

The Basel problem $(1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \dots = \frac{\pi^2}{6})$ and the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma

Martin Klazar*

December 8, 2015

In this write-up we give a (detailed and self-contained) proof of the famous formula of L. Euler,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} .$$

It follows from the following integral representation of the series tail.

Theorem. *For all integers $n > 0$,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} x(x - 2\pi) \frac{\sin((n + \frac{1}{2})x)}{2 \sin(x/2)} dx \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty .$$

Proof. We derive the identity and then prove the convergence to 0. From $\exp(ix) = \cos x + i \sin x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and properties of the exponential function we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \cos(kx) &= \sum_{k=-n}^n \exp(ikx) = \exp(-inx) \frac{\exp(i(2n+1)x) - 1}{\exp(ix) - 1} \\ &= \frac{\exp(i(n + \frac{1}{2})x) - \exp(-i(n + \frac{1}{2})x)}{\exp(ix/2) - \exp(-ix/2)} \\ &= \frac{2i \sin((n + \frac{1}{2})x)}{2i \sin(x/2)} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos(kx) = \frac{\sin((n + \frac{1}{2})x)}{2 \sin(x/2)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} ,$$

where for $x = 2m\pi$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the fraction $\frac{0}{0}$ is set to its limit value. We compute the integrals $I_k = \int_0^{\pi} x \cos(kx) dx$ and $J_k = \int_0^{\pi} x^2 \cos(kx) dx$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Integration

*klazar@kam.mff.cuni.cz

by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
I_k &= [x \sin(kx)/k]_0^\pi - \frac{1}{k} \int_0^\pi \sin(kx) dx = [\cos(kx)/k^2]_0^\pi \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k - 1}{k^2} \quad \text{and} \\
J_k &= [x^2 \sin(kx)/k]_0^\pi - \frac{2}{k} \int_0^\pi x \sin(kx) dx \\
&= [2x \cos(kx)/k^2]_0^\pi - \frac{2}{k^2} \int_0^\pi \cos(kx) dx \\
&= \frac{2\pi(-1)^k}{k^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral of the theorem therefore equals

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\pi \dots &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi (x^2 - 2\pi x) dx + \sum_{k=1}^n J_k - 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^n I_k \\
&= -\frac{\pi^3}{3} + 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and the identity is proven.

To prove that for $n \rightarrow \infty$ the integral approaches 0 we write it as

$$\int_0^\pi x(x - 2\pi) \frac{\sin((n + \frac{1}{2})x)}{2 \sin(x/2)} dx = \int_0^\pi f(x) \sin((n + 1/2)x) dx$$

where the function $f(x) = x(x - 2\pi)/2 \sin(x/2)$ is continuous on $[0, \pi]$ (it has a finite limit at 0). Thus the convergence to 0 follows from

the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma (type result). If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f(x) \sin((n + 1/2)x) dx = 0.$$

We prove it. If $f \equiv c$ is constant then

$$\left| \int_a^b \dots \right| = |c| \cdot \left| \left[\frac{-\cos((n + 1/2)x)}{n + 1/2} \right]_a^b \right| \leq \frac{2|c|}{n + 1/2}.$$

In general f is even uniformly continuous because $[a, b]$ is compact, and for given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in [a, b], |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$. We divide $[a, b]$ by points $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ into subintervals $I_i = [a_i, a_{i+1}]$ with lengths $|I_i| = a_{i+1} - a_i < \delta$. Then for $x \in I_i$ we have $f(x) = f(a_i) + \Delta_i(x)$

with $|\Delta_i(x)| < \varepsilon$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_a^b \dots \right| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left| \int_{I_i} (f(a_i) + \Delta_i(x)) \sin((n+1/2)x) dx \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left| \int_{I_i} f(a_i) \sin((n+1/2)x) dx \right| + \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left| \int_{I_i} \Delta_i(x) \sin((n+1/2)x) dx \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{2|f(a_i)|}{n+1/2} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon |I_i| = \frac{2}{n+1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |f(a_i)| + \varepsilon(b-a) \\
&< \varepsilon(b-a+1), \quad n > n_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the integral goes to 0 for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and Euler's formula is proven. \square

The above proof is somewhat expanded and modified proof of Moreno [1] who gives more than 80 references to various other proofs of Euler's formula. But wait, what have we actually proven? What is π ? How is this number defined? As the root of six times the sum of reciprocal squares? Then we would just claim the triviality $A = A$. Strictly speaking, without specifying the definition of π it is not clear whether anything nontrivial was achieved at all. But, surely, it was. Reflection upon the above proof shows that it in fact proves the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{1}{6} \left(\inf(\{x \in (0, +\infty) \mid x - x^3/3! + x^5/5! - x^7/7! + \dots = 0\}) \right)^2.$$

References

- [1] S. G. Moreno, A one-sentence and truly elementary proof of the Basel problem, ArXiv:1502.07667v1, 7 pages (2015).