

# Rounding Semidefinite Programming Hierarchies via Global Correlation

Boaz Barak, Prasad Raghavendra, David Steurer

Presented by Martin Böhm

Combinatorics and Graph Theory PhD Seminar, 2014, MFF UK

## Lasserre Hierarchy

**Notation:** Let  $\mathcal{P}_t([n]) := \{I \subseteq [n] \mid |I| \leq t\}$  be the set of all index sets of cardinality at most  $t$  and let  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}_{2t+2}([n])}$  be a vector with entries  $y_I$  for all  $I \subseteq [n]$  with  $|I| \leq 2t+2$ .

**D(Moment matrix):**  $M_{t+1}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}_{t+1}([n])} \times \mathcal{P}_{t+1}([n])$ :

$$M_{t+1}(\mathbf{y})_{I,J} := y_{I \cup J} \quad \forall |I|, |J| \leq t+1.$$

**D(Moment matrix of slacks):** For the  $\ell$ -th ( $\ell \in [m]$ ) constraint of the LP  $A^T x \geq b$ , we create  $M_t^\ell(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}_t([n]) \times \mathcal{P}_t([n])}$ :

$$M_t^\ell(\mathbf{y})_{I,J} := \left( \sum_{i=1}^n A_{iI} y_{I \cup \{i\}} \right) - b_I y_{I \cup J}$$

**D( $t$ -th level of the Lasserre hierarchy):** Let  $K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \geq b\}$ . Then  $\text{LAS}_t(K)$  is the set of vectors  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}_{2t+2}([n])}$  that satisfy

$$M_{t+1}(\mathbf{y}) \succeq 0; \quad M_t^\ell(\mathbf{y}) \succeq 0 \quad \forall \ell \in [m]; \quad y_\emptyset = 1.$$

Furthermore, let  $\text{LAS}_t^{\text{proj}} := \{\{y_{\{1\}}, \dots, y_{\{n\}}\} \mid \mathbf{y} \in \text{LAS}_t(K)\}$  be the projection on the original variables.

**Intuition:**  $M_{t+1}(\mathbf{y}) \succeq 0$  ensures *consistency* ( $\mathbf{y}$  behaves *locally* as a distribution) while  $M_t^\ell(\mathbf{y}) \succeq 0$  guarantees that  $\mathbf{y}$  satisfies the  $\ell$ -th linear constraint.

**T(Lasserre properties from Martin K's lecture):** Let  $K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \geq b\}$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{LAS}_t(K)$ . Then the following holds:

- (a)  $\text{conv}(K \cap \{0, 1\}^n) = \text{LAS}_n^{\text{proj}}(K) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{LAS}_0^{\text{proj}}(K) \subseteq K$ .
- (b) We have  $0 \leq y_I \leq y_J \leq 1$  for all  $I \supseteq J$  with  $0 \leq |J| \leq |I| \leq t$ .
- (c) Let  $I \subseteq [n]$  with  $|I| \leq t$ . Then

$$K \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_i = 1 \forall i \in I\} = \emptyset \implies y_I = 0.$$

- (d) Let  $I \subseteq [n]$  with  $|I| \leq t$ . Then

$$\mathbf{y} \in \text{conv}(\{z \in \text{LAS}_{t-|I|}(K) \mid z_{\{i\}} \in \{0, 1\} \forall i \in I\}).$$

- (e) Let  $S \subseteq [n]$  be a subset of variables such that not many can be equal to 1 at the same time:

$$\max\{|I| : I \subseteq S; x \in K; x_i = 1 \forall i \in I\} \leq k < t.$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{y} \in \text{conv}(\{z \in \text{LAS}_{t-k}(K) \mid z_{\{i\}} \in \{0, 1\} \forall i \in S\}).$$

- (f) For any  $|I| \leq t$  we have  $y_I = 1 \Leftrightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in I} y_{\{i\}} = 1$ .

- (g) For  $|I| \leq t$ :  $(\forall i \in I : y_{\{i\}} \in \{0, 1\}) \implies y_I = \prod_{i \in I} y_{\{i\}}$ .

- (h) Let  $|I|, |J| \leq t$  and  $y_I = 1$ . Then  $y_{I \cup J} = y_J$ .

**Vector representation:** For each event  $\bigcap_{i \in I} (x_i = 1)$  with  $|I| \leq t$  there is a vector  $v_I$  representing it in a consistent way:

**L(Vector Representation Lemma):** Let  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{LAS}_t(K)$ . Then there is a family of vectors  $(\mathbf{v}_I)_{|I| \leq t}$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{v}_I, \mathbf{v}_J \rangle = y_{I \cup J}$  for all  $|I|, |J| \leq t$ . In particular  $\|\mathbf{v}_I\|_2^2 = y_I$  and  $\|\mathbf{v}_\emptyset\|_2^2 = 1$ .

## From vectors to distributions

### Binary setting

Integration in  $x \in \text{conv}(K \cap \{0, 1\}^n) \rightarrow$  a probability distribution over integral solutions in  $K$ . For  $t$ -round Lasserre we cannot have a globally feasible probability distribution, but instead one that is locally consistent.

**L:** Let  $\mathbf{y} \in \text{LAS}_t(K)$ . Then for any subset  $S \subseteq [n]$  of size  $|S| \leq t$  there is a distribution  $\mathcal{D}^S$  over  $\{0, 1\}^S$  such that

$$\Pr_{z \sim \mathcal{D}^S} \left[ \bigwedge_{i \in I} (z_i = 1) \right] = y_I \forall I \subseteq S.$$

### General 2CSP setting

All 2CSP problems can be restated using SDPs with constraints hidden in the maximization clause, so we do not depend on the moment matrices.

**D:** Let  $V = [n]$  be a set of vertices and  $[k]$  the set of possible values. An  $m$ -local distribution is a distribution  $\mathcal{D}^T$  over the set of assignments  $[k]^T$  of the vertices of some set  $T \subseteq V$  of size at most  $m+2$ . The choice  $+2$  is for convenience.

**D:** A collection  $\{\mathcal{D}^T \mid T \subseteq V, |T| \leq m+2\}$  of  $m$ -local distributions is *consistent* if all pairs of distributions  $\mathcal{D}^T, \mathcal{D}^{T'}$  are consistent on their intersection  $T \cap T'$ . By this we mean that any event defined on  $T \cap T'$  has the same probability in  $\mathcal{D}^T$  and in  $\mathcal{D}^{T'}$ .

**Notation trick:** If we have  $n$  vertices and  $|T| \leq m$ , instead of the entire collection  $\{\mathcal{D}^T \mid T \subseteq V, |T| \leq m+2\}$  we talk instead about a set of  $m$ -local random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . We can think of those random variables as variables  $X_i$  coming from the distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{\{i\}}$ . Note that these variables are **not** jointly distributed random variables, but for each subset of at most  $m+2$  of them, one can find a sample space  $\mathcal{D}^T$  where the corresponding variables  $X_i^T$  are jointly distributed.

### More notation.

- $\{X_i \mid X_S\} \equiv$  a random variable obtained by conditioning  $X_i^{S \cup i}$  on variables  $\{X_j^{S \cup \{i\}} \mid j \in S\}$ ;
- $P[X_i = X_j \mid X_S] \equiv P[X_i^{S \cup i \cup j} = X_j^{S \cup i \cup j} \mid X_S^{S \cup i \cup j}]$ .

**D(Lasserre hierarchy in the prob. setting):**

An  $m$ -round Lasserre solution of a 2CSP problem consists of  $m$ -local random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and vectors  $v_{S,\alpha}$  for all  $S \subseteq \binom{V}{m+2}$  and all local assignments  $\alpha \in [k]^S$ , if the following holds  $\forall S, T \subseteq V, |S \cup T| \leq m+2, \forall \alpha \in [k]^S, \beta \in [k]^T$ :

$$\langle v_{S,\alpha}, v_{T,\beta} \rangle = P[X_S = \alpha, X_T = \beta].$$

We usually want a solution for MAX 2CSP, so we add a maximization clause, for instance  $\max P_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}}[(x_i, x_j \in \Pi)]$ .

**O:** A covariance matrix  $E[(X - E[X])(X - E[X])^T]$  is always positive semidefinite for a random vector  $X$ .

**C:** For a fixed local assignment  $x_S \in [k]^S$  (where  $|S| \leq m$ ) and fixed  $a, b$ , it holds that the matrix  $(\text{Cov}(X_{ia}, X_{jb} \mid X_S = x_S))_{i,j \in V}$  is positive semidefinite for the  $m$ -th level of the Lasserre hierarchy.

## Main results

**D:** The  $\tau$ -threshold rank of a regular graph  $G$ , denoted  $\text{rank}_{\geq \tau}(G)$ , is the number of eigenvalues of the normalized adjacency matrix of  $G$  that are larger than  $\tau$ . We can define this for any MAX 2-CSP problem, by taking the adjacency graph of the predicates.

**T:** There is a constant  $c$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and every MAX 2-CSP instance  $\mathcal{I}$  with objective value  $v$  and alphabet size  $k$ , the following holds:

The objective value  $\text{sdpopt}(\mathcal{I})$  of the  $r$ -round Lasserre hierarchy for  $r \geq k \cdot \text{rank}_{\geq \tau}(\mathcal{I})/\varepsilon^c$  is within  $\varepsilon$  of the objective value  $v$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ , i.e.,  $\text{sdpopt}(\mathcal{I}) \leq v + \varepsilon$ .

Moreover, there exists a polynomial time rounding scheme that finds an assignment  $x$  satisfying  $\text{val}_{\mathcal{I}}(x) > v - \varepsilon$  given optimal SDP solution as input.

**T:** There is an algorithm, based on rounding  $r$  rounds of the Lasserre hierarchy and a constant  $c$ , such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and input instance  $\mathcal{I}$  of UNIQUE GAMES with objective value  $v$ , alphabet size  $k$ , satisfying  $\text{rank}_{\geq \tau}(\mathcal{I}) \leq \varepsilon^c r/k$ , where  $\tau = \varepsilon^c$ , the algorithm outputs an assignment  $x$  satisfying  $\text{val}_{\mathcal{I}}(x) > v - \varepsilon$ .

**T:** There is an algorithm, based on rounding  $r$  rounds of the Lasserre hierarchy and a constant  $c$ , such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and input UNIQUE GAMES instance  $\mathcal{I}$  with objective value  $1 - \varepsilon$  and alphabet size  $k$ , satisfying  $r \geq ck \cdot \min\{n^{c\varepsilon^{1/3}}, \text{rank}_{> 1-c\varepsilon}(\mathcal{I})\}$ , the algorithm outputs an assignment  $x$  satisfying  $\text{val}_{\mathcal{I}}(x) > 1/2$ .

## A sample 2CSP: MaxCut

**D:** SDP relaxation of MAXCUT:

$$\text{maximize } \mathbb{E}_{i,j \in E} \|v_i - v_j\|^2 \quad \text{subject to } \|v_i\|^2 = 1 \forall i \in V.$$

**Step 1.** Use an  $m$ -round Lasserre to get a collection of  $m$ -local variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . For an edge  $ij$ , its contribution to the SDP objective is:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{D}^{ij}} [X_i \neq X_j] = \|v_i - v_j\|^2.$$

**Step 2.** Our goal is sampling that is close to sampling  $\mathcal{D}^{ij}$ . Try first independent sampling from marginals  $\mathcal{D}^i$ .

**O(Local correlation):** On an edge  $(i, j)$ , the local distribution  $\mathcal{D}^{ij}$  is *far* from the independent sampling distribution  $\mathcal{D}^i \times \mathcal{D}^j$  only if the random variables  $X_i, X_j$  are *correlated*.

**O(Correlation helps):** If two variables  $X_i, X_j$  are correlated, then sampling/fixing the value of  $X_i$  reduces the uncertainty in the value of  $X_j$ . More precisely:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\{X_i\}} \text{Var}[X_j|X_i] = \text{Var}[X_j] - \frac{1}{\text{Var}[X_i]} [\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)]^2.$$

The reduction in uncertainty is actually related to the global expected correlation:

$$\mathbb{E}_{j \in V} \text{Var}[X_j] - \mathbb{E}_{i \in V} \mathbb{E}_{\{X_i\}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{j \in V} \text{Var}[X_j|X_i] \right] \geq \mathbb{E}_{i, j \in V} |\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)|^2.$$

**Step 3.** Assume that average local correlation is at least  $\varepsilon$ , that is

$$\mathbb{E}_{ij \sim G} \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle \geq \varepsilon.$$

Use PSD of correlations, apply the following Lemma for vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i \equiv u_i^{\otimes 2}$ :

**L**(Local Correlation vs. Global Correlation on Low-Rank Graphs): Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be vectors in the unit ball. Suppose that the vectors are correlated across the edges of a regular  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{ij \sim G} \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle \geq \rho.$$

Then, the global correlation of the vectors is lower bounded by

$$\mathbb{E}_{i, j \in V} |\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle| \geq \Omega(\rho) / \text{rank}_{\geq \Omega(\rho)}(G).$$

where  $\text{rank}_{\geq \rho}(G)$  is the number of eigenvalues of adjacency matrix of  $G$  that are larger than  $\rho$ .

**Step 4.** If the independent sampling is at least  $\varepsilon$ -far from correlated sampling over the edges, we can use the previous Lemma and reduce the average variance. Therefore, after  $\text{rank}_{\geq \varepsilon^2}(G) / \varepsilon^2$  steps, we are done.