Three Paths
in complete geometric graphs

Jan Kratochvíl

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

(joint work with Philipp Kindermann, Giuseppe Liotta, and Pavel Valtr)

CSGT 2023

Bardejovske Kupele, May 30, 2023

Setting: *n* points in the plane, no three in a line (general position)



Setting: n points in the plane, no three in a line (general position)

define a geometric graph (edges are straight-line segments)



Setting: n points in the plane, no three in a line (general position) define a geometric graph (edges are straight-line segments)



Objective: Packing (edge-disjoint) plane (non-crossing) spanning (Hamiltonian) subgraphs

Packing (edge-disjoint) plane (non-crossing) spanning (Hamiltonian) subgraphs in geometric graphs

Known:

Folklore – 1 path

Abellanas et al. [1999] – zig-zag path

Aichholzer et al. [2017] – $\sqrt{n}$ trees (types not prescribed)

Aichholzer et al. [2017] – 2 paths

Packing (edge-disjoint) plane (non-crossing) spanning (Hamiltonian) subgraphs in geometric graphs

Known:

Folklore – 1 path

Abellanas et al. [1999] – zig-zag path

Aichholzer et al. [2017] – $\sqrt{n}$ trees (types not prescribed)

Aichholzer et al. [2017] – 2 paths

Our results:

- 2 paths with prescribed starting vertices (on the boundary of conv(S))
- 3 paths

1 Path

Theorem 1: If *s*,*t* are distinct points of *S*, then *S* has a plane spanning path starting in *s* and ending in *t*

1 Path

Theorem 1: If *s*,*t* are distinct points of *S*, then *S* has a plane spanning path starting in *s* and ending in *t*

Proof: Case A, *s* and *t* on the boundary of conv(*S*)



1 Path

Theorem 1: If *s*,*t* are distinct points of *S*, then *S* has a plane spanning path starting in *s* and ending in *t*

Proof: Case B, *s* inside conv(*S*)



1 Path

Theorem 1: If *s,t* are distinct points of *S*, then *S* has a plane spanning path starting in *s* and ending in *t*

Proof: Case B, *s* inside conv(*S*)



1 Path

Theorem 1: If *s*,*t* are distinct points of *S*, then *S* has a plane spanning path starting in *s* and ending in *t*

Proof: Case B, *s* inside conv(*S*)



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



1 Path

Abellanas [1999]: For every balanced separation (*A*,*B*) of *S*, there exists a zig-zag path starting in a bridged vertex of the larger part.



A few technical lemmas

Definition: A point P outside of conv(S) sees a point $Q \in S$ if $PQ \cap$ conv(S) = Q.



A few technical lemmas

Definition: A point P outside of conv(S) sees a point $Q \in S$ if $PQ \cap$ conv(S) = Q.

By $S(P)$ we denote the set of points of S seen from P.



A few technical lemmas

Definition: A point P outside of conv(S) sees a point $Q \in S$ if $PQ \cap$ conv(S) = Q.

By $S(P)$ we denote the set of points of S seen from P.

Observation: Every point outside of S sees at least 2 points of S (provided $|S| \geq 2$).



A few technical lemmas

Definition: A point P outside of conv(S) sees a point $Q \in S$ if $PQ \cap$ conv(S) = Q.

By $S(P)$ we denote the set of points of S seen from P.

Observation: Every point outside of S sees at least 2 points of S (provided $|S| \geq 2$).

Lemma 1: If P, Q are distinct points outside of conv(S) such that $|S(P) \cup S(Q)| \geq 3$, then for every $a \in S(P)$ there are distinct $b \in S(P)$ and $c \in S(Q)$, $b \neq a$ such that bP and cQ are non-crossing.



A few technical lemmas

Definition: A point P outside of conv(S) sees a point $Q \in S$ if $PQ \cap$ conv(S) = Q.

By $S(P)$ we denote the set of points of S seen from P.

Observation: Every point outside of S sees at least 2 points of S (provided $|S| \geq 2$).

Lemma 1: If P,Q are distinct points outside of conv(S) such that $|S(P) \cup S(Q)| \geq 3$, then for every $a \in S(P)$ there are distinct $b \in S(P)$ and $c \in S(Q)$, $b \neq a$ such that bP and cQ are non-crossing.



A few technical lemmas

Definition: A point P outside of conv(S) sees a point $Q \in S$ if $PQ \cap$ conv(S) = Q.

By $S(P)$ we denote the set of points of S seen from P.

Observation: Every point outside of S sees at least 2 points of S (provided $|S| \geq 2$).

Lemma 1: If P,Q are distinct points outside of conv(S) such that $|S(P) \cup S(Q)| \geq 3$, then for every $a \in S(P)$ there are distinct $b \in S(P)$ and $c \in S(Q)$, $b \neq a$ such that bP and cQ are non-crossing.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let *P* and *Q* be two (not necessarily distinct) points of *S*, lying on the boundary of conv(*S*), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then *S* admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in *P*, the other one starting in *Q*, and none of them using the edge *PQ* (in case *P* and *Q* are distinct).



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or

   PQ not a halving line.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or

   PQ not a halving line.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or

PQ not a halving line.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let *P* and *Q* be two (not necessarily distinct) points of *S*, lying on the boundary of conv(*S*), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then *S* admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in *P*, the other one starting in *Q*, and none of them using the edge *PQ* (in case *P* and *Q* are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or

PQ not a halving line.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or PQ not a halving line.



b) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| \geq 3$.

2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or PQ not a halving line.



b) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| \geq 3$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or PQ not a halving line.



b) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| \geq 3$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or PQ not a halving line.



b) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| \geq 3$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or PQ not a halving line.



b) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| \geq 3$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let *P* and *Q* be two (not necessarily distinct) points of *S*, lying on the boundary of conv(*S*), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then *S* admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in *P*, the other one starting in *Q*, and none of them using the edge *PQ* (in case *P* and *Q* are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

a) Subcase $|S|$ odd or *PQ* not a halving line.



b) Subcase $|S|$ even and *PQ* is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| \geq 3$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

c) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| = 2$ and $|B(P) \cup B(Q)| = 2$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let *P* and *Q* be two (not necessarily distinct) points of *S*, lying on the boundary of conv(*S*), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then *S* admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in *P*, the other one starting in *Q*, and none of them using the edge *PQ* (in case *P* and *Q* are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

c) Subcase $|S|$ even and *PQ* is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| = 2$ and $|B(P) \cup B(Q)| = 2$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

c) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| = 2$ and $|B(P) \cup B(Q)| = 2$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

c) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| = 2$ and $|B(P) \cup B(Q)| = 2$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 1, $P \neq Q$.

c) Subcase $|S|$ even and PQ is a halving line and $|A(P) \cup A(Q)| = 2$ and $|B(P) \cup B(Q)| = 2$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let P and Q be two (not necessarily distinct) points of S, lying on the boundary of conv(S), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then S admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in P, the other one starting in Q, and none of them using the edge PQ (in case P and Q are distinct).

Proof: Case 2, $P = Q$.



2 paths

Theorem 2: Let *P* and *Q* be two (not necessarily distinct) points of *S*, lying on the boundary of conv(*S*), and let $|S| \geq 5$. Then *S* admits 2 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths, one starting in *P*, the other one starting in *Q*, and none of them using the edge *PQ* (in case *P* and *Q* are distinct).

Proof: Case 2, *P* = *Q*.



Two more technical notions

Definition: Let (A,B) be a separation of S. The visibility graph Vis(A,B) of the separation is the graph with vertex set S and edges PQ s.t. $P \in A(Q)$ and $Q \in B(P)$.



Two more technical notions

Definition: Let (A,B) be a balanced separation of S and let Z be a zig-zag path w.r.t. (A,B). An edge $e \in E(\mathrm{Vis}(A,B))$ is called free if e does not belong to Z.

Lemma 2: Let (A,B) be a balanced separation of S of $|S| \geq 10$ points and let Z be a zig-zag path w.r.t. (A,B). If Z leaves at least 2 free edges, then S admits 3 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths.



Two more technical notions

Definition: Let (A,B) be a balanced separation of S and let Z be a zig-zag path w.r.t. (A,B). An edge $e \in E(\text{Vis}(A,B))$ is called free if e does not belong to Z.

Lemma 2: Let (A,B) be a balanced separation of S of $|S| \geq 10$ points and let Z be a zig-zag path w.r.t. (A,B). If Z leaves at least 2 free edges, then S admits 3 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths.

Proof:



3 Paths

Lemma 3: Let (A,B) be a balanced separation of S of $|S| \geq 10$ points and let Z be a zig-zag path w.r.t. (A,B). If Z uses 3 consecutive edges of Vis(A,B), then S admits 3 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths.



3 Paths

Lemma 3: Let (A,B) be a balanced separation of S of $|S| \geq 10$ points and let Z be a zig-zag path w.r.t. (A,B). If Z uses 3 consecutive edges of Vis(A,B), then S admits 3 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths.

Proof:



3 Paths

Theorem 3: Let *S* be a set of points in the plane. Then

- *S* allows a balanced separation (*A*,*B*) such that Vis(*A*,*B*) contains 2 crossing edges, or
- *S* allows a balanced separation (*A*,*B*) such that Vis(*A*,*B*) contains an empty path of length 3 and a bridged vertex distinct from the points of the path incident with two edges of Vis(*A*,*B*), or
- *n*=|*S*| is even and *S* is in the wheel configuration.







3 Paths

Theorem 4: Every set of $|S| \geq 10$ points admits 3 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths.

Proof:

Case A. S allows a balanced separation (*A*,*B*) such that Vis(*A*,*B*) contains 2 crossing edges.

Then Vis(*A*,*B*) contains consecutive vertices $a,c \in A$ and $b,d \in B$ and all 4 edges *ab, ad, bc, cd*. Consider the Abellanas zig-zag path. It cannot contain all 4 edges (mind the crossing). If it contains 3 of them, apply Lemma 3. If it uses at most 2 of them, it leaves at least 2 free edges, and apply Lemma 2.



3 Paths

Theorem 4: Every set of $|S| \geq 10$ points admits 3 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths.

Proof:

Case B. S allows a balanced separation (*A*,*B*) such that Vis(*A*,*B*) contains an empty path of length 3 and a bridged vertex incident with two edges of Vis(*A*,*B*).

Consider Abellanas zig-zag path *Z* starting in the bridged vertex. The visibility graph Vis(*A*,*B*) contains at least 2 edges incident to this vertex, and only one of them is in the path. So it leaves at least 1 free edge. If all 3 edges of the empty path belong to Z, use Lemma 3. Otherwise, one of these 3 edges is free, and apply Lemma 2.



3 Paths

Theorem 4: Every set of $|S| \geq 10$ points admits 3 edge-disjoint plane spanning paths.

Proof:

Case C. S is in the wheel position.

An ad hoc construction shows that *S* has at least $(n\text{-}2)/2 \geq 3$ edge-disjoint plane spanning paths.




Thank you