpha)}$$

– in the general p -adic norm $|\cdot|_p$ we set $c := 1/p$.

Exercise 3. *Let $M := \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty := |\cdot|$ (ordinary absolute value). Prove for every nonzero number $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ the product formula*

$$\prod_{p \in M} \|\alpha\|_p = 1.$$

Exercise 4. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a nontrivial norm on the field \mathbb{Q} . Prove that $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n \geq 2 \wedge \|n\| \neq 1$).*

Exercise 5. Prove that for every two coprime numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist numbers $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$ac + db = 1 .$$

Theorem 6 (A. Ostrowski, 1916). Let $\| \cdot \|$ be a norm on the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . Then one of the following three cases occurs.

1. It is a trivial norm.
2. There exists a real $c \in (0, 1]$ such that $\|x\| = |x|^c$.
3. There exists a real $c \in (0, 1)$ and a prime number p such that $\|x\| = |x|_p = c^{\text{ord}_p(x)}$.

Modified absolute values and p -adic norms are therefore the only non-trivial norms on the field of rational numbers.

Proof. Let $\| \cdot \|$ be a nontrivial norm. By Exercise 4, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $\|n\| \neq 1$. We have two cases.

1. There exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|n\| > 1$. We denote the smallest such n as n_0 . Apparently $n_0 \geq 2$ a

$$1 \leq m < n_0 \Rightarrow \|m\| \leq 1 . \tag{1}$$

There exists a unique real number $c > 0$ such that

$$\|n_0\| = n_0^c . \tag{2}$$

Any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be expanded in the base n_0 :

$$n = a_0 + a_1 n_0 + a_2 n_0^2 + \cdots + a_s n_0^s \text{ where } a_i, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq a_i < n_0 \text{ and } a_s \neq 0 .$$

For $n_0 = 10$ we get the standard decimal notation. So

$$\begin{aligned}
\|n\| &= \|a_0 + a_1 n_0 + a_2 n_0^2 + \cdots + a_s n_0^s\| \\
&\stackrel{\Delta\text{-ineq. and multipl. of } \|\cdot\|}{\leq} \sum_{j=0}^s \|a_j\| \cdot \|n_0\|^j \\
&\stackrel{\text{eq. (1) a (2)}}{\leq} \sum_{j=0}^s n_0^{jc} \leq n_0^{sc} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1/n_0^c)^i \\
&\stackrel{n_0^s \leq n}{\leq} n^c C, \text{ where } C := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1/n_0^c)^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \left(\|n\| \leq C n^c \right). \quad (3)$$

This inequality actually holds even with $C = 1$. For each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the multiplicativity of the norm and inequality (3) give

$$\|n\|^m = \|n^m\| \leq C (n^m)^c = C (n^c)^m.$$

If we take the m -th root, we get $\|n\| \leq C^{1/m} n^c$. For $m \rightarrow \infty$ we have $C^{1/m} \rightarrow 1$. So indeed

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \left(\|n\| \leq n^c \right). \quad (4)$$

We similarly derive the converse inequality $\|n\| \geq n^c$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the above expression of the number n in the base n_0 gives that

$$n_0^{s+1} > n \geq n_0^s.$$

By the Δ -inequality we have that

$$\|n_0\|^{s+1} = \|n_0^{s+1}\| \leq \|n\| + \|n_0^{s+1} - n\|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|n\| &\geq \|n_0\|^{s+1} - \|n_0^{s+1} - n\| \stackrel{\text{eq. (2) and (4)}}{\geq} n_0^{(s+1)c} - (n_0^{s+1} - n)^c \\ &\stackrel{n \geq n_0^s}{\geq} n_0^{(s+1)c} (n_0^{s+1} - n_0^s)^c = n_0^{(s+1)c} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right)^c\right) \\ &\stackrel{n_0^{s+1} > n}{\geq} n^c C', \quad \text{where } C' := 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_0}\right)^c > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The trick with the m -th root gives again

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad (\|n\| \geq n^c)$$

and so

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad (\|n\| = n^c).$$

From the multiplicativity of the norm we get that $\|x\| = |x|^c$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. By Exercise 2 $c \in (0, 1]$. Thus case 2 of Ostrowski's theorem holds.

2. *The remaining case when for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an $\|n\| \leq 1$ and there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|n\| < 1$.* Let n_0 be the smallest such n , again $n_0 \geq 2$. We claim that $n_0 = p$ is a prime number. Indeed, if n_0 had a decomposition $n_0 = n_1 n_2$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 < n_1, n_2 < n_0$, we would get the contradiction

$$1 > \|n_0\| = \|n_1 n_2\| = \|n_1\| \cdot \|n_2\| = 1 \cdot 1 = 1,$$

where we used the multiplicativity of the norm and that $\|m\| = 1$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq m < n_0$. We show that every prime number q with $q \neq p$ has the norm $\|q\| = 1$. For the contrary, let $q \neq p$ be another prime number with norm $\|q\| < 1$. We take a large $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|p\|^m, \|q\|^m < \frac{1}{2}$. By Exercise 5 there are

integers a and b such that $aq^m + bp^m = 1$. Taking norms in this equality gives the contradiction:

$$1 = \|1\| = \|aq^m + bp^m\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|q\|^m + \|b\| \cdot \|p\|^m < 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 1 .$$

Here we used the triangle inequality, the multiplicativity of the norm, and the fact that now $\|a\| \leq 1$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus $\|q\| = 1$ for every prime number q different from p . From this, using multiplicativity of the norm and the decomposition of the non-zero fraction x in the product of powers of prime numbers, we get the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \left\| \prod_{q=2,3,5,\dots} q^{\text{ord}_q(x)} \right\| = \prod_{q=2,3,5,\dots} \|q\|^{\text{ord}_q(x)} = \|p\|^{\text{ord}_p(x)} \\ &= c^{\text{ord}_p(x)}, \text{ where } c := \|p\| \in (0, 1) . \end{aligned}$$

Also $\|0\| = c^{\text{ord}_p(0)} = c^\infty = 0$. We are in case 3 of Ostrowski's theorem. \square

The preceding proof is taken from the book

N. Koblitz, *p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

The book contains a lot of interesting information about the p -adic norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ and related p -adic analysis.

- *Compactness of sets in MSs*. First, we introduce limits of sequences in MSs. Let (M, d) be a MS, $(a_n) \subset M$ be a sequence of points in it and $a \in M$ be a point. We say that (a_n) has the *limit* a (in (M, d)) if

$$\forall \varepsilon \exists n_0 (n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow d(a_n, a) < \varepsilon) .$$

From now on $\varepsilon > 0$ is a real number and $n_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We write that $\lim a_n = a$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$. If the sequence (a_n) has a limit, we say that it is *convergent*, otherwise it is *divergent*.

Let (M, d) be a MS and $X \subset M$, for example $X = M$. We say that the set X is *compact* if

$$\forall (a_n) \subset X \exists (a_{m_n}) \exists a \in X \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m_n} = a \right).$$

In words: every sequence of points in the set X has a convergent subsequence with limit in X . The MS (M, d) is *compact* when the set M is compact.

The Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem states that on the real axis, i.e., in the MS $(\mathbb{R}, |x - y|)$, every closed and bounded interval $X = [a, b]$ is a compact set. We will give a few examples of compact sets and compact MSs.

Exercise 7. *In every MS every finite set is compact.*

Exercise 8. *Is the real axis (with the metric $|x - y|$) a compact MS?*

Exercise 9. *Which other intervals on the real axis besides $[a, b]$ are compact sets?*

Exercise 10. *Let $X = [a, b] \times [c, d]$ be a rectangle in the plane, that is, in the Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^2, e_2) . Prove that X is a compact set.*

Exercise 11. *Let (M, d) be MS, $A, B \subset M$ and let us briefly write „is c.“ instead of „is a compact set“. Determine which of*

the following implications holds

A and B are $c.$ $\Rightarrow A \cup B$ is $c.$

A and B are $c.$ $\Rightarrow A \cap B$ is $c.$

$A \subset B$ and B is $c.$ $\Rightarrow A$ is $c.$

A and B are $c.$ $\Rightarrow A \setminus B$ is $c.$

• We extend the maximum principle from the real axis to general MSs. But first we need to introduce continuous maps between MSs. Let (M, d) and (N, e) be MSs and $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a map between them. We say that it is *continuous at* $a \in M$ if

$$\forall \varepsilon \exists \delta \forall x \in M (d(x, a) < \delta \Rightarrow e(f(x), f(a)) < \varepsilon) .$$

Here $\delta > 0$ is a real number. A map f is *continuous* if it is continuous at every point $a \in M$.

Exercise 12. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a map between MSs and $a \in M$ be a point. Prove Heine's definition of continuity, that is, prove the equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} f \text{ is continuous at } a &\iff \\ &\iff \forall (a_n) \subset M (\lim a_n = a \Rightarrow \lim f(a_n) = f(a)) . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 13 (attaining extrema). Let (M, d) be a MS,

$$f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be a continuous function from M to the real axis, and $X \subset M$ be a nonempty compact set. Then

$$\exists a, b \in X \forall x \in X (f(a) \leq f(x) \leq f(b)) .$$

Thus the function f attains on the set X its smallest value $f(a)$ and its largest value $f(b)$.

Proof. First we show that the image $f[X] = \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R} . If the set $f[X]$ were not bounded from above, we could take a sequence $(a_n) \subset X$ with $\lim f(a_n) = +\infty$, i.e., such that $\forall c \exists n_0 (n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow f(a_n) > c)$. By the assumption, (a_n) has a convergent subsequence (a_{m_n}) with $\lim a_{m_n} = a \in X$. By the continuity of f at a and Exercise 12, $\lim f(a_{m_n}) = f(a) \in \mathbb{R}$. But this is a contradiction because $\lim f(a_{m_n}) = +\infty$. Boundedness of $f[X]$ from below can be proved similarly.

So we can define the real numbers $A := \inf(f[X])$ and $B := \sup(f[X])$. By the definition of infimum, there exists a sequence $(a_n) \subset X$ such that $\lim f(a_n) = A$. By the assumption, (a_n) has a convergent subsequence (a_{m_n}) with $\lim a_{m_n} = a \in X$. By the continuity of f at a and Exercise 12, $\lim f(a_{m_n}) = f(a)$. At the same time, however, since subsequences preserve limits, $\lim f(a_{m_n}) = A$. Thus $f(a) = A$ and for every $x \in X$,

$$f(a) = A \leq f(x)$$

because A is the infimum of the set $f[X]$. Similarly, we find $b \in X$ such that $f(b) = B$, and similarly $f(b) = B \geq f(x)$ for every $x \in X$. \square

• *Products of MSs.* For the MSs (M, d) and (N, e) , we define their *product* $(M \times N, d \times e)$ so that $M \times N$ is the Cartesian product of the sets M and N and the $d \times e$ metric on it is given by

$$(d \times e)((a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)) := \sqrt{d(a_1, b_1)^2 + e(a_2, b_2)^2}.$$

Exercise 14. *Prove that the product of two MSs is a MS.*

Exercise 15. *Prove that the product of two Euclidean MSs*

$$(\mathbb{R}^m, e_m) \text{ and } (\mathbb{R}^n, e_n)$$

is (except for a formality in notation) the Euclidean MS

$$(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}, e_{m+n}) .$$

What is the “formality”?

• *Characterization of compact sets in Euclidean MSs.* We defined the ball $B(a, r)$ in a MS last time. A set $X \subset M$ in a MS (M, d) is *open* if

$$\forall a \in X \exists r (B(a, r) \subset X) .$$

Here $r > 0$ is a real number, the radius of the ball $B(a, r)$. X is *closed* if $M \setminus X$ is open. X is *bounded* if

$$\exists a \in M \exists r (X \subset B(a, r)) .$$

The *diameter* of the set X is, for $V := \{d(a, b) \mid a, b \in X\} \subset [0, +\infty)$, defined as

$$\text{diam}(X) := \begin{cases} \sup(V) & \dots \text{ the set } V \text{ is bounded from above and} \\ +\infty & \dots \text{ the set } V \text{ is unbounded from above .} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 16. *Prove that any set X is bounded if and only if $\text{diam}(X) < +\infty$.*

Exercise 17. *Prove that for any unbounded set X there is a sequence $(a_n) \subset X$ such that $m < n \Rightarrow d(a_m, a_n) > 1$.*

In the following two exercises we review basic properties of open and closed sets in a MS.

Exercise 18. *Let (M, d) be a MS. Then the following holds.*

1. *The sets \emptyset and M are both open and closed.*

2. Any finite intersection of open subsets of M is an open set and any finite union of closed subsets of M is a closed set.
3. Any union of open subsets of M is an open set and any intersection of closed subsets of M is a closed set.

Exercise 19. Let (M, d) be a MS and $X \subset M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the set } X \text{ is closed} \iff \\ & \iff \forall (a_n) \subset X \forall a \in M (\lim a_n = a \Rightarrow a \in X) . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 20 (on compactness). *The following holds.*

1. If $X \subset M$ is a compact set in a MS (M, d) , then X is closed and bounded. The opposite implication does not in general hold, by Exercise 22.
2. If (M, d) and (N, e) are two compact MSs, then their product $(M \times N, d \times e)$ is a compact MS.

Proof. 1. If X is not closed, then by Exercise 19 there exists a convergent sequence $(a_n) \subset X$ such that $\lim a_n = a \in M \setminus X$. This sequence does not have a convergent subsequence with limit in X , since each subsequence has limit a . When X is not bounded, we easily construct a sequence $(a_n) \subset X$ such that $m < n \Rightarrow d(a_m, a_n) > 1$ (Exercise 17). This sequence clearly has no convergent subsequence.

2. Let $(a_n) = ((a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}))$ be a sequence in the product MS. We choose a subsequence (b_n) such that $(b_{n,1})$ has a limit $b \in M$ in (M, d) . From (b_n) we select a subsequence (c_n) such that $(c_{n,2})$ has a limit $c \in N$ in (N, e) . It is not difficult to see that (c_n) is

a subsequence of the sequence (a_n) and that it has in the product MS the limit

$$\lim c_n = (b, c) \in M \times N .$$

□

Exercise 21. *Let (M, d) be a compact MS and $X \subset M$ be a closed set. Prove that X is compact.*

Exercise 22. *Let M be an infinite set and the metric d on it is given as $d(a, b) = 1$ for $a \neq b$ and $d(a, a) = 0$. Show that (M, d) is a MS that is bounded and closed but not compact.*

Theorem 23 (compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n). *In every Euclidean MS (\mathbb{R}^n, e_n) , $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact if and only if it is bounded and closed.*

Proof. By the first part of the previous theorem, it suffices to prove that every bounded and closed set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact. From its boundedness it follows that for a real number $a > 0$,

$$X \subset K := [-a, a]^n = [-a, a] \times [-a, a] \times \cdots \times [-a, a] \subset \mathbb{R}^n .$$

The Euclidean MS (K, e_n) is compact by the Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem, part 2 of the previous theorem, and Exercise 15. Clearly, X is also closed in (K, e_n) (problem 24), so according to Exercise 21, X is compact in (K, e_n) and therefore in (\mathbb{R}^n, e_n) (Exercise 25). □

Exercise 24. *Let (M, d) be a MS, $A \subset B \subset M$ and A be a closed set in $(M, d) \Rightarrow A$ is closed also in the subspace (B, d) .*

Exercise 25. *Let (M, d) be a MS and $A \subset B \subset M$. Then A is compact in $(M, d) \iff A$ is compact in the subspace (B, d) .*

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Homework Exercises. Please send to Mgr. J. Rondoš, Ph.D. by the end of the coming Monday by e-mail (jakub.rondos@gmail.com) solutions to the Exercises 5, 9, 11, 17 and 22.