Chapter 6

Halving segments

Definition 6.1. Given a set P of n points in the plane in general position, a segment s connecting two points of P is called a **halving segment** or a **halving edge** if each open halfplane determined by s contains $\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor$ or $\lceil (n-2)/2 \rceil$ points of P. That is, the number of points of P on the left side of s is the same as the number of points of P on the right side of s if n is even, or the two numbers differ by 1 if n is odd. The line extending a halving segment is called a **halving line**.

Observe that in a set of n points in convex position in the plane, there are exactly n/2 halving segments if n is even, and n halving segments if n is odd.

6.1 Upper bounds

What is the maximum possible number of halving segments of a set of n points in the plane? Lovász [47] obtained the upper bound $O(n^{3/2})$, which was later improved by Pach, Steiger and Szemerédi [57] to $O(n^{3/2}/\log^* n)$. The best known bound is $O(n^{4/3})$, first proved by Dey [19]. First we present Lovász' approach.

Theorem 6.2 (Lovász, 1971 [47]). For n even, the maximum number of halving segments in a set of n points in the plane in general position is $O(n^{3/2})$.

Proof. It is an easy exercise to show that the geometric graph G formed by halving segments has the following property: for every vertex v and every pair of halving segments s_1, s_2 (edges of G) incident to v, the cone opposite to the convex cone determined by s_1 and s_2 contains another halving segment incident with v. By the same property, if the segments s_1, s_2 are consecutive around v, the opposite cone contains, in fact, exactly one halving segment

incident with v. Also, every vertex has at least one halving segment incident to it. If we assume that no two vertices of G lie on a vertical line, it follows that the degree of every vertex is odd and the number of neighbors of v that are to the left of v differs from the number of right neighbors by 1.

The crucial observation is that every vertical line intersects at most n/2 halving segments. To show this, start with a vertical line p that crosses k halving segments. Assume without loss of generality that p has at most n/2 vertices on its left. Start translating p to the left. The number of halving segments intersected by p changes only when p passes through a vertex, and then it changes by exactly 1. After at most n/2 such changes all the vertices will be to the right of p, so p will not intersect any halving segment. Therefore, $k \leq n/2$.

To finish the proof, draw vertical lines $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil}$ so that in every region between p_i and p_{i+1} , to the left of p_1 , and to the right of $p_{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil}$, there are at most \sqrt{n} vertices. The number of halving segments crossing at least one of the lines p_i is $O(n\sqrt{n})$, since every vertical line intersects O(n) halving segments. The number of halving segments that are disjoint with all the lines p_i is $O(n\sqrt{n})$, since each of the $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil + 1$ regions contains only O(n) pairs of vertices.

The following remarkable identity combined with the crossing lemma gives an improvement on the Lovász' bound.

Theorem 6.3 (Andrzejak et al., 1998 [7]). Let n be an even positive integer. Let G be the geometric graph determined by the halving segments of n points in the plane in general position. Let k be the number of crossings in G. Then we have

$$k + \sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{(d(v)+1)/2}{2} = \binom{n/2}{2}.$$

Idea of the proof. Start with n points in convex position and move them continuously one by one to the vertices of G. In convex position there are n/2 halving segments, every two of them cross, and each of the n points is incident with exactly one halving segment, so the equality holds. During the continuous motion of the vertices, the elementary changes to the graph of halving segments do not affect the validity of the identity.

Theorem 6.4. For n even, the maximum number of halving segments in a set of n points in general position in the plane is $O(n^{4/3})$.

Proof. Let G be the graph of halving segments. Theorem 6.3 implies that $cr(G) = O(n^2)$. However, by the crossing lemma either e(G) = O(n) or $cr(G) = \Omega(e^3/n^2)$. In any case, $e(G) = O(n^{4/3})$.



Figure 6.1: Inductive construction for halving segments. Two of the "new" 3n/2 halving segments are drawn.

6.2 Lower bounds

Theorem 6.5 (Strauss, 1973 [23]). For *n* even, there are sets of *n* points in general position in the plane with $\Omega(n \log n)$ halving segments.

Proof. The construction is done by induction. Suppose we have a construction with n points and h(n) halving segments. Then we can build a configuration with 3n points and $h(3n) \ge 3h(n) + cn$ halving segments, for a certain constant c > 0, as follows. We squash the configuration of n points to make it look almost like a segment, we take three copies of it and arrange them in three directions separated by 120° as in Figure 6.1. We have $h(3n) \ge 3h(n) + 3n/2$, which gives $h(n) = \Omega(n \log n)$. when h is nondecreasing. It is a simple exercise to show that from a configuration of n points with s halving segments.

The lower bound has been significantly improved: Tóth [70] constructed a set of *n* points with $ne^{\Omega(\sqrt{\log n})}$ halving segments. Nivasch [51] simplified the construction and improved the lower bound to $\Omega(ne^{\sqrt{\ln 4}\sqrt{\ln n}}/\ln n)$. (We use "log" for the binary logarithm and "ln" for the natural logarithm.)

Theorem 6.6 (Nivasch, 2008 [51]). For *n* even, there are sets of *n* points in general position in the plane with $\Omega(ne^{\sqrt{\ln 4}\sqrt{\ln n}}/\ln n)$ halving segments.

Instead of a set of points, we construct a *dual* set of lines. We use the following notion of duality.

Definition 6.7. Given a point $p = (c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the **dual** (or the **dual line**) of p is the (non-vertical) line $p^* = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; y = cx - d\}$. Given a non-vertical line $\ell = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; y = ax - b\}$, the **dual** (or the **dual point**) of ℓ is the point $\ell^* = (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

The following observation is left as an exercise.

Observation 6.8. Suppose that p is a point in \mathbb{R}^2 and ℓ is a non-vertical line in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then

- a) $(p^*)^* = p \text{ and } (\ell^*)^* = \ell$,
- b) $p \in \ell$ if and only if $\ell^* \in p^*$,
- c) p lies above ℓ if and only if p^* lies below ℓ^* .

Definition 6.9. A finite set L of lines in the plane forms an **arrangement** of lines $\mathcal{A}(L)$, which is the decomposition of the plane into vertices, edges and cells, where the vertices are the intersection points of the lines, the edges are the open segments or rays of the lines that remain after removing the vertices, and the cells are the 2-dimensional open regions that are the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (\bigcup L)$. The vertices, edges and cells are also called 0-, 1- and 2-dimensional faces of the arrangement, respectively.

The **level** of a point p with respect to a set of lines L (or with respect to an arrangement $\mathcal{A}(L)$) is the number of lines of L that lie strictly below p. The **level** of a face of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ is the level of an arbitrary point of the face with respect to $\mathcal{A}(L)$.

The following observation follows from Observation 6.8.

Observation 6.10. Let n be even and let P be a set of n points in the plane in general position. Let L be the set of n dual lines of the points of P, and suppose that L is in general position, that is, no two lines of L are parallel, no three lines of L pass through the same point. Moreover, suppose that no line of L is vertical. Then the dual of a halving line of P is a vertex of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ of level n/2 - 1.

We will call the vertices of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ of level n/2 - 1 the **middle-level vertices**. Similarly, the cells of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ of level n/2 will be called the **middle-level cells**. By Observation 6.10, constructing a point set with many halving lines is equivalent to constructing an arrangement of lines with many middle-level vertices.

Proof of Theorem 6.6

First we describe the construction, then we verify its correctness, and finally we count the middle-level vertices.

The construction

We construct an infinite sequence L_0, L_1, L_2, \ldots of sets of non-vertical lines in the plane in general position. Every line in every $L_m, m \ge 0$, is of one of two types: **plain** or **bold**. For every L_m , we construct a set V_m of middlelevel vertices of $\mathcal{A}(L_m)$ such that each of them lies in the intersection of a plain line and a bold line. The set V_m does not necessarily contain all the middle-level vertices with this property. The construction depends on free parameters a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots , which we choose as $a_0 = 0$ and $a_m = 2^m$ for $m \ge 1$.

The base case, L_0 , consists of a plain line ℓ_0 , a bold line b_0 , and a vertex v_0 in their intersection. We set $V_0 = \{v_0\}$.

Now we describe the inductive step, which is the heart of the construction. Let $m \ge 0$ and suppose that L_m and V_m have been constructed. We construct L_{m+1} and V_{m+1} as follows.

Each plain line $\ell \in L_m$ is replaced by a *bundle* of a_{m+1} plain lines parallel and close to ℓ separated by a very small distance $\varepsilon_m > 0$. The new lines are then slightly perturbed into general position, but only so little that within a square containing V_m , their displacement is almost imperceptible and they still appear *almost parallel*. Each bold line $b \in L_m$ is replaced by a bundle of $a_{m+1} + 1$ plain lines parallel and close to b separated by a very small distance $\delta_m > 0$ that is much smaller than ε_m . Again, the new lines are then slightly perturbed into general position. We will call this step a *uniform replacement*.

For every vertex $v \in V_m$, the uniform replacement creates an $a_{m+1} \times (a_{m+1}+1)$ grid G_v in place of v; see Figure 6.2. We then draw a new bold line b'_v along the diagonal of the grid, so that its crossings with the lines of the two bundles alternate. We add these $2a_{m+1} + 1$ vertices of L_{m+1} to the set V_{m+1} . We assume that δ_m is so small compared to ε_m , that b'_v is very close to the original bold line in L_m that contained v.

The correctness

We need to verify that all the vertices in V_{m+1} are, indeed, middle-level vertices of $\mathcal{A}(L_{m+1})$. We will show a stronger property, which is needed to show this by induction. We say that a point v is **strongly balanced** in a subset L of L_m if the number of plain lines in L above v is equal to the number of plain lines in L below v, and the number of bold lines in L above v is equal to the number of bold lines in L below v.



Figure 6.2: A uniform replacement creating the grid G_v from v, and a new bold line b'_v . The vertex v of V_m is replaced by $2a_m + 1$ vertices in V_{m+1} . Here $a_m = 3$.

Lemma 6.11. For every $m \ge 0$, all vertices in V_m are strongly balanced in L_m .

Proof. We proceed by induction on m. For m = 0, the vertex v_0 in L_0 is strongly balanced in L_0 , since there are no lines of L_0 above or below it. For the induction step, let $m \ge 0$ and suppose that the lemma is true for all vertices of V_m . We will prove it for the vertices of V_{m+1} .

Each bold line b in L_m contains $2a_m + 1$ vertices of V_m . Moreover, the slopes of the plain lines passing through these vertices alternate between larger and smaller than the slope of b, since these plain lines form a grid in the construction. Let $v \in V_m$. Let b be the bold line and ℓ the plain line containing v. Let b'_v be the bold line of L_{m+1} through the grid G_v . Let $w \in V_{m+1} \cap b'_v$. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2a_m}$ be the vertices of $V_m \cap b$ other than v, and let $b'_1, b'_2, \ldots, b'_{2a_m}$ be the corresponding bold lines in L_{m+1} .

Partition L_{m+1} into three sets, S_1, S_2 and S_3 , as follows. Let S_1 be the set of all lines of L_{m+1} created from lines other than ℓ or b (the set S_1 contains both plain and bold lines). By induction, v is strongly balanced in L_m . This implies that after the uniform replacement, w is strongly balanced in S_1 .

Let S_2 be the set of lines of the grid G_v and the line b'_v ; see Figure 6.2. The location of b'_v along the diagonal of the grid implies that w is strongly balanced in S_2 .

Let $S_3 = \{b'_1, b'_2, \ldots, b'_{2a_m}\}$. These lines, together with b'_v , are created from b, and also satisfy the property that their slopes alternate between larger and smaller than the slope of b. If there are an even number of the points $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2a_m}$ to the right of v (and even number to the left of v), half of the corresponding lines b'_i is above v (and thus above w) and the other half below v (and thus below w). If there are an odd number of points $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2a_m}$ to the right of v (and odd number to the left of v), the two lines b'_i and b'_j corresponding to the points v_i and v_j closest to v from left and right, respectively, have both larger or both smaller slope than b. But this means that one of the lines b'_i, b'_j is above v (and w) and the other below v (and w). The rest follows from the previous even case. This shows that w is strongly balanced in S_3 . Altogether, w is strongly balanced in $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 = L_{m+1}$.

Computations

For $m \ge 0$, let $n_m = |L_m|$ and $f_m = |V_m|$. Recall that $a_0 = 0$ and $a_m = 2^m$ for $m \ge 1$. From the construction of L_0 we have $n_0 = 2$ and $f_0 = 1$. By the construction of V_{m+1} , we have

$$f_{m+1} = (2a_{m+1} + 1) \cdot f_m$$

Now we count the number of lines in L_{m+1} . For every $i \ge 1$, the number of bold lines in L_i is equal to the number of vertices in V_{i-1} , which is equal to f_{i-1} . The number of plain lines in L_i is thus $n_i - f_{i-1}$. By the uniform replacement, it follows that the number of plain lines in L_{m+1} is $a_{m+1} \cdot (n_m - f_{m-1}) + (a_{m+1} + 1) \cdot f_{m-1} = a_{m+1}n_m + f_{m-1}$. The number of bold lines in L_{m+1} is f_m . Together, the number of lines in L_{m+1} is

$$n_{m+1} = a_{m+1}n_m + f_m + f_{m-1}.$$

Now by a straightforward induction, we have

$$f_m = f_0 \cdot (2a_1 + 1)(2a_2 + 1) \cdots (2a_m + 1) = (2^2 + 1)(2^3 + 1) \cdots (2^{m+1} + 1).$$

It is an easy exercise to show that $f_m = \Theta(2^{(m^2+3m)/2})$. Plugging this into the recursion for n_m , we get

$$n_m = 2^m \cdot n_{m-1} + \Theta(f_{m-1}) = 2^m \cdot n_{m-1} + \Theta(2^{(m^2 + m)/2})$$

Let n'_0, n'_1, n'_2, \ldots be a sequence satisfying the recursion $n'_m = 2^m \cdot n'_{m-1} + k \cdot 2^{(m^2+m)/2}$, where k is a constant. It is a straightforward exercise to verify that $n'_m = 2^{(m^2+m)/2} \cdot (n'_0 + km)$. Since the sequence n_m is "sandwiched" between two sequences of the type n'_m just with a different constant k, we conclude that $n_m = \Theta(m \cdot 2^{(m^2+m)/2})$. This further implies that

$$\log n_m = \log m + \frac{m^2 + m}{2} + \Theta(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 \log n_m = m^2 + m + 2 \log m + \Theta(1) = (m + \Theta(1))^2$$

$$\Rightarrow m = \sqrt{2 \log n_m} - \Theta(1)$$

and also that

$$\frac{f_m}{n_m} = \Theta(2^m/m).$$

Combining the last two expressions we get

$$f_m = \Theta\left(n_m \cdot 2^{\sqrt{2\log n_m} - \Theta(1)} / \left(\sqrt{2\log n_m} - \Theta(1)\right)\right)$$
$$= \Theta\left(n_m \cdot 2^{\sqrt{2\log n_m}} / \sqrt{\log n_m}\right)$$
$$= \Theta\left(n_m \cdot e^{\ln 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\ln n_m} / \sqrt{\ln 2}} / \sqrt{\ln n_m}\right)$$
$$= \Theta\left(n_m \cdot e^{\sqrt{\ln 4}\sqrt{\ln n_m}} / \sqrt{\ln n_m}\right).$$

We have finished the proof of Theorem 6.6 for $n = n_m$. To prove it for all even n, we need to "fill the gaps" between consecutive members of the sequence n_m [70]. For this, we need to observe that if a set of n points in the plane in general position has s halving segments, then we can add two points so that the resulting set still has at least s halving segments (thus the maximum number of halving segments is a nondecreasing function for even n). But this is not enough, since the sequence n_m grows very fast. So we use a second observation, stating that if a set of n points in the plane has s halving segments and a is a positive integer, then there is a set of anpoints in the plane with at least as halving segments. This will be sufficient to interpolate the lower bound on the number of halving segments for even values of n and finish the proof of the theorem. This, including the two observations, is left as an exercise.

Bibliography

- E. Ackerman, On the maximum number of edges in topological graphs with no four pairwise crossing edges, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 41(3) (2009), 365–375.
- [2] E. Ackerman, On topological graphs with at most four crossings per edge, unpublished manuscript, http://sci.haifa.ac.il/~ackerman/ publications/4crossings.old, 2013.
- [3] E. Ackerman and G. Tardos, On the maximum number of edges in quasi-planar graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 114(3) (2007), 563–571.
- [4] P. K. Agarwal, B. Aronov, J. Pach, R. Pollack and M. Sharir, Quasiplanar graphs have a linear number of edges, *Combinatorica* 17(1) (1997), 1–9.
- [5] M. Ajtai, V. Chvátal, M. M. Newborn and E. Szemerédi, Crossing-free subgraphs, *Theory and practice of combinatorics*, 9–12, *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, 60, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [6] N. Alon, P. Seymour and R. Thomas, Planar separators, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 7(2) (1994), 184–193.
- [7] A. Andrzejak, B. Aronov, S. Har-Peled, R. Seidel and E. Welzl, Results on k-sets and j-facets via continuous motion, *Proceedings of the Four*teenth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry, 192–199, ACM, New York, NY, 1998.
- [8] L. Babai, On the nonuniform Fisher inequality, Discrete Math. 66(3) (1987), 303–307.
- [9] J. Blažek and M. Koman, A minimal problem concerning complete plane graphs, in: *Theory of Graphs and its Applications, Proc. Sympos. Smolenice, 1963*, 113–117, Publ. House Czechoslovak Acad. Sci., Prague, 1964.

- [10] L. Beineke and R. Wilson, The early history of the brick factory problem, The Mathematical Intelligencer 32(2) (2010), 41–48.
- [11] N. G. de Bruijn and P. Erdős, On a combinatorial problem, Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen Indagationes mathematicae 51 (1948), 1277–1279; Indagationes Math. 10, 421– 423 (1948).
- [12] G. Cairns and Y. Nikolayevsky, Bounds for generalized thrackles, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 23(2) (2000), 191–206.
- [13] V. Capoyleas and J. Pach, A Turán-type theorem on chords of a convex polygon, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 56(1) (1992), 9–15.
- [14] N. de Castro, F. J. Cobos, J. C. Dana, A. Márquez and M. Noy, Trianglefree planar graphs as segment intersection graphs, *Graph drawing and* representations (Prague, 1999), J. Graph Algorithms Appl. 6(1) (2002), 7–26.
- [15] J. Chalopin and D. Gonçalves, Every planar graph is the intersection graph of segments in the plane: extended abstract, Proceedings of the forty-first annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (STOC 09), 631-638, ACM New York, NY, USA, 2009; full version: http://pageperso.lif.univ-mrs.fr/~jeremie.chalopin/ publis/CG09.long.pdf
- [16] J. Chalopin, D. Gonçalves and P. Ochem, Planar graphs have 1-string representations, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 43(3) (2010), 626–647.
- [17] J. Cerný, Geometric graphs with no three disjoint edges, Discrete Comput. Geom. 34(4) (2005), 679–695.
- [18] D. Conlon, A new upper bound for diagonal Ramsey numbers, Ann. of Math. 170 (2009), 941–960.
- [19] T. K. Dey, Improved bounds for planar k-sets and related problems, Discrete Comput. Geom. 19(3) (1998), 373–382.
- [20] R. P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, Ann. of Math. (2) 51 (1950), 161–166.
- [21] A. Dumitrescu and G. Tóth, Ramsey-type results for unions of comparability graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 18(2) (2002), 245–251.

- [22] P. Erdős, Some remarks on the theory of graphs, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53, (1947) 292–294.
- [23] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, A. Simmons and E. G. Straus, Dissection graphs of planar point sets, A survey of combinatorial theory (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins, Colo., 1971), 139–149, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [24] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry, Compositio Math. 2 (1935), 463–470.
- [25] R. A. Fisher, An examination of the different possible solutions of a problem in incomplete blocks, Ann. Eugenics 10 (1940), 52–75.
- [26] H. de Fraysseix, P. O. de Mendez and J. Pach, Representation of planar graphs by segments, *Intuitive geometry (Szeged, 1991), Colloq. Math.* Soc. János Bolyai 63, 109–117, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1994.
- [27] H. de Fraysseix, P. O. de Mendez and P. Rosenstiehl, On triangle contact graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 3(2) (1994), 233–246.
- [28] R. Fulek, M. J. Pelsmajer, M. Schaefer and D. Stefankovič, Adjacent crossings do matter, J. Graph Algorithms Appl. 16(3) (2012), 759–782.
- [29] R. Fulek and J. Pach, A computational approach to Conway's thrackle conjecture, *Comput. Geom.* 44(6-7) (2011), 345–355.
- [30] F. L. Gall, Powers of tensors and fast matrix multiplication, arXiv:1401.7714 (2014).
- [31] H. Gazit and G. Miller, Planar separators and the Euclidean norm, Algorithms (Tokyo, 1990), 338–347, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 450, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [32] W. Goddard, M. Katchalski and D. J. Kleitman, Forcing disjoint segments in the plane, *European J. Combin.* 17(4) (1996), 391–395.
- [33] R. K. Guy, A combinatorial problem, Nabla (Bull. Malayan Math. Soc) 7 (1960), 68–72.
- [34] H. Hanani, Uber wesentlich unplättbare Kurven im drei-dimensionalen Raume, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **23** (1934), 135–142.
- [35] F. Harary and A. Hill, On the number of crossings in a complete graph, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 13 (1963), 333–338.

- [36] H. Harborth, Special numbers of crossings for complete graphs, *Discrete Math.* 244 (2002), 95–102.
- [37] S. Har-Peled, A simple proof of the existence of a planar separator, arXiv:1105.0103v5, 2013.
- [38] I. B.-A. Hartman, I. Newman and R. Ziv, On grid intersection graphs, Discrete Math. 87(1) (1991), 41–52.
- [39] J. Hopcroft and R. E. Tarjan, Efficient planarity testing, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 21(4) (1974), 549–568.
- [40] O. H. Ibarra, S. Moran and R. Hui, A generalization of the fast LUP matrix decomposition algorithm and applications, J. Algorithms 3(1) (1982), 45–56.
- [41] G. Károlyi, J. Pach and G. Tóth, Ramsey-type results for geometric graphs, I, Discrete Comput. Geom. 18(3) (1997), 247–255.
- [42] D. J. Kleitman, The crossing number of $K_{5,n}$, J. Combinatorial Theory **9** (1970), 315–323.
- [43] T. Kővári, V. Sós and P. Turán, On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz, Colloquium Math. 3 (1954) 50–57.
- [44] D. Larman, J. Matoušek, J. Pach and J. Törőcsik, A Ramsey-type result for convex sets, Bull. London Math. Soc. 26(2) (1994), 132–136.
- [45] F. T. Leighton, Layouts for the shuffle-exchange graph and lower bound techniques for VLSI, PhD thesis, Laboratory for Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1982.
- [46] R. J. Lipton and R. E. Tarjan, A separator theorem for planar graphs, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 36(2) (1979), 177–189.
- [47] L. Lovász, On the number of halving lines, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. 14 (1971), 107–108.
- [48] L. Lovász, J. Pach and M. Szegedy, On Conway's thrackle conjecture, Discrete Comput. Geom. 18(4) (1997), 369–376.
- [49] G. L. Miller and W. Thurston, Separators in two and three dimensions, STOC '90 Proceedings of the twenty-second annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, 300–309, ACM New York, NY, 1990.

- [50] L. Mirsky, A dual of Dilworth's decomposition theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), 876–877.
- [51] G. Nivasch, An improved, simple construction of many halving edges, Surveys on discrete and computational geometry, 299–305, Contemp. Math., 453, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [52] J. Pach and P. Agarwal, *Combinatorial geometry*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1995, ISBN: 0-471-58890-3.
- [53] J. Pach, R. Radoičić, G. Tardos and G. Tóth, Improving the crossing lemma by finding more crossings in sparse graphs, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 36(4) (2006), 527–552.
- [54] J. Pach, R. Radoičić and G. Tóth, Relaxing planarity for topological graphs, More sets, graphs and numbers, 285–300, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. 15, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [55] J. Pach, F. Shahrokhi and M. Szegedy, Applications of the crossing number, Algorithmica 16(1) (1996), 111–117.
- [56] J. Pach, J. Spencer and G. Tóth, New bounds on crossing numbers, Discrete Comput. Geom. 24(4) (2000), 623–644.
- [57] J. Pach, W. Steiger and E. Szemerédi, An upper bound on the number of planar k-sets, Discrete Comput. Geom. 7(2) (1992), 109–123.
- [58] J. Pach and G. Tóth, Graphs drawn with few crossings per edge, Combinatorica 17(3) (1997), 427–439.
- [59] J. Pach and G. Tóth, Which crossing number is it anyway?, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 80(2) (2000), 225–246.
- [60] M. J. Pelsmajer, M. Schaefer and D. Štefankovič, Removing even crossings, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 97(4) (2007), 489–500.
- [61] F. P. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, Proc. London Math. Soc. s2-30(1) (1930), 264–286.
- [62] R. B. Richter and C. Thomassen, Relations between crossing numbers of complete and complete bipartite graphs, Amer. Math. Monthly 104(2) (1997), 131–137.

- [63] M. Schaefer, Hanani-Tutte and related results, Geometry—intuitive, discrete, and convex, vol. 24 of Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 259–299, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest (2013).
- [64] M. Schaefer, Toward a theory of planarity: Hanani-Tutte and planarity variants, J. Graph Algorithms Appl. 17(4) (2013), 367–440.
- [65] J. Spencer, E. Szemerédi and W. Trotter, Jr., Unit distances in the Euclidean plane, Graph theory and combinatorics (Cambridge, 1983), 293–303, Academic Press, London, 1984.
- [66] L. Székely, Crossing numbers and hard Erdős problems in discrete geometry, Combin. Probab. Comput. 6(3) (1997), 353–358.
- [67] E. Szemerédi and W. T. Trotter, Jr., A combinatorial distinction between the Euclidean and projective planes, *European J. Combin.* 4(4) (1983), 385–394.
- [68] C. Thomassen, The Jordan-Schönflies theorem and the classification of surfaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 99(2) (1992), 116–130.
- [69] G. Tóth, Note on geometric graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 89(1) (2000), 126–132.
- [70] G. Tóth, Point sets with many k-sets, Discrete Comput. Geom. 26(2) (2001), 187–194.
- [71] G. Tóth and P. Valtr, The Erdős–Szekeres theorem: upper bounds and related results, in: J.E. Goodman et al. (eds.), *Combinatorial and Computational Geometry*, MSRI Publications 52 (2005), 557–568.
- [72] W. T. Tutte, Toward a theory of crossing numbers, J. Combinatorial Theory 8 (1970), 45–53.
- [73] P. Valtr, On geometric graphs with no k pairwise parallel edges, Discrete Comput. Geom. 19(3) (1998), 461–469.
- [74] D. H. Wiedemann, Solving sparse linear equations over finite fields, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **32**(1) (1986), 54–62.
- [75] V. V. Williams, Multiplying matrices faster than Coppersmith-Winograd, Proceedings of the Forty-fourth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '12, 887–898, ACM, New York, NY, USA (2012).

- [76] Y. Xu, Generalized thrackles and graph embeddings, M.Sc. thesis, Simon Fraser University, 2014. http://summit.sfu.ca/item/14748
- [77] K. Zarankiewicz, On a problem of P. Turan concerning graphs, Fund. Math. 41 (1954) 137–145.