## LECTURE 9, 4/13/2022

## TAYLOR POLYNOMIALS AND SERIES. PRIMITIVES

- An announcement. In lecture 7 I simplified and extended the definition of derivative of a function at a point - limit points suffice - and I modified lectures 7 and 8 accordingly, lecture eighth has hardly changed. Today's lecture culminates with a complete proof of the existence of a primitive function of any continuous function. Before that we discuss Taylor polynomials and series.
- Taylor polynomials. In Lecture 7, after defining derivative, we learned that differentiability of a function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a limit point $a \in M \subset \mathbb{R}$ of $M$ provides the linear approximation

$$
f(x)=f(a)+f^{\prime}(a) \cdot(x-a)+o(x-a) \quad(x \rightarrow a) .
$$

In the following theorem, which is also a definition, we use higherorder derivatives to strengthen the approximation by means of polynomials.

Theorem 1 (Taylor polynomial) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and let $f: U(b, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with finite $f^{(n)}(b) \in \mathbb{R}$. For $n=0$, this means that $f$ is continuous at $b$. Then there is exactly one polynomial

$$
p(x):=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j}(x-b)^{j}, a_{j} \in \mathbb{R} \text {, s. t. } \underbrace{\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{f(x)-p(x)}{(x-b)^{n}}=0}_{(0)} .
$$

(0)

Its coefficients are given by the formula $a_{j}=f^{(j)}(b) / j$ !. We call it the Taylor polynomial of the function $f$ of order $n$ centered at $b$ and denote it as $T_{n}^{f, b}(x)$.
$T_{n}^{f, b}(x)$ equals

$$
f(b)+f^{\prime}(b) \cdot(x-b)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(b)}{2} \cdot(x-b)^{2}+\cdots+\frac{f^{(n)}(b)}{n!} \cdot(x-b)^{n}
$$

and the linear approximation above is $T_{1}^{f, a}(x)$. Also, $T_{0}^{f, b}(x)=f(b)$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the identity

$$
\left(T_{n}^{f, b}(x)\right)^{\prime}=T_{n-1}^{f^{\prime}, b}(x) .
$$

To prove Theorem 1 we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2 (on the zero polynomial) For any numbers $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and any polynomial $p(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} x^{n}$ with $a_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ one has the implication

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{p(x)}{(x-b)^{n}}=0 \Rightarrow \forall j=0,1, \ldots, n: a_{j}=0
$$

Proof. Induction on $n$. For $n=0$ it is true, $a_{0} / 1 \rightarrow 0$ gives $a_{0}=0$. Let $n>0$ and let the limit in the hypothesis of the implication hold. Then $p(b)=\lim _{x \rightarrow b} p(x)=0$. Thus $b$ is a root of $p(x)$ and $p(x)=(x-b) \cdot q(x)$, where $q(x)$ is a real polynomial of degree at most $n-1$. But

$$
0=\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{p(x)}{(x-b)^{n}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{q(x)}{(x-b)^{n-1}},
$$

and by induction $q(x)$ is the zero polynomial. Hence so is $p(x)$.
Proof of Theorem 1. The assumption on $f^{(n)}(b)$ means that (after possibly decreasing $\delta$ ) for every $j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ there exists $f^{(j)}: U(b, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. First we prove that for $p(x)=T_{n}^{f, b}(x)$ the limit ( 0 ) holds. For $n=0$ this follows from the continuity of $f$
at $b$. For $n=1$ we have by the theorem on arithmetic of limits of functions that the limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{f(x)-\overbrace{\left(f(b)+f^{\prime}(b) \cdot(x-b)\right.}^{T_{1}^{f, b}}(x)}{x-b}=\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{f(x)-f(b)}{x-b}-\lim _{x \rightarrow b} f^{\prime}(b)
$$

indeed equals $f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(b)=0$. For $n \geq 2$ we get by l'Hospital's rule, the identity above and induction on $n$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{f(x)-T_{n}^{f, b}(x)}{(x-b)^{n}} & =\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{\left(f(x)-T_{n}^{f, b}(x)\right)^{\prime}}{\left((x-b)^{n}\right)^{\prime}} \\
& =(1 / n) \lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)-T_{n-1}^{f^{\prime}, b}(x)}{(x-b)^{n-1}} \\
& =(1 / n) \cdot 0=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $p(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j} x^{j}$ with $b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ be any polynomial for which the limit (0) holds. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{p(x)-T_{n}^{f, b}(x)}{(x-b)^{n}} & =\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{p(x)-f(x)}{(x-b)^{n}}+\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{f(x)-T_{n}^{f, b}(x)}{(x-b)^{n}} \\
& =0+0=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, according to the previous lemma, $p(x)=T_{n}^{f, b}(x)$.
We state concisely the strengthened approximation.

Corollary 3 (Taylor approximation) If $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $f: U(b, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function with finite $f^{(n)}(b) \in \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., $f$ is continuous at $b$ for $n=0$ ), then for $x \in U(b, \delta)$ and $x \rightarrow b$,

$$
f(x)=T_{n}^{f, b}(x)+o\left((x-b)^{n}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(j)}(b)}{j!}(x-b)^{j}+\underbrace{o\left((x-b)^{n}\right)}_{e(x)} .
$$

The notation $o(\ldots)$ means that $\lim _{x \rightarrow b} e(x) /(x-b)^{n}=0$.

- Taylor polynomials of elementary functions. We present several Taylor polynomials centered at 0 . We justify these formulas, calculate a few limits with them, and discuss when the extension of Taylor polynomials of $f$ to an infinite series converges to $f(x)$. In the following formulas $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ is arbitrary.

1. $f(x)=\exp x$ has $\operatorname{TP} T_{n}^{f, 0}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} x^{j} / j!$.
2. $f(x)=\sin x$ has TP $T_{2 n+1}^{f, 0}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j} x^{2 j+1} /(2 j+1)!$.
3. $f(x)=\cos x$ has TP $T_{2 n}^{f, 0}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j} x^{2 j} /(2 j)$ !.
4. For $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, f(x)=(1+x)^{a}$ has $\operatorname{TP} T_{n}^{f, 0}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{a}{j} x^{j}$. Here

$$
\binom{a}{j}=a(a-1)(a-2) \ldots(a-j+1) / j!,
$$

with $\binom{a}{0}:=1$, is the generalized binomial coefficient.
5. $f(x)=\log (1+x)$ has TP $T_{n}^{f, 0}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1} x^{j} / j$ for $n>0$ and $T_{0}^{f, 0}(x)=0$.
6. $f(x)=\log \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)$ has TP $T_{n}^{f, 0}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} x^{j} / j$ for $n>0$ and $T_{0}^{f, 0}(x)=0$.
7. $f(x)=\arctan x$, the inverse tangent, has $\operatorname{TP} T_{2 n+1}^{f, 0}(x)=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j} x^{2 j+1} /(2 j+1)$.
8. $f(x)=\arcsin x$, i.e., the inverse sine, has $\operatorname{TP} T_{2 n+1}^{f, 0}(x)=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{j-1 / 2}{j} x^{2 j+1} /(2 j+1)$.
9. $f(x)=\arccos x$, the inverse cosine, has $\operatorname{TP} T_{2 n+1}^{f, 0}(x)=\pi / 2-$ $\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{j-1 / 2}{j} x^{2 j+1} /(2 j+1)$.
Proof of Formula 1. Clearly, $\exp ^{(j)}(x)=\exp (x)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\exp (0)=1$.

Proof of Formula 2. Clearly, $\sin ^{(j)}(x)=\sin x$ for $j \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4), \sin ^{(j)}(x)=\cos x$ for $j \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \sin ^{(j)}(x)=-\sin x$ for $j \equiv 2,(\bmod 4), \sin ^{(j)}(x)=-\cos x$ for $j \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $\sin 0=0$ and $\cos 0=1$.

Proof of Formula 3. Similarly to the previous derivation.
Proof of Formula 4. For any $x \in(-1,1)$, any $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and any $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left((1+x)^{a}\right)^{(j)}=a(a-1) \ldots(a-j+1)(1+x)^{a-j}
$$

with $\left((1+x)^{a}\right)^{(0)}=(1+x)^{a}$. Clearly, $(1+0)^{a-j}=1$.
Proof of Formula 5. For any $x \in(-1,1)$ and any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\log (1+x))^{(j)} & =(-1)(-2) \ldots(-j+1) \cdot(1+x)^{-j} \\
& =(-1)^{j+1}(j-1)!\cdot(1+x)^{-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $(\log (1+x))^{(0)}=\log (1+x)$. Clearly, $\log (1+0)=0$ and $(1+0)^{-j}=1$.

Proof of Formula 6. It follows from the previous formula because on $(-1,1), \log \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)=-\log (1+(-x))$.
Proof of Formula 7. $(\arctan x)^{(0)}=\arctan x$ and

$$
(\arctan x)^{(1)}=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\frac{1}{x-i}-\frac{1}{x+i}\right) .
$$

Thus for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
(\arctan x)^{(j)}=-\frac{i}{2} \cdot(-1)^{j-1}(j-1)!\left((x-i)^{-j}-(x+i)^{-j}\right) .
$$

Also, $(\arctan x)^{(0)}(0)=0$ and for any even $j \geq 2$ we have that $(\arctan x)^{(j)}(0)=0$ too. For every odd $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\arctan x)^{(j)}(0) & =\frac{i}{2} \cdot \underbrace{(-1)^{j-1}}_{=i^{2 j-2}}(j-1)!\cdot 2 \cdot i^{-j} \\
& =i^{j-1}(j-1)!=(-1)^{(j-1) / 2}(j-1)!
\end{aligned}
$$

However, we differentiated complex functions of the real variable here. We therefore derive this Taylor polynomial again without using $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 4 (TP of $f$ from TP of $f^{\prime}$ ) We suppose that $f: U(0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has finite $f^{\prime}: U(0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and finite $f^{(n+1)}(0) \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then for $x \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} x^{j}+o\left(x^{n}\right), \quad a_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& \Rightarrow f(x)=f(0)+\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{a_{j}}{j+1} \cdot x^{j+1}+o\left(x^{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We work with center 0 . By Theorem 1 on the uniqueness of TP, it follows from the hypothesis of the implication that for $j=0,1, \ldots, n, a_{j}=f^{(j+1)}(0) / j!$. By the same theorem, the coefficient of $x^{j+1}$ in the TP of the function $f$ is equal to

$$
\frac{f^{(j+1)}(0)}{(j+1)!}=\frac{a_{j}}{j+1} .
$$

Thus the TP of the function $\arctan x$ is obtained from the TP $T_{2 n}^{f, 0}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j} x^{2 j}$ of the derivative $\arctan ^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}$. We get this TP from (partial sums of) the geometric series $\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}=$ $1-x^{2}+x^{4}-\ldots, x \in(-1,1)$.

Proof of Formula 8. This follows immediately from the TP of $\arcsin ^{\prime}(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$, Proposition 4 and formula 4.

Proof of Formula 9. Proceed as in the previous derivation.

- Computing limits by Taylor polynomials. We will use Corollary 3. Using $T_{1}^{f, 0}$ in Formula 2, we immediately see that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin x}{x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x+o(x)}{x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x}{x}+\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(x)}{x}=1+0=1 .
$$

Or, using $T_{2}^{f, 0}$ in Formula 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^{4}}{(\cos x-1)^{2}} & =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^{4}}{\left(1-x^{2} / 2-1+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^{4}}{x^{4} / 4+o\left(x^{4}\right)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}+o\left(x^{4}\right) / x^{4}}=4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

V. I. Arnold's booklet Gjujgens i Barrou, N'juton i Guk (Nauka, Moskva 1989) mentions on p. 21 the problem

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin (\tan x)-\tan (\sin x)}{\arcsin (\arctan x)-\arctan (\arcsin x)}=?
$$

Taylor polynomials may not be the best approach here (but why?). See https://kam.mff.cuni.cz/~klazar/ArnoldLimEng.pdf, if and when I write it down.

- Taylor series. Taylor series of a function arises from its Taylor polynomials by extending them to infinity.

Definition 5 (Taylor series) Let $f: U(a, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have finite $f^{(n)}: U(a, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. If for every $x \in U(a, \delta)$,

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!} \cdot(x-a)^{n},
$$

we say that the function $f$ is on $U(a, \delta)$ the sum of its Taylor series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}(a) \cdot(x-a)^{n} / n!$ centered at $a$.

Hence Taylor polynomials are partial sums of Taylor series. The following theorem shows when the situation of the previous definition occurs. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and a function $f: U(a, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with finite $f^{(n)}(a) \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the remainder of the Taylor polynomial $T_{n}^{f, a}(x)$ as

$$
R_{n}^{f, a}(x):=f(x)-T_{n}^{f, a}(x), x \in U(a, \delta) .
$$

Theorem 6 (remainders of TP) Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and that $f: U(a, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with finite $f^{(n+1)}: U(a, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the following holds.

1. (Lagrange's remainder) $\forall x \in P(a, \delta) \exists c$ between $a$ and $x$ such that

$$
R_{n}^{f, a}(x)=\frac{f^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!} \cdot(x-a)^{n+1}
$$

2. (Cauchy's remainder) $\forall x \in P(a, \delta) \exists c$ between $a$ and $x$ such that

$$
R_{n}^{f, a}(x)=\frac{f^{(n+1)}(c) \cdot(x-c)^{n}}{n!} \cdot(x-a) .
$$

Proof. We prove more generally that for any $g: U(a, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with finite and nonzero $g^{\prime}: U(a, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $x \in P(a, \delta)$ there is a number $c$ between $a$ and $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{f, a}(x)=\frac{1}{n!} \cdot \frac{g(x)-g(a)}{g^{\prime}(c)} \cdot f^{(n+1)}(c) \cdot(x-c)^{n} \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Lagrange's remainder arises for $g(t):=(x-t)^{n+1}$ and Cauchy's for $g(t):=t$.

Let $x \in P(a, \delta)$ and the function $g$ be as stated. Consider the auxiliary function

$$
F(t):=f(x)-\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} \cdot(x-t)^{i}
$$

We apply to $F, g$ and the interval $I$ with endpoints $a$ and $x$ Cauchy's mean value theorem. On this interval $F$ is continuous,
$F(x)=0, F(a)=f(x)-T_{n}^{f, a}(x), g(a) \neq g(x)$ (due to Lagrange's mean value theorem) and on $I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime}(t) & =-f^{\prime}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{f^{(i+1)}(t)}{i!} \cdot(x-t)^{i}-\frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} \cdot i(x-t)^{i-1}\right) \\
& =-\frac{f^{(n+1)}(t)}{n!} \cdot(x-t)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy's mean value theorem (equality (*)) there exists a number $c \in I^{0}$ such that
$-\frac{f(x)-T_{n}^{f, a}(x)}{g(x)-g(a)}=\frac{F(x)-F(a)}{g(x)-g(a)} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{F^{\prime}(c)}{g^{\prime}(c)}=-\frac{f^{(n+1)}(c) \cdot(x-c)^{n}}{n!\cdot g^{\prime}(c)}$.
Now the relation (R) follows by a simple rearrangement.
For all nine formulas for TP above we state for which $x \in \mathbb{R}$ they give Taylor series of $f$ centered at 0 and converging to $f(x)$. We omit the proofs, they follow easily from the previous theorem.

1. $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \mathrm{e}^{x}=\sum_{n \geq 0} x^{n} / n$ !.
2. $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \sin x=\sum_{n \geq 0}(-1)^{n} x^{2 n+1} /(2 n+1)$ !.
3. $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \cos x=\sum_{n \geq 0}(-1)^{n} x^{2 n} /(2 n)!$.
4. $\forall x \in(-1,1)$ and $\forall a \in \mathbb{R},(1+x)^{a}=\sum_{n \geq 0}\binom{a}{n} x^{n}$.
5. $\forall x \in(-1,1), \log (1+x)=\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{n+1} x^{n} / n$.
6. $\forall x \in(-1,1), \log \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 1} x^{n} / n$.
7. $\forall x \in(-1,1), \arctan x=\sum_{n \geq 0}(-1)^{n} x^{2 n+1} /(2 n+1)$.
8. $\forall x \in(-1,1), \arcsin x=\sum_{n \geq 0}\binom{n-1 / 2}{n} x^{2 n+1} /(2 n+1)$.
9. $\forall x \in(-1,1), \arccos x=\frac{\pi}{2}-\sum_{n \geq 0}\binom{n-1 / 2}{n} x^{2 n+1} /(2 n+1)$.

Some of these expansions hold in larger domains. Expansion 4 with $a \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ holds $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, expansion 5 holds also for $x=1$, expansion 6 also for $x=-1$, expansion 7 also for $x=1$ and expansions 8 and 9 also for $x=-1$.

Coefficients in Taylor series can often be interpreted combinatorially. We give without proof one example of many.

Proposition 7 (Bell numbers $B_{n}$ ) For any $x \in(-1,1)$ it is true that

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}^{x}-1}=\exp (\exp (x)-1)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n} x^{n}}{n!}
$$

where $B_{n}$ is the number of partitions of an n-element set.
For example, $B_{3}=5$ because of the five partitions $\{\{1,2,3\}\}$, $\{\{1,2\},\{3\}\},\{\{1,3\},\{2\}\},\{\{1\},\{2,3\}\}$ and $\{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$ of the set $\{1,2,3\}$.

- Primitive functions. An interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is non-trivial if $I \neq$ $\emptyset,\{a\}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Non-trivial are exactly those non-empty intervals, each point of which is their limit point.

Definition 8 (primitives) For any functions $F, f: I \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ defined on a non-trivial interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we say that $F$ is a primitive (function) of $f$, and write $F=\int f$, if $F$ has finite derivative on I and

$$
\forall b \in I: F^{\prime}(b)=f(b) .
$$

Sometimes $F$ is also called an antiderivative of $f$.
We emphasize that for every $b \in I$, including endpoints, here $F^{\prime}(b)$ always means the ordinary, two-sided derivative; other texts on analysis often differ in this because they define ordinary derivative only in interior points. It follows from the earlier result on derivatives that every primitive function is continuous. For example, $a x^{2} / 2+b x+c$ is a primitive of the linear function $a x+b$ on any nontrivial interval, $\mathrm{e}^{x}$ is on $\mathbb{R}$ a primitive of itself, $c+\arcsin x$ is on $(-1,1)$ an antiderivative of the function $1 / \sqrt{1-x^{2}}$ and $2 x^{3 / 2} / 3$ is a PF of $\sqrt{x}$ on $[0,+\infty)$.

Antiderivative of a given function is not determined uniquely, but every two of them differ only by a constant shift.

Theorem 9 (non-uniqueness of PF) $F_{1}, F_{2}, f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are functions defined on a nontrivial interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and both $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are primitives of $f$. Then there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
F_{1}-F_{2}=c \text { on } I .
$$

Conversely, if $F$ is a primitive of $f$ then for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ also $F+c$ is a primitive of $f$.

Proof. Let $F_{1}, F_{2}, f$ and $I$ be, as stated, and $a<b$ be any two numbers from $I$. By Lagrange's mean value theorem, used for the function $F_{1}-F_{2}$ and the interval $[a, b]$, there exists a $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)(b)-\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)(a)}{b-a} & =\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)^{\prime}(c)=F_{1}^{\prime}(c)-F_{2}^{\prime}(c) \\
& =f(c)-f(c)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $F_{1}(b)-F_{2}(b)=F_{1}(a)-F_{2}(a)$ and $F_{1}(x)-F_{2}(x)=c$ for some constant $c$ and every $x \in I$.

The second claim is clear, $(F+c)^{\prime}=F^{\prime}+c^{\prime}=f+0=f$.
In the rest of the lecture we prove that every continuous function has an antiderivative. We have to prepare for it some tools.

- Exchange of limits and derivatives. We prove a theorem describing situations when one can swap limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and differentiation, without changing the result. We use this theorem below to prove Theorem 16 on existence of antiderivatives. But first we have to introduce pointwise and uniform convergence and prove the Moore-Osgood theorem.

Definition $10\left(f_{n} \rightarrow f\right) M \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a set and $f, f_{n}: M \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are functions. When

$$
\forall \varepsilon \forall x \in M \exists n_{0}: n \geq n_{0} \Rightarrow\left|f_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

we write $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ (on $M$ ) and say that the functions $f_{n}$ converge on $M$ pointwisely to $f$.

Thus for every $x \in M, \lim f_{n}(x)=f(x)$.

Definition $11\left(f_{n} \rightrightarrows f\right) M \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a set and $f, f_{n}: M \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are functions. When

$$
\forall \varepsilon \exists n_{0} \forall x \in M: n \geq n_{0} \Rightarrow\left|f_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|<\varepsilon,
$$

we write $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f(o n M)$ and say that the functions $f_{n}$ converge on $M$ uniformly to $f$.

Now one requires more: single $n_{0}$ works for every $x \in M$. Clearly, $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f$ implies that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$, but the converse in general does not hold.

The following theorem is also called the Moore-Osgood theorem.
Theorem 12 (swapping limits) Let $f_{n}, f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f($ on $M), A \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ be a limit point of $M$ and let $\lim _{x \rightarrow A} f_{n}(x)=: a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $n$. Then the following finite limits exist and are equal:

$$
\lim a_{n}=\lim _{x \rightarrow A} f(x) \text {, i.e., } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{x \rightarrow A} f_{n}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow A} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(x) .
$$

Proof. From $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f($ on $M)$ it follows that $\left(f_{n}(x)\right) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly Cauchy for $x \in M$, that is, for every $\varepsilon$ there is an $n_{0}$ such that for every $x \in M$ and every $m, n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\left|f_{m}(x)-f_{m}(x)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

Then for every two fixed indices $m, n \geq n_{0}$ the limit transition $\lim _{x \rightarrow A}$ gives the inequality $\left|a_{m}-a_{n}\right| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus $\left(a_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a Cauchy sequence and has a finite $\operatorname{limit} \lim a_{n}=: a \in \mathbb{R}$. The
next estimate holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in M$ :

$$
|f(x)-a| \leq \underbrace{\left|f(x)-f_{n}(x)\right|}_{V_{1}}+\underbrace{\left|f_{n}(x)-a_{n}\right|}_{V_{2}}+\underbrace{\left|a_{n}-a\right|}_{V_{3}} .
$$

Let an $\varepsilon$ be given. Because $\lim a_{n}=a$, there exists an $n_{0}$ such that $n \geq n_{0} \Rightarrow V_{3}<\varepsilon / 3$. Because $f_{n} \rightrightarrows f$ (on $M$ ), there exists an $n_{1}$ such that $n \geq n_{1} \Rightarrow V_{1}<\varepsilon / 3$ for every $x \in M$. Let $m \geq \max \left(n_{0}, n_{1}\right)$. Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow A} f_{m}(x)=a_{m}$, we can take a $\delta$ such that $V_{2}<\varepsilon / 3$ for $n:=m$ and every $x \in P(A, \delta) \cap M$. Thus for $n:=m$ and every $x \in P(A, \delta) \cap M$,

$$
|f(x)-a| \leq \varepsilon / 3+\varepsilon / 3+\varepsilon / 3=\varepsilon
$$

and $\lim _{x \rightarrow A} f(x)=a=\lim a_{n}$.
Here is the theorem that swaps limits and derivatives.
Theorem 13 (swapping $d f / d x$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}$ ) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $f_{n}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions defined on a nontrivial interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and such that the following three conditions hold.

1. For every $n$ there exists $f_{n}^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
2. $f_{n}^{\prime} \rightrightarrows f$ (on $I$ ) for some function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
3. There exists an $a \in I$ such that the sequence $\left(f_{n}(a)\right) \subset$ $\mathbb{R}$ converges.

Then $f_{n} \rightarrow F$ (on $I$ ) for some function $F: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists $F^{\prime}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
F^{\prime}=f \text { on I, i.e., }\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}^{\prime}
$$

Proof. Let $f_{n}, I, f$ and $a$ be, as stated, and let $b \in I$ be any point. First we prove that the sequence $\left(f_{n}(b)\right) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is Cauchy. For $b=a$ this is true by Condition 3, so we can assume that for example $a<b$, the case with $b<a$ is treated similarly. Let an $\varepsilon$ be given. It follows from Conditions 2 and 3 that the sequence of functions ( $f_{n}^{\prime}$ ) is uniformly Cauchy on $I$ and that the sequence $\left(f_{n}(a)\right)$ is Cauchy. So there exists an $n_{0}$ such that $m, n \geq n_{0} \Rightarrow\left|f_{m}^{\prime}(x)-f_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|<\varepsilon$ for every $x \in I$ and also $m, n \geq n_{0} \Rightarrow\left|f_{m}(a)-f_{n}(a)\right|<\varepsilon$. We take two arbitrary indices $m, n \geq n_{0}$ and use Lagrange's mean value theorem for the function $f_{m}-f_{n}$ and the interval $[a, b]$. This gives for some number $c \in(a, b)$ the equality and estimate

$$
\frac{\left(f_{m}-f_{n}\right)(b)-\left(f_{m}-f_{n}\right)(a)}{b-a}=\left(f_{m}-f_{n}\right)^{\prime}(c)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{m}(b)-f_{n}(b)\right| & \leq|b-a| \cdot\left|f_{m}^{\prime}(c)-f_{n}^{\prime}(c)\right|+\left|f_{m}(a)-f_{n}(a)\right| \\
& <(b-a) \varepsilon+\varepsilon=\varepsilon(b-a+1),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. So the sequence $\left(f_{n}(b)\right)$ is Cauchy, therefore convergent, and for every $b \in I$ we can define

$$
F(b):=\lim f_{n}(b) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

So we get the function $F: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{n} \rightarrow F($ on $I)$.
We prove that $F^{\prime}=f$ on $I$. We use the previous theorem and
then verify that its assumptions are satisfied. For any $b \in I$ indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\prime}(b) & =\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{F(x)-F(b)}{x-b} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow b} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(b)}{x-b} \\
\text { Theorem } 12 & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{x \rightarrow b} \frac{f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(b)}{x-b} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}^{\prime}(b)=f(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

We check that in this use of Theorem 12 its assumptions are satisfied. We use the theorem for the sequence of functions

$$
g_{n}(x):=\frac{f_{n}(x)-f_{n}(b)}{x-b}: I \backslash\{b\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

Of course, $\lim _{x \rightarrow b} g_{n}(x)=f_{n}^{\prime}(b)$ for every $n$ and also $\lim f_{n}^{\prime}(b)=$ $f(b)$. It remains to check that $g_{n} \rightrightarrows g$ (on $\left.I \backslash\{b\}\right)$ for the function

$$
g(x):=\frac{F(x)-F(b)}{x-b} .
$$

For this we check that the sequence $\left(g_{n}(x)\right)$ is uniformly Cauchy on $I \backslash\{b\}$. For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in I \backslash\{b\}$ we have the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g_{m}(x)-g_{n}(x)\right| & =\frac{\left|\left(f_{m}(x)-f_{n}(x)\right)-\left(f_{m}(b)-f_{n}(b)\right)\right|}{|x-b|} \\
& \stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{\left\lfloor x-b|\cdot| f_{m}^{\prime}(c)-f_{n}^{\prime}(c) \mid\right.}{\downarrow x-b \dagger} \\
& =\underbrace{\left|f_{m}^{\prime}(c)-f_{n}^{\prime}(c)\right|}_{V}, \text { for a } c \text { between } b \text { and } x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We get it due to equality $(*)$ where Lagrange's mean value theorem is used for the function $f_{m}-f_{n}$ and the interval with endpoints $b$
and $x$. By Condition 2 , for any given $\varepsilon$ there exists an $n_{0}$ such that for every $m, n \geq n_{0}$ and every $c \in I$ one has that $|V|<\varepsilon$. Thus the sequence $\left(g_{n}(x)\right)$ is uniformly Cauchy on $I \backslash\{b\}$ and the proof is complete.

- Every continuous function has a primitive function. To prove it we need one more tool.

Definition 14 (uniform continuity) Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}$. The function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly continuous (on $M$ ) if

$$
\forall \varepsilon \exists \delta: a \in M \Rightarrow f[U(a, \delta) \cap M] \subset U(f(a), \varepsilon) .
$$

So one $\delta$ works for all points $a \in M$.
Theorem 15 (continuity and compactness) Let $M \subset$ $\mathbb{R}$ be a compact set. If a function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous then it is uniformly continuous.

Proof. We suppose that $M \subset \mathbb{R}$ is compact and that $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not uniformly continuous. So there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that for every $n$ there are two points $a_{n}, b_{n} \in M$ such that $\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right|<1 / n$ but $\left|f\left(a_{n}\right)-f\left(b_{n}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon$. We use compactness of $M$ and select from $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)$ convergent subsequences with limits in $M$. For simplicity of notation we assume that both $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)$ already converge and have limits $\lim a_{n}=: a \in M$ and $\lim b_{n}=: b \in M$. From $\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right|<1 / n$ it follows that $a=b$. But from $\left|f\left(a_{n}\right)-f\left(b_{n}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon$ and the convergence of $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}\right)$ to $a$ it follows that for every $\delta$,

$$
f[U(a, \delta) \cap M] \not \subset U(f(a), \varepsilon / 2) .
$$

Thus the function $f$ is not continuous at $a$ and $f$ is not continuous on $M$.

Theorem 16 ( $\exists$ antiderivative) Suppose that $f: I \rightarrow$
$\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function defined on a nontrivial interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then $f$ has a primitive function $F: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Brief proof. We first assume that $I$ is compact, $I=[a, b]$ with $a<b$. A function $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a broken line if it is continuous and there exists a partition $a=a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{k}=b$ of $I$ such that each restriction $g \mid\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]$ is linear, i.e., of the form $g(x)=c_{i} x+d_{i}$. By Theorem 15,

$$
\forall n \exists \text { broken line } g_{n}: x \in I \Rightarrow\left|f(x)-g_{n}(x)\right|<1 / n .
$$

Since $\int(c x+d)=c x^{2} / 2+d x+e$, according to Proposition 6 in the last lecture there exist $G_{n}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $G_{n}=\int g_{n}$ and $G_{n}(a)=0$. But then, since $g_{n} \rightrightarrows f($ on $I)$ and $G_{n}^{\prime}=g_{n}$ on $I$, by Theorem 13 there exists an $F: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $G_{n} \rightarrow F$ (on $I$ ) and, especially, $F^{\prime}=f$ on $I$, that is, $F=\int f$.

If the interval $I$ is not compact, we write it as a union of nested non-trivial compact intervals $I_{n}: I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \ldots$ and $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} I_{n}=I$. On each $I_{n}$ we take an appropriate $F_{n}=\int f \mid I_{n}$ and then $F:=$ $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_{n}$ is a primitive function of $f$ on $I$.
See https://kam.mff.cuni.cz/~klazar/proofdet.pdf for details of the proof (when I write them down). P. Lundström, Primitives of continuous functions via polynomials, https://arxiv. org/abs/2204.05012 gives a similar proof, but with polynomials in place of broken lines. In a simpler way we prove the theorem later again by the Riemann integral.
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